# STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT CIRCLE INTERCHANGE RECONSTRUCTION RETAINING WALL 46 IDOT D-91-227-13, PTB 163/ITEM 001 COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

for AECOM 303 East Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601 (312) 938-0300

submitted by Wang Engineering, Inc. 1145 North Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 (630) 953-9928

> Original Report: August 16, 2017 Revised Report: October 20, 2017

#### **Technical Report Documentation Page**

1. Title and Subtitle Structure Geotechnical Re Circle Interchange Recon Retaining Wall 46, F.A.I.	2. Report Date         Original: August 16, 2017         Revised: October 20, 2017         3. Report Type       SGR       RGI         □ Draft       SFinal       Revised					
4. Route / Section / County FAI 94/2014-013R&B-R	/ Cook	<b>5. IDOT Job No./Contract</b> D-91-227-13/60X93				
6. PTB / Item No. 163/001	7. Existing Structure Number(s) No existing wall	8. Proposed Structure Number(s) 016-1833				
9. Prepared by Wang Engineering, Inc. 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148	Contributor(s) Author: Mohammed Kothawala, P.E., D.GE. QC/QA: Mickey L. Snider, P.E. PIC: Corina T. Farez, P.E., P.G.	Author Phone Number/Email Address (630) 953-9928 Ext 1036 <u>mkothawala@wangeng.com</u>				
<b>10. Prepared for</b> AECOM 303 East Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601	Structural Engineer Amish Bhatt. S.E., P.E. AECOM	Contact Phone Number (312) 373-6829				
of very soft to medium feet of very dense grav to the top of weathered	the subsurface soils consists of 4.7 feet of a stiff silty clay, 30 feet of very stiff to har relly loam, and 12 feet of hard silty clay loa d bedrock. Sound bedrock was encountered ncountered within the fill layers at the upper	d silty clay to silty clay loam, 12.2 im /very dense silty loam extending d at elevations of about 477.4 feet				
Based on the encounter However, the MSE v foundation bearing res	red subsoil conditions and the wall height, wall will require IDOT D1 Class IV L sistance in the back to back portion of the have sufficient resistance against sliding an	CCF materials to have sufficien ne wall, and the portion extending				
estimated to be about (	erm consolidation settlement of foundati 0.8 inches near Station 1105+03.64. We es settlement in 28 months and 90% of primar	timate the soil will achieve 50% of				

12. Path to archived file

S:\Netprojects\11000401\Reports\SGRs\Walls\1833 Wall 46\RPT-TXT\_Wang\_MAK\_11000401SGRWall46\_V4\_20171019.doc



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	. 1
1.1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	.1
1.2	PROPOSED STRUCTURE	. 2
1.3	EXISTING STRUCTURE	. 2
2.0	SITE CONDITIONS AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING	. 2
2.1	Physiography	.3
2.2	SURFICIAL COVER	.3
2.3	Bedrock	.3
3.0	METHODS OF INVESTIGATION	.4
3.1	SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION	.4
3.2	VANE SHEAR TESTS	. 5
3.3	LABORATORY TESTING	. 5
4.0	RESULTS OF FIELD AND LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS	. 5
4.1	SOIL CONDITIONS	. 5
4.2	GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	.7
4.3	SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	.7
5.0	ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	.7
5.1	RETAINING WALL TYPE EVALUATION	. 7
5.2	BEARING RESISTANCE AND EXTERNAL STABILITY ANALYSES	. 8
5.3	SETTLEMENT ANALYSES	. 9
5.4	GLOBAL STABILITY ANALYSES	10
6.0	CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	10
6.1	EXCAVATION	10
6.2	DEWATERING	10
6.3	FILLING AND BACKFILLING	11
6.4	WALL CONSTRUCTION	11
6.5	CONSTRUCTION MONITORING	11
7.0	QUALIFICATIONS	11



#### 

#### EXHIBITS

- 1. Site Location Map
- 2. Site and Regional Geology
- 3. Boring Location Plan
- 4. Subsurface Soil Data Profile

#### APPENDIX A

Boring Logs and Rock Core Photographs

#### **APPENDIX B**

Laboratory Test Results

#### APPENDIX C

Global Stability Analysis

#### APPENDIX D

Type Size Location Plan



# STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT CIRCLE INTERCHANGE RECONSTRUCTION RETAINING WALL 46 (PROPOSED SN 016-1833) F.A.I. ROUTE 90/94/290 SECTION 2014-013R&B-R IDOT D-91-227-13, PTB 163-001 COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR AECOM

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report presents the results of Wang Engineering, Inc. (Wang) subsurface investigation, laboratory testing, geotechnical engineering evaluations, and recommendations for the new retaining wall designated as SN 016-1833 (Retaining Wall 46) proposed along the north approach of Ramp WN (SN 016-1706) in connection with the Circle Interchange Reconstruction program in the City of Chicago, Cook County, Illinois. A *Site Location Map* is presented as Exhibit 1.

