STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT IL ROUTE 47 BRIDGE OVER KISHWAUKEE RIVER EXISTING SN 056-0025; PROPOSED SN 056-0316 FAP 326, SECTION (105XB) B-R IDOT JOB D-91-023-14, PTB 169/018 MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

For

Knight E/A, Inc. 221 North LaSalle Street Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60601

Submitted by Wang Engineering, Inc. 1145 North Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 (630) 953-9928

> Original Report: February 23, 2018 Revised Report: July 12, 2018

1. Title and Subtitle Structure Geotechnical Repo	2. Report Date July 12, 2018 3. Report Type SGR RGR □ Draft □ Final ⊠ Revised							
4. Route / Section / County FAP 326 / (105XB) B-R / M	cHenry	5. IDOT Job / Contract No. D-91-023-14						
6. PTB / Item No. 169/018	7. Existing Structure Number(s) 056-0025	8. Proposed Structure Number(s) 056-0316						
9. Prepared by Wang Engineering, Inc. 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148	Contributor(s) Author: Andri A. Kurnia, P.E. QC/QA: Corina T. Farez, P.E., P.G. PIC: Liviu M. Iordache, P.G.	Contact Phone Number/ Email (630) 953-9928 ext. 1025 akurnia@wangeng.com						
10. Prepared for Knight E/A, Inc. 221 N LaSalle Street Suite 300 Chicago, IL, 60601	Design / Structural Engineer Jackie Wang, P.E., S.E. John Murillo, P.E.	Contact Phone Number (312) 577-3363 (312) 577-3379						
 the proposed realigned II have wingwalls extending be constructed with the fiproposed approach embar provides geotechnical readermbankments. Beneath the topsoil, the signavelly sand and medium With minor foundation sea loads will undergo long-tend of embankment const for new bridge piles. Glo for the short-term and lon The proposed integral ab provided for each pile siz 	Chicago, IL, 60601 11. Abstract A new single span bridge, SN 056-0316, will be constructed to the east of the existing structure to carry the proposed realigned IL 47 northbound and southbound lanes. The proposed integral abutments will have wingwalls extending to the west which will meet the abutment wingwalls of the parallel bridge to be constructed with the future widening and reconstruction of IL 47 from Reed Road and IL 176. The proposed approach embankments will require 12 to 14 feet high new fill at the abutments. This report provides geotechnical recommendations for the design of proposed bridge foundations and approach							
12. Path to archived file S:\Netprojects\1680501\Reports\Bridg	e over Kishwaukee NB\RPT_Wang_AAK_016805	501_IL 47NBBridge_SGR_V6_20180712.pdf						

Technical Report Documentation Page



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	EXISTING STRUCTURE	1
1.2	PROPOSED STRUCTURE	1
2.0	SITE CONDITION AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING	2
2.1	Physiography	
2.2	SURFICIAL COVER	
2.3	BEDROCK	
3.0	METHODS OF INVESTIGATION	4
3.1	SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION	
3.2	LABORATORY TESTING	5
4.0	RESULTS OF FIELD AND LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS	5
4.1	SOIL CONDITIONS	
4.2	GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	6
5.0	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
5.1	SCOUR CONSIDERATIONS	6
5.2	SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	7
5.3	APPROACH EMBANKMENTS AND SLABS	
5.	3.1 Settlement	
5.	.3.2 Global Stability	9
5.4	FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS	9
5.	.4.1 Driven Piles	
5.	.4.2 Lateral Loading	
5.5	STAGE CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	
6.0	CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	
6.1	Excavation and Dewatering	
6.2	EARTHWORK OPERATIONS	17
7.0	QUALIFICATIONS	
REF	ERENCES	



EXHIBITS

1. Site Location Map

2. Site and Regional Geology

3. Boring Location Plan

4. Soil Profile

APPENDIX A

Boring Logs

APPENDIX B

Laboratory Test Results

APPENDIX C

Global Stability Evaluations

APPENDIX D

TSL Plan



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT IL ROUTE 47 BRIDGE OVER KISHWAUKEE RIVER EXISTING SN 056-0025; PROPOSED SN 056-0316 FAP 326, SECTION (105XB) B-R IDOT JOB NO. D-91-023-14, PTB 169/018 MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR KNIGHT E/A, INC.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our subsurface investigation, laboratory testing, and geotechnical evaluations and recommendations for the proposed replacement bridge carrying IL Route 47 (IL 47) over the Kishwaukee River, in McHenry County, Illinois. A *Site Location Map* is presented as Exhibit 1.

1.1 Existing Structure

The existing structure carrying IL 47 over the Kishwaukee River was constructed in 1936. The existing structure is a two-span continuous concrete slab bridge supported on closed abutments and a solid pier. The length of the bridge is 39.0 feet measured back-to-back of abutments and an out-to-out deck width of 47.0 feet. The site for the proposed replacement bridge is located just east of the existing structure and it is currently a wooded area with a ditch.

1.2 Proposed Structure

The existing structure will be removed. A new single span bridge, SN 056-0316, will be constructed to the east of the existing bridge to carry the proposed realigned IL 47 northbound (NB) and southbound (SB) lanes. The proposed replacement bridge will be supported by integral abutments with horizontal cantilever wingwalls and will have a back-to-back abutment length of 87.9 feet. The out-to-out deck width will measure 59.8 feet.

When the future reconstruction and widening of IL 47 from Reed Road to IL 176 is constructed, a parallel single span bridge, SN 056-0315, will be built to the west of the proposed replacement bridge.



