IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

To.	Erica Borggren, Acting Secretary				
From:	Omer Osman. Director				
Date:	September 11, 2014				
Re: I-57, Contract Number.78437 Jefferson County					
In accordance with the Project Labor Agreement Act (30 ILCS 571), it is recommended that a project labor agreement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This recommendation is based on the considerations indicated below.					
_\	?) The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal				
make i	The overall size, scope, sequencing, logistics or other aspects of the Project t particularly challenging to manage, and use of a PLA is expected to help assure construction work is performed properly and efficiently under the circumstances.				
constru	The duration of construction activity on the Project is expected to exceed one action season (i e., 110 or more working days), or the nature of the Project results ightened need for labor force continuity and stability over a substantial period of				
	There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project therebying the adverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.				
expirat likely t) The time required to complete the Project is expected to extend beyond the ion date of one or more existing collective bargaining agreements covering trades to be involved in the Project, thereby increasing the likelihood of work stoppage(s) r labor disruption(s) during construction of the Project.				
dispute the Pro) In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional s among unions or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on ject that could have a potentially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or of work performed on the Project.				

Contract Number 78437 Jefferson County Item

	project presents specific safety concerns to the traveling public and a PLA. abor force continuity and stability, decreasing the length of the safety	
	of a PLA is expected to result in improved access to skilled labor, improved improved safety performance on the Project.	
	of a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on ve bidding process.	ı
the ability of disadvantage	of a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Department to achieve other Departmental goals (e.g., utilization of d businesses, utilization of Illinois domiciled businesses, development of rendor alternatives over time, etc.)	1
_\(\sum_12\) The this Project as See Attachme		
on this Project faith a PLA w specifications	the identified considerations, we recommend that you approve use of a PLA ct. Upon your approval, the Department shall undertake to negotiate in good with the relevant labor organization(s), and shall include in all necessary bid and other documents information regarding the actual or form of PLA that upon all contractors and their employees.	
Agreed:	Division Chief (Date)	
Agreed:	{Bureau of Design & Environment} (Date)	
Agreed [.]	Regional Engineer) (Date)	
Approved:	Erica Borggen Aging, Secretary 1 (Date)	Sarah Kurmann, Executive Assistant
FHWA concu	rrence in the PLA for the above mentioned contract	
	Thomas G. Echikson 10/14/2014.	
	FHWA Chief Counsel (see 10/9 approval memo re 78437)	

Attachment A:

Justification for use of Project Labor Agreement on Contract # 78437, Jefferson County.

The use of a Project Labor Agreement on this project is consistent with all State and Local statutory and regulatory requirements.

Item 2: This project is funded with State only funding (Capital Bill).

Item 3: Estimated project cost is \$8,000,000.

This project involves patching, milling and resurfacing the northbound and southbound lanes of I-57 for 5.1 miles. Safety improvements such as tree clearing and guardrail removal and replacement will also be included.

Item 8: The construction staging for this project will include lane closures and edge drop offs. These temporary conditions, while necessary during construction, pose a safety hazard to the traveling public. This hazard can be mitigated by minimizing the time that these temporary conditions are in place.

Item 12: In addition, user delay costs will be incurred by motorists during the construction period. Using a user delay cost of \$15.65 per hour for passenger vehicles and \$26.05 per hour for trucks, and a cost of \$0.20 per mile for passenger vehicles and \$0.90 per mile for trucks the following daily costs are calculated:

I-57 User Delay Costs

Trucks -7,200 trucks/day 0.05 hours delay/vehicle x \$26 05 = \$9378 per day

Passenger Vehicles - 10,800 cars/day 0.05 hours delay/vehicle x \$15.65 = \$8451 per day

Total user delay cost for work stoppage = \$ 17829 per day

Traffic counts from IDOT 2013 statewide counts. User delay costs from "Life Cycle Cost Analysis in Pavement Design", FHWA, September 1998, adjusted to 2009 Consumer Price Index

The trades that will be used on this project include but are not limited to the following: Laborers, Carpenters, Operators, Teamsters, Cement Masons, and Ironworkers.

Execution Page

Illinois Department of Transpo	rtation		
Omer M Osman, Director of High	nways		
Michael A Forth Chief Counsel			
Erica J Borggoen, Adming Secreta	317	////// (Date)	4
		lerman-	Sarah Kurmann, Executive Assistant
Illinois AFL-CIO Statewide P local unions listed below:	roject Labor <i>F</i>	Agreement Con	nmittee, representing th
Michael T. Parrigar)	10 -27 (Date)	-14

List Union Locais:

Terry Lynch Jim Allen Heat & Frost Insulators & Allied Bricklayers Workers **Curtis Cade** Richard Mathis United Association 'Roofers Ed Christensen, Elevator Constructors **IBEW** Terry Fitzmaurice Robert Paddock **Painters IUOE** Pat Gleason Gary Perinar Jr. **Teamsters** Carpenters LIUNA Brian Mulheran Sheet Metal Workers David Beard John Skermont Iron-Workers Bollermakers'

*only if Elevator Constructors master agreement language is attached to PLA

Pátrick J. LaCassa

OPCMIA