The purpose of our investigation was to characterize the site soil and groundwater conditions, perform geotechnical engineering analyses, and provide recommendations for the design and construction of the new retaining wall.

#### **1.1 Project Description**

The Circle Interchange Reconstruction project is along Interstate 90/94 (I-90/94) from south of Roosevelt Road to north of Lake Street, along Interstate 290 (I-290) from Loomis Street to the Circle Interchange; and along Congress Parkway from the Circle Interchange to Canal Street/Old Post Office. The routes typically have three lanes of traffic in each direction with mostly one lane ramps at interchanges. Locally, the north leg is known as the Kennedy Expressway, the south leg as the Dan Ryan Expressway, and the west leg as the Eisenhower Expressway. Within the project area, there are several cross street bridges over I-90/94 and I-290 considered for reconstruction. Along I-90/94, from south to north, the cross street overpasses include Taylor Street, Van Buren Street, Jackson Boulevard, and Adams Street. Along I-290, from west to east, the cross street overpasses include Morgan Street, Peoria Street, and Halsted Street.

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



The proposed improvements include additional through lanes in each direction on I-90/94. The horizontal alignments and vertical profiles throughout the interchange will be improved. A new two-lane flyover will be constructed to carry I-90/94 northbound traffic to I-290 westbound. Cross street bridges including, Morgan Street, Harrison Street, Halsted Street, Peoria Street, Taylor Street, Adams Street, Jackson Boulevard, and Van Buren Street will be reconstructed. Various existing ramps will be realigned and reconstructed and up to 50 new retaining walls will be constructed.

## 1.2 Proposed Structure

Retaining Wall 46 (SN 016-1833) is proposed to support the north approach for Ramp WN over I-90/94 NB Bypass/Ramp EN (SN 016-1706). Based on the Type, Size, and Location (TSL) plan dated October 20, 2017 provided by AECOM, the wall is proposed to be a MSE wall, 99' long with a maximum total height of approximately 11.5'. The proposed wall starts at Station 1105+30.00, offset 21.25' LT on west of the Ramp WN north approach, wraps the proposed Ramp WN west abutment, and ends at Station 1105+60.00, offset 7.25' RT on east side of Ramp WN north approach. The total wall height increases gradually from 3.5 to 11.3 feet over the length of approximately 27.6 feet on the south side and from 3.5 to 11.5 feet over length of approximately 37.5 feet on the north side. There will be a 3.5-foot concrete parapet on top of the wall. The TSL plan is included in the *Type Size Location Plan* (Appendix C).

## 1.3 Existing Structure

There is no existing structure at this location.

## 2.0 SITE CONDITIONS AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The project area is located within the City of Chicago limits. On the USGS *Chicago Loop 7.5 Minute Series* map, the retaining wall is located in the NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Section 16, Tier 39 N, Range 14 E of the Third Principal Meridian. A *Site Location Map* is presented as Exhibit 1.

The following review of published geologic data, with emphasis on factors that might influence the design and construction of the proposed engineering works, is meant to place the project area within a geological framework and confirm the dependability and consistency of the present subsurface investigation results. For the study of the regional geologic framework, Wang considered northeastern Illinois in general and Cook County in particular. Exhibit 2 illustrates the *Site and Regional Geology*.



# 2.1 Physiography

The general topography of the project area slopes gently southeast toward Lake Michigan. The ramp bridge is situated within the Chicago Lake Plain Physiographic Subsection. The area is characterized by a flat surface that slopes gently toward the lake, largely made of ground moraine till covered by thin and discontinuous lacustrine silt and clay.

The proposed bridge carrying the Ramp WN that connects WB I-290 with NB I-90/94 starts at existing Pier C1 part of SN 016-0461 from an approximate elevation of 605.5 feet. The ground elevation along the Ramp WN Bridge ranges from 586 feet at east end to 587 feet at west end.

## 2.2 Surficial Cover

The project area was shaped during the Wisconsinian-age glaciation and a 90-foot thick drift or more covers the bedrock (Leetaru et al. 2004). The glacial cover is made up of clay and silt of the Equality Formation of the Mason Group and diamictons of the Wadsworth and Lemont Formations of the Wedron Group (Hansel and Johnson 1996). The Equality Formation is made up of bedded silt and clay, locally laminated, with lenses and/or thin beds of sand and gravel. The Wadsworth Formation consists of relatively homogenous, massive, gray till with clay to silty clay matrix, with dolostone and shale clasts and occasional lenses of sorted and stratified silt. The Wadsworth Formation is underlined by the pebbly silty clay loam to silty loam diamicton of the Yorkville Member of the Lemont Formation, informally known as the Chicago "hardpan."

From a geotechnical viewpoint, the Equality Formation is characterized by low strength, medium to high plasticity, and medium to high moisture content, whereas the Wadsworth Formation is characterized by low plasticity, medium to low moisture content, medium to very stiff consistency, poor permeability, and low compressibility. The Yorkville Member (hardpan) is characterized by low plasticity, high blow counts, and low moisture content (Bauer et al. 1991; Peck and Reed 1954).

