IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

To:	Milton R. Sees, Acting Secretary
From:	Eric E. Harm, Deputy Director
Date:	October 22, 2007
Re:	IL Route 5, Contract Number 64D61, Rock Island County {November 16, 2007}
project	ordance with Executive Order 2003-13 (Blagojevich), it is recommended that a tabor agreement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This mendation is based on the considerations indicated below.
	I)The Project is being awarded and administered by IDOT (i.e., not by another imental agency).
_ <u>\</u> _2 funds)	2)The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal
it parti	3)The overall size, scope, sequencing, logistics or other aspects of the Project make cularly challenging to manage, and use of a PLA is expected to help assure that the action work is performed properly and efficiently under the circumstances.
constr	4)The duration of construction activity on the Project is expected to exceed one uction season (i.e., 110 or more working days), or the nature of the Project results eightened need for labor force continuity and stability over a substantial period of
: increa	5)There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project thereby sing the adverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.
expira	6)The time required to complete the Project is expected to extend beyond the tion date of one or more existing collective bargaining agreements covering trades to be involved in the Project, thereby increasing the likelihood of work stoppage(s) er labor disruption(s) during construction of the Project.
among	7)In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional disputes unions or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on the Project ould have a potentially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or quality of work med on the Project.

	project presents specific safety abor force continuity and stabili		
	of a PLA is expected to result in rimproved safety performance		labor, improved
	of a PLA on the Project is not exive bidding process.	expected to have a material a	dverse effect on
the ability of disadvantage	of a PLA on the Project is not e f the Department to achieve other ed businesses, utilization of Illin vendor alternatives over time, e	er Departmental goals (e.g., vois domiciled businesses, de	itilization of
12)The	are are other material considerations follows:	ons favoring or disfavoring	use of a PLA on
on this Proje faith a PLA specification	the identified considerations, we considerations, we considerations. When the constant the contractions and other documents informate upon all contractors and their experiences.	partment shall undertake to nation(s), and shall include in a tion regarding the actual or fo	negotiate in good all necessary bid
Agreed:	MA {Division Chief }	(Date	-
Agreed:	EE THE {Bureau of Design & Environ	10(33)	<i>07</i> =)
Agreed:	Regional Engineer	Jan 10/22/ (Date	57 e)
Approved:	Milton R. Sees, P.E.) 10/25 (Date	107
	Secretary		