## 2.3 Bedrock

In the project area, the glacigenic deposits unconformably rest over approximately 350-foot thick Silurian-age dolostone (Leetaru et al 2004). The top of bedrock may be encountered at 475 to 500 feet elevation or 95 feet below ground surface (bgs) or more. The Silurian dolostone dips gently eastward at a pace of 15 feet per mile. Only inactive faults are known in the area, and the seismic risk to the proposed structure from the existing faults is minimal (Leetaru et al. 2004; Willman 1971). There are no records of mining activity in the area, but deep tunnel excavations are known to exist.



Our subsurface investigation results fit into the local geologic context. The borings drilled in the project area revealed the native sediments consist of clay to silty clay diamicton of the Wadsworth Formation resting on top of more competent silty clay loam diamicton (hardpan) of the Lemont Formation, which in turn is underlain by bedrock. Sound dolostone bedrock was sampled or inferred at depths ranging from 93 to 109 feet bgs or 486.0 to 477.4 feet elevation, within the range predicted based on published geological data.

# 3.0 METHODS OF INVESTIGATION

The following sections outline the subsurface and laboratory investigations. All elevations in this report are based on NAVD 1988.

## 3.1 Subsurface Investigation

Wang performed Boring 1706-B-01 for the Ramp WN abutment in March 2014. Other nearby borings performed are 1703-B-04 and 1715-B02. Wang also performed Boring VST-06 approximately 140 southeast of the Ramp WN abutment to obtain in-situ vane shear strength in soft clay.

The as-drilled boring locations were surveyed by Dynasty Group Inc. and station and offset information for each boring was provided by AECOM. Boring location data are presented in the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A). The as-drilled boring locations are shown in the *Boring Location Plan* (Exhibit 3).

A truck-mounted drilling rig equipped with hollow stem augers, was used to advance and maintain an open borehole to 10 feet depth after that mud rotary was used to the boring termination depth. Soil sampling was performed according to AASHTO T 206, "*Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils*." The soil was sampled at 2.5-foot intervals to 30 feet bgs and at 5-foot intervals to boring termination depths. Soil samples collected from each sampling interval were placed in sealed jars and transported to Wang Geotechnical Laboratory in Lombard, Illinois for further examination and laboratory testing.

Field boring logs, prepared and maintained by a Wang engineer or geologist, include lithological descriptions, visual-manual soil/rock classifications, results of Rimac and pocket penetrometer unconfined compressive strength tests, results of Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) recorded as blows



per 6 inches of penetration. The SPT N value, shown on the soil profile, is the sum of the second and third blows per 6 inches. The soils were described and classified according to Illinois Division of Highways (IDH) Textural Classification system. The field logs were finalized by an experienced engineering geologist after verifying the field visual classifications and laboratory test results.

Groundwater observations were made during and at the end of drilling operations. Due to safety considerations, the boreholes were backfilled with grout immediately upon completion.

#### 3.2 Vane Shear Tests

Wang performed vane shear strength tests in Boring VST-06. Vane shear strength tests were performed using calibrated RocTest vane shear equipment in undisturbed and remolded soil conditions. The sensitivity shown on the VST-06 log is the ratio of shear strength in undisturbed and remolded conditions. In general, the vane shear values for soft clays were significantly higher than the corresponding values from unconfined compressive strength tests using the RIMAC apparatus. Vane shear test results were used for analyses.

## 3.3 Laboratory Testing

All soil samples were tested in the laboratory for moisture content (AASHTO T 265). Atterberg limits (AASHTO T 89 and T 90) and particle size (AASHTO T 88) analyses were performed on selected soil samples representing the main soil units encountered during the investigation. Field visual descriptions of the soil samples were verified in the laboratory. Laboratory test results are shown in the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A), in the *Subsurface Data Profile* (Exhibits 4), and in the *Laboratory Test Results* (Appendix B).

## 4.0 RESULTS OF FIELD AND LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS

Detailed descriptions of the soil conditions encountered during our subsurface investigation are presented in the attached *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and in the *Soil Profile* (Exhibit 4). Please note that strata contact lines represent approximate boundaries between soil types. The actual transition between soil types in the field may be gradual in horizontal and vertical directions.

## 4.1 Soil Conditions

The pavement structure measured shows 3.0 inches of asphalt pavement overlying 10.0 inches of concrete pavement followed by crushed stone base course. In descending order, the general lithologic



succession encountered beneath the pavement structure includes: 1) man-made ground (fill); 2) very soft to medium stiff clay to silty clay (Chicago blue clay); 3) very stiff to hard silty clay to silty clay loam diamicton; 4) very dense gravelly silty loam; 5) hard silty clay loam to silty loam; and 6) strong dolostone bedrock.

#### 1) Man-made ground (fill)

Below the pavement structure 4.7 feet of granular and cohesive fill was encountered. The granular fill consisted of medium dense, brown, fine sand with a SPT N value of 11 blows/foot and a moisture content (MC) value of 23%. The cohesive fill included 0.8 feet of very stiff, brown and gray silty clay loam with an unconfined compressive strength (Qu) of 2.5 tsf and a moisture content value of 16%.

## (2) Very soft to medium stiff clay to silty clay (Chicago Blue Clay)

At an elevation of 578.4 feet, very soft to medium stiff, gray clay to silty clay of 38.8 feet thickness was encountered. The unit is characterized by Qu values of 0.25 to 0.74 tsf and MC values of 13 to 27%. This layer is commonly known as the "Chicago Blue Clay." In-Situ Vane undisturbed shear strength obtained in Boring VST-06 between elevation 576.7 and 542.2 varied from 600 psf to 982 psf.

#### (3) Very stiff to hard silty clay to silty clay loam diamicton

At an elevation of 539.6 feet, very stiff to hard, gray silty clay loam to silty loam of 30 feet in thickness was encountered. This layer has Qu values of 3.61 to more than 10.25 tsf and MC values of 9 to 21%. At an elevation of 529.4 feet, Boring 1706-B-01 also encountered 5.0 feet of interbedded very dense, gray gravelly loam with a SPT N value of 65 blows/foot and a MC value of 10%.

## (4) Very dense gravelly silty loam

At an elevation of 504.6 feet, very dense gravelly silty loam of 12.2 feet in thickness was encountered with SPT N values of more than 50 blows/foot and MC values of 11 and 12%. Particle size analyses performed in this sample show gravel, sand, silt and clay content of 19.6, 26.3, 51.0 and 3.1%, respectively.

#### (6) Hard silty clay loam/Very dense silty loam

At an elevation of 492.4 feet, Boring 1706-B-01 encountered 12 feet of hard, gray silty clay loam to silty loam, with Qu values of 4.9 to 5.0 tsf and MC values of 11 to 17%.



Underneath silty loam at an elevation of 480.4 feet, boring sampled 3.0 feet of weathered bedrock with hard drilling conditions.

## (7) Strong dolostone bedrock

Dolostone bedrock was encountered at an elevation of 477.4 feet (109.0 feet bgs) and the top 10 feet show a strong rock, good rock quality (RQD of 76%), bedded, joint breaks with little or no fill, and moderately vuggy.

#### 4.2 Groundwater Conditions

During drilling, groundwater was encountered in Boring 1706-B-01 at an elevation of 529.4 feet (57 feet bgs). After drilling, mud was recorded in the borehole due to mud rotary drilling. Although groundwater was not observed within upper fill layers, we anticipate perched water may be encountered during times of heavy precipitation. Therefore, the design and construction of the wall should consider the perched water between 579 and 583 feet elevations within the fill layers.

#### 4.3 Seismic Design Considerations

Seismic design is not required as per 2012 IDOT *Bridge Manual* and 2014 AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*.

## 5.0 ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 5.1 Retaining Wall Type Evaluation

Based on the TSL plan, the proposed Retaining Wall 46 (SN 016-1833) is a fill wall supporting the north approach of the Ramp WN (SN 016-1706). The wall will have a maximum total height ("H") of approximately 11.5 feet.

Consideration was given in using cast-in-place concrete cantilever (T-type) with spread footings; however, it was ruled out due to low bearing resistance and excessive settlements of foundation soils. The wall could be supported on driven piles or drilled shafts. Driven piles are not considered suitable due to noise and vibration concerns. The cast-in-place concrete wall could be supported on drilled shafts established on hardpan. However, we concur with the proposed MSE wall option since it will be most economical wall type.



The following sections present the results of our geotechnical engineering analyses and recommendations for the MSE wall design and construction.

## 5.2 Bearing Resistance and External Stability Analyses

The MSE retaining wall base (top of levelling pad) should be established at a depth of 3.5 feet below the front face finished grade. Based on the TSL plan, the proposed MSE wall base elevations varies from 577.61 to 587.07 feet. Based on our boring data, the foundation soils at the MSE wall base elevations includes soft to medium stiff clay to silty clay. We estimate the foundation soils will have a nominal bearing resistance of 3,000 psf and a factored bearing resistance of 2,000 psf based on a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.65 (AASHTO 2014) for MSE wall.

We analyzed the following options to satisfy the factored bearing resistance available, external stability, and settlement. A reinforcement length equal to 70 percent of the total wall height or a minimum of 8 feet was used.

- 1. Using regular fill material (unit weight of 125 pcf) for the MSE wall zone and fill area;
- 2. Using IDOT District One Class IV Lightweight Cellular Concrete Fill (LCCF) for the MSE wall zone and fill area between the walls, and the embankment fill material for the wall beyond the back to back portion.

For the Option 1, at the highest portion of the wall near Station 1105+21.97, the wall will apply a maximum factored equivalent bearing pressure of 3,600 psf with a regular MSE wall fill material (unit weight is 125 pcf) considering Load Factor of 1.35 for the MSE wall and 1.75 for the traffic live load. This exceeds the factored soil bearing resistance available of 2,000 psf.

For Option 2, to reduce the applied wall pressure, we have considered IDOT District One Class IV LCCF with unit weight of 42 pcf for the MSE wall zone as well as fill area in the back-to-back wall between Stations 1105+03.64 and 1105+50.00. There are no lateral forces pushing the wall; therefore, eccentricity is not a concern. We have also considered Class IV LCCF for the MSE wall zone and embankment material for the wall along the north side that extends beyond the back-to-back portion.

We estimate the wall backfilled with Class IV LCCF will apply a maximum equivalent factored bearing pressure of 1,350 psf, thus the foundation soils will have sufficient bearing resistance to support the wall. We have considered Class IV LCCF for the MSE wall zone and fill area between



the back-to-back wall sections, and the embankment material beyond the back to back portion of the wall.

The nominal sliding resistance between clay soil and MSE base may be taken as per AASHTO LRFD Section 10.6.3.4. The estimated cohesion of the soft clay is 600 psf. The estimated friction angle between an MSE wall base and underlying cohesive soil is 27°, and the corresponding friction coefficient is 0.50. The friction coefficient of 0.60 can be considered if at least 12-inch thick granular material (CA-6 or CA-7) is provided below MSE base. MSE retaining walls are designed based on a geotechnical sliding resistance factor of 1.0 for soil-on-soil contact (AASHTO 2014).

For the portion of the wall that extends beyond the back to back portion, we performed external stability analysis at Sta. 1105+30. The following are our results and recommendations. We considered cutback slope of 1:2.5 (V:H) as shown on revised TSL plan.

- To satisfy sliding resistance and overturning stability, we recommend width of the MSE reinforced zone to be 0.9 times total height of the wall (H).
- Lightweight cellular concrete fill (LCCF) Class IV as per IDOT District One Special Provision should be used in MSE reinforced zone and in the cut area behind the MSE reinforced zone.
- The factor of safety for the global slope stability analysis is 2.49 for undrained condition and 2.15 for the drained condition. This satisfies IDOT requirement of minimum FOS of 1.50. Details of global stability analysis are presented in Appendix C.

## 5.3 Settlement Analyses

We performed settlement analyses using soil information from the borings 1706-B-01 and VST-06. The corresponding long-term settlement of underlying cohesive foundation soils was calculated using IDOT *Spreadsheet for Cohesive Soils* dated December 9, 2014. It should be noted that in calculating the net service pressure for settlement evaluation, the effect of excavation required to the MSE base level was taken into consideration. For the location of maximum new fill, at Station 1105+21.97 (total wall height of 11.5 feet), we estimate the maximum net service pressure of 520 psf.

Under the maximum service pressure, our settlement analyses indicate the wall will undergo about 0.8 inches of long-term settlement from the underlying cohesive soils. We estimate the soil will achieve 50% of primary consolidation settlement in 28 months and 90% of primary consolidation in 120 months.



#### 5.4 Global Stability Analyses

With the Class IV LCCF being used as a fill material in reinforced zone and in between the walls, the whole mass will act as a rigid body with significant reduction of the driving forces, we do not anticipate global stability concerns for the proposed retaining wall.

Results of global stability analysis for the portion of the wall beyond the back to back portion are discussed in the previous section and are presented in Appendix C.

#### 6.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

#### 6.1 Excavation

Any required excavations should be performed in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations including current OSHA regulations. The potential effect of ground movements upon nearby structures and utilities should also be taken into consideration. Any temporary open excavation to a depth of 4 feet should have a slope of 1:2 (V: H) for cohesive soils and 1:2.5 (V: H) for granular soils or flatter.

It is understood that the maximum excavation required from exiting Ramp WN pavement to the MSE base will be approximately 12.0 feet. A Temporary Soil Retention System will be required if the open excavation is not feasible. The Contractor should submit design computation and shop drawings for the IDOT review. The Contractor should evaluate site ground, grading and underground utility condition at the time of construction to determine excavation depth along the wall and type of suitable support system.

#### 6.2 Dewatering

Groundwater was encountered at an elevation of 529.4 feet in Boring 1706-B-01 which is below the proposed excavation depths for the placement of the MSE wall. However, perched water may be present in the granular fill within the excavation level. We do not anticipate any special methods will be needed for dewatering efforts other than the sump-pump method. During times of heavy precipitation, water allowed to accumulate in open excavations should be immediately removed by the sump and pump method.



#### 6.3 Filling and Backfilling

All fill and backfill materials required other than for the MSE wall zones should be as per IDOT Standard Specification for Road and Bridge Construction (IDOT 2016).

#### 6.4 Wall Construction

The wall should be constructed as per IDOT Standard Specification for Road and Bridge Construction (IDOT 2016) and IDOT District One Special Provision for LCCF Class IV.

#### 6.5 Construction Monitoring

There is no need for special construction monitoring for the retaining wall except normally required by the IDOT *Standard Specification for Road and Bridge Construction* (IDOT 2016).

#### 7.0 QUALIFICATIONS

The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the borings drilled at the locations shown on the boring logs and in Exhibit 3. This report does not reflect any variations that may occur between the borings or elsewhere on the site, variations whose nature and extent may not become evident until the course of construction. In the event that any changes in the design and/or location of the proposed retaining wall 46 (SN 016-1833) are planned, we should be timely informed so that our recommendations can be adjusted accordingly.

It has been a pleasure to assist AECOM and the Illinois Department of Transportation on this project. Please call if there are any questions, or if we can be of further service.

LICENSED

ROFESSIONA ENGINEER

Respectfully Submitted,

WANG ENGINEERING, INC.

111 aleothaag

Mohammed (Mike) Kothawala, P.E., D.GE Senior Geotechnical Engineer

License expires 11-30-2017

Mickey Snider, P.E. QA/QC Reviewer



#### REFERENCES

- American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (2014) *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. United States Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C.
- Bauer, R.A., Curry, B.B., Graese, A.M., Vaiden, R.C., Su, W.J., and Hasek, M.J., 1991, Geotechnical Properties of Selected Pleistocene, Silurian, and Ordovician Deposits of Northeastern Illinois: Environmental Geology 139, Illinois State Geological Survey, 69 p.
- Federal Highway Administration (1983) *Design and Construction of Stone Columns Vol 1*. US Department of Transportation Report No. FHWA/RD-83/026, McLean, Virginia.
- Hansel, A.K., and Johnson, W.H. (1996) Wedron and Mason Groups: Lithostratigraphic Reclassification of the Wisconsin Episode, Lake Michigan Lobe Area: ISGS Bulletin 104.
   Illinois State Geological Survey, Champaign, IL. 116 p.
- Illinois Department of Transportation (2015) *Geotechnical Manual*. IDOT Bureau of Materials and Physical Research, Springfield, IL.
- Illinois Department of Transportation (2016) *Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.* IDOT Division of Highways, Springfield, IL.
- Illinois Department of Transportation (2012) *Bridge Manual*. IDOT Bureau of Bridges and Structures, Springfield, IL.
- Leetaru, H.E., Sargent, M.L., and Kolata, D.R. (2004) Geologic Atlas of Cook County for Planning Purposes, Open File Series 2004-12, Illinois State Geological Survey, p. 30.
- Leighton, M.M., Ekblaw, G.E., and Horberg, L. (1948) *Physiographic Divisions of Illinois*. The Journal of Geology, v. 56, p. 16-33.
- Peck, R.B., and Reed, W.C. (1954) Engineering Properties of Chicago Subsoils: University of Illinois Engineering Experiment Station Bulletin No. 423: Urbana, University of Illinois, 62
- Willman, H.B. (1971) Summary of the Geology of the Chicago Area, ISGS Circular C460: Urbana, Illinois State Geological Survey, p. 77.



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

# **EXHIBITS**

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982







F.F. of precast panels

# HIGHWAY CLASSIFICATION

Ramp WN Functional Class: Interstate ADT: 7,200 (2012); 9,000 (2040) ADTT: 204 (2012); 255 (2040) DHV: 790 (2040) Design Speed: 30 m.p.h. Posted Speed: 30 m.p.h. One-Way Traffic Directional Distribution: 100%

## DESIGN STRESSES

FIELD UNITS f'c = 3,500 psi fy = 60,000 psi (Reinforcement) PRECAST UNITS f'c = 4,500 psi

# DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS

2014 AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, 7th Edition with 2015 & 2016 Interim Revisions

# CURVE DATA

(Ramp WN) PROP. CURVE P-CIR-WN-2 P.I. Sta. = 1105+88.67  $\Delta = 69^{\circ} 00' 44'' (Rt.)$ D = 12° 43′ 57" R = 450.00'T = 309.35'  $L = 542.02^{\circ}$ E = 96.07'e = 5.20% T.R. = NA S.E. Run = 46' P.C. Sta. = 1102+79.32 P.T. Sta. = 1108+21.34 DS = 30



GENERAL PLAN & ELEVATION RETAINING WALL 46 ALONG RAMP WN F.A.I. RTE. 90/94/290 SECTION 2014-013R&B-R COOK COUNTY STA. 1105+30.00 TO STA. 1105+60.00 STRUCTURE NO. 016-1833

		F.A.I. RTE.	SEC	CTION		COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.
		90/94/290	2014-0	D13R&B-R		СООК	2	1
						CONTRACT	NO. 6	60X93
2	SHEETS			ILLINOIS F	ED. AI	D PROJECT		





1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

# **APPENDIX A**

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



VANGENGINC 11000401.GPJ WANGENG.GDT 8/16/17





# BORING LOG 1703-B-04

WEI Job No.: 1100-04-01

Page 3 of 3

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Telephone: 630-953-9928 Fax: 630-953-9938

 Client
 AECOM

 Project
 Circle Interchange Reconstruction

 Location
 Section 17, T39N, R14E of 3rd PM

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 584.72 ft North: 1898071.44 ft East: 1171813.94 ft Station: 1104+42.63 Offset: 42.6570 LT

Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft) Sample Type	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ff)	Sample Type	Sample No. SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
MANGENGING 11000401.GPJ WANGENG.GDT &16/17		DESCRIPTION Strong, light gray, poor to excellent rock mass quality, bedded fresh DOLOSTONE, up to 30-inch beds, 17-inch spaced oints, horizontal joints with less than 0.2-inch infilling, hard joint wall, with stylolitic surfaces, and moderately vuggy porosity. Run 1 - RECOVERY=88% RQD=26% 109.5ft-Qu=10990 psi RQD=92% 113.0ft-Qu=9060 psi		aldunes 27	плоо плоо бас SPT Val (blw/6)	Real Qu (tst)	P1 Moistu Content Content	Profil	Elevative (ff)			Sample	Sample       SPT Val       (blw/6)	Qu (tsf)	Moistu Content
U WANG			125												
01.GP		GENERA	WATER	<b>R</b> LEVE											
0004 B	egin Dr		While Drilling	<u>¥</u>		4.00 ft									
Drilling Contractor Wang Testing Services Drill Rig D-50 TMR [78%]										At Completion of Drilling			1.25 ft	•••••	•••••
											NA	•••••			
Drilling Method 2.25" SSA to 10', mud rotary thereafter, boring										Depth to Water The stratification lines repre	NA sent the app	 roxima	te bounda	v	
₹	ba	ckfilled upon completion								between soil types; the actua	al transition	may he	aradual	у	







# BORING LOG 1706-B-01

WEI Job No.: 1100-04-01

Page 3 of 3

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Telephone: 630-953-9928 Fax: 630-953-9938

# Client AECOM Project Circle Interchange Reconstruction Location Section 17, T39N, R14E of 3rd PM

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 586.37 ft North: 1898150.62 ft East: 1171768.12 ft Station: 1105+20.19 Offset: 0.3840' LT

Profile	BESCRIPTION	Sample Type recovery Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
Image: Decision of the second state	480.4Possible Cobbles WEATHERED BEDROCK VERY HARD DRILLING 477.4 Strong, light gray, good rock mass quality, bedded fresh 110 DOLOSTONE, up to 18-inch beds, 8-inch joints spacing, horizontal and vertical joints with none to more than 0.2-inch infilling, up to 4-inch greenish gray argilaceous partings, hard joint wall, with stylolitic surfaces, and moderately vuggy porosity. Run 1 - RECOVERY=100% RQD=76% 467.4 Boring terminated at 119.00 ft 120 125	27	59/3 C O R E	NP	11			WATER	RIFVF				
501.G													
10001 Be	egin Drilling 03-16-2014 Com	4	While Drilling	¥		7.00 ft							
	rilling Contractor Wang Testing Servic		At Completion of Drilling			the bo	rehol	e					
Ŭ Dr	riller P&P Logger D.Kol	arin	Time After Drilling <b>NA hours</b>										
Dr	rilling Method 3.25" HSA to 10', mud re	otary	here	after	, bori	ing		Depth to Water Y NA ft					
MAN	backfilled upon completion							The stratification lines repre between soil types; the actu	sent the app al transition	roximat mav be	e boundar gradual.	у	



VANGENGINC 11000401.GPJ WANGENG.GDT 8/16/17





11000401.GPJ WANGENG.GDT 8/16/17



# BORING LOG 1703-PZ-01

WEI Job No.: 1100-04-01

Page 1 of 2

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 582.49 ft North: 1898127.96 ft East: 1171807.47 ft Station: 1104+74.81 Offset: 3.30157 RT

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Telephone: 630-953-9928 Fax: 630-953-9938

Client AECOM Project Circle Interchange Reconstruction Location Section 17, T39N, R14E of 3rd PM

	Profile	SOIL AND ROCK	Depth (ft)	recovery	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND RO DESCRIPTIC		Sample Type	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
		Drilled without sampling	- - -									- - -	-				
			- - - 5_														
			- - - -							pi	iezometer stabilized reading o development (11/2	water level reading during well	-				
			- - - 10 -								32.00 reading date: 12/	feet bgs	-				
			-									-					
			- - 15 -									40_	-				
												-	-				
		Piezometer Data: Installed in Nov. 12, 2014 Bentonite Seal 70 to 72 feet	20									- 45_ -	-				
WANGENGINC 11000401.GPJ WANGENG.GDT 10/19/17		Top of Sand Pack at 72 feet Top of Screen at 75.3 feet Screen Length 20 feet Bottom of Screen at 95.3 feet										-	-				
PJ WAN			25_									50_					
401.G		GENERA	14														
11000		gin Drilling <b>11-10-2014</b> Illing Contractor Wang Testing S	Comp Comp			-		1-12 7 TN			While Drilling At Completion of Drill	<u></u> ling <b>⊈</b>			00 ft IA	•••••	
SINC			S. Wo								Time After Drilling	NA			**	•••••	
NGENC	Dri	illing Method 4.25" HSA, monito								•••••	Depth to Water The stratification lines	📱 NA		ate h	oundar		
Ă			<u></u>		• • • <u>•</u> •			<u></u>	<u></u> .		between soil types; the	actual transition	may b	ale D e <u>qr</u> a	dual.	у	



# BORING LOG 1703-PZ-01

WEI Job No.: 1100-04-01

Page 2 of 2

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Telephone: 630-953-9928 Fax: 630-953-9938

 Client
 AECOM

 Project
 Circle Interchange Reconstruction

 Location
 Section 17, T39N, R14E of 3rd PM

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 582.49 ft North: 1898127.96 ft East: 1171807.47 ft Station: 1104+74.81 Offset: 3.30157 RT

<u> </u>	un. 000 (															
Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft) Sample Type	recovery Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCH DESCRIPTION	Depth (#)	Sample Type	Sample No.	(blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
			-								- - -	-				
			-								- -					
			55								80_	-				
			-								-	-				
			- - 60								85_	-				
			-								- - -					
			-								- - -	-				
			65							ry dense, gray SILTY LC ce gravel	-		1	40 42	NP	13
			-								Dry		7	1 <u>8/</u> 3-		
			- - 70								- - 95	-				
_	lı E	ezometer Data: nstalled in Nov. 12, 2014 Bentonite Seal 70 to 72 feet	-											10 23 5 <u>0/</u> 3	NP	20
	7 S	op of Sand Pack at 72 feet op of Screen at 75.3 feet Screen Length 20 feet Bottom of Screen at 95.3 feet									- - -					
			 75						482.5	Dolostone fragi	100	×	3	15 30/2	NP	14
Ľ.		GENER	<u> </u>	ring terminated at 100.0 WATE	R LEVE	LD	ATA	<b>\</b>	I							
Be	egin Drilliı		Comple			. 1	1-12	-201	4	While Drilling	Ţ		78.00			
Dr	rilling Cor				-					At Completion of Drilling	Ţ				· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · ·
Dr	riller		S. Woo							Time After Drilling	NA					
Dr	rilling Met	thod 4.25" HSA, monit	oring wa	ater.	well					Depth to Water	NA		-1	- 15 -		
				<u></u> .	<u></u>	<u>.</u>	<u></u>	<u></u> .		The stratification lines repre- between soil types; the actu	esent the app al transition	roxima may b	ate bou e gradi	undary ual.		



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

# **APPENDIX B**

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



AR GDT <u>v</u> d C C 1000401 НО SIZE GRAIN



WANGENG.GDT 8/8/17 GPJ HO

ų

Fax: 630 953-9928

Number: 1100-04-01



US\_LAB.GDT ATTERBERG LIMITS IDH 11000401.GPJ



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

# **APPENDIX C**

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982







1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

# **APPENDIX D**

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



	USER NAME = keserovicm	DESIGNED - MK	REVISED			F.A.I. S	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL SHEET SHEETS NO.
AECOM		CHECKED - ATB	REVISED	STATE OF ILLINOIS		90/94/290 2014	4-013R&B-R	СООК	2 1
AECOM	PLOT SCALE = N.T.S.	DRAWN - MK	REVISED	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				CONTRACT	NO. 60X93
	PLOT DATE = 10/20/2017	CHECKED - ATB	REVISED		SHEET NO. 1 OF 2 SHEETS		ILLINOIS FED. AID PROJ		

F.F. of precast panels

# HIGHWAY CLASSIFICATION

Ramp WN Functional Class: Interstate ADT: 7,200 (2012); 9,000 (2040) ADTT: 204 (2012); 255 (2040) DHV: 790 (2040) Design Speed: 30 m.p.h. Posted Speed: 30 m.p.h. One-Way Traffic Directional Distribution: 100%

## DESIGN STRESSES

FIELD UNITS f'c = 3,500 psi fy = 60,000 psi (Reinforcement) PRECAST UNITS f'c = 4,500 psi

# DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS

2014 AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, 7th Edition with 2015 & 2016 Interim Revisions

# CURVE DATA

(Ramp WN) PROP. CURVE P-CIR-WN-2 P.I. Sta. = 1105+88.67 △ = 69° 00′ 44″ (Rt.)  $D = 12^{\circ} 43' 57''$ R = 450.00'T = 309.35' L = 542.02'E = 96.07'e = 5.20% T.R. = NAS.E. Run = 46' P.C. Sta. = 1102+79.32 P.T. Sta. = 1108+21.34 DS = 30



GENERAL PLAN & ELEVATION RETAINING WALL 46 ALONG RAMP WN F.A.I. RTE. 90/94/290 SECTION 2014-013R&B-R COOK COUNTY STA. 1105+30.00 TO STA. 1105+60.00 STRUCTURE NO. 016-1833



SHEET NO. 2 OF 2 SHEETS