The proposed structure will require new approach embankments. The proposed IL 47 centerline elevations at the approach embankments are 868 to 869 feet and the existing grades lie at approximately 855 to 856 feet; therefore the maximum embankment heights amount to 12 to 14 feet. The proposed embankment side slope is 1:3 (V:H) and the proposed embankment side slope near the abutments is 1:2 (V:H).

The purpose of our investigation was to characterize the site soil and groundwater conditions, perform geotechnical analyses, and provide recommendations for the design and construction of the new IL 47 bridge foundations.

2.0 SITE CONDITION AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The project area is located in southern McHenry County, within Grafton Township. On the USGS *Huntley Quadrangle 7.5 Minute Series* map, the bridge is located in SE ¹/₄ of Section 4, Township 43 N, Range 7 E of the Third Principal Meridian. We note that there is an existing 6-foot deep ditch running south to north, just east of the existing approach embankments. The diversion of this ditch for the proposed embankment construction is not known at this time.

The following review of published geologic data, with emphasis on factors that might influence the design and construction of the proposed engineering works, is meant to place the project area within a geological framework and, thus, to confirm the dependability and consistency of the present subsurface investigation results. For the study of the regional geologic framework, Wang considered northeastern Illinois area in general and McHenry County in particular.

2.1 Physiography

Eastern two-thirds of McHenry County is part of the Wheaton Morainal Country within the Till Plains Physiographic Section (Leighton et al. 1948). This section is characterized by hummocky topography as a result of numerous advances and retreats of ice sheets (Curry 2005a). The project site is located within the limits of north to south trending Barlina Moraine. Barlina Moraine is fragmented by numerous drainage ways through which today Kishwaukee River and some of its tributaries are running. The Kishwaukee River Valley crosses east to west the Barlina Moraine near the moraine north end.



Our project is located at the IL 47 crossing over the Kishwaukee River valley and the elevations along the proposed roadway alignment vary from 850 to 870 feet. The general site topography slowly increases in elevation from south to north from 850 feet at the river crossing to 920 feet at the moraine ridge. The Kishwaukee River flows westward through an approximately 25 feet wide channel within a floodplain less than one half mile wide.

2.2 Surficial Cover

The surficial cover is mainly the result of Wisconsin-age glacial activity (Hansel and Johnson, 1996). The glacigenic deposits were emplaced during pulsating advances and retreats of an icesheet lobe responsible for the formation of end moraines and associated low-relief till and lake plains. Many kettle depressions and other low-lying areas that scar the Barlina Moraine are filled with deposits of fine, sorted sediment of the Equality Formation and peat and marl of the Grayslake Peat. The Barlina Moraine contains deposits of diamicton and sorted sediments associated with the Yorkville and Batestown Members of the Lemont Formation. They are intercalated with lenses and layers of sorted sands and gravels outwash deposits of the Henry Formation overlying thick deposits of clay loamy diamictons of the Tiskilwa Formation. Multiple advances and retreats of the ice front account for the layers in the moraine (Hansel and Johnson 1996, Curry and Thomason 2012, Curry 2005a). Older, Illinois-age diamicton of the Glasford Formation, with thicknesses of less than 50 feet, discontinuously rests over the bedrock. The Glasford formation is represented by a courser and pebbly till that may include stratified gravel, sand, and silt. The drift thickness along the project alignment is approximately 150 feet (Curry and Thomason 2012). The *Site and Regional Geology* is illustrated in Exhibit 2.

From a geotechnical viewpoint, the Equality Formation sediments are characterized by high plasticity, medium to high moisture content, and moderate to high compresibility. The Henry Formation sediments are characterized by medium to high density and moderate to low compresibility. The Lemont Formation diamicton is characterised by high silt content, low moisture content and higher strength. The Tiskilwa Formation diamicton is characterised by low to very low plasticity, low moisture content, medium to hard consistency, and low compressibility. The Glasford Formation is characterized by high density, and hard consistency (Bauer et al. 1991).

2.3 Bedrock

In southern McHenry County, the surficial cover rests unconformably on top of nearly horizontal Silurian- and Ordovician-age dolomites (Curry 2005c). The top of the bedrock lies approximately



150 feet below the ground surface (bgs). The top of the bedrock lies at about 700 feet elevation (NGVD) (Curry 2012).

Our subsurface investigation results fit into the local geologic context. The borings drilled in the project area revealed that the native sediments at the project site consist of very thin and discontinuous black organic silt of the Grays Lake Peat and clay and silt of the Equality Formation over brown to pinkish gray loamy diamicton with sand and silt lenses of the Tiskilwa Formation interfinger with thick deposits of sand and gravel outwash of the Henry Formation. None of the borings reached the bedrock.

3.0 METHODS OF INVESTIGATION

The following sections outline the subsurface and laboratory investigations performed by Wang. Elevations in this report are in North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) 1988.

3.1 Subsurface Investigation

The subsurface investigation was performed by Wang in January 2016. The investigation consisted of two structure borings. The borings, designated as SB-03 and SB-04, were drilled from elevations of 856.5 and 859.8 feet to a depth of 90.0 feet below ground surface (bgs). Boring coordinates were surveyed by Wang using a mapping-grade GPS unit; stations and offsets were provided by Knight. The as-drilled boring locations are shown in the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and in the *Boring Location Plan* (Exhibit 3).

An ATV mounted drilling rig, equipped with hollow stem, was used to advance and maintain an open borehole. Soil sampling was performed according to AASHTO T 206, "*Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils.*" The soil was sampled at 2.5-foot intervals to 30.0 feet bgs and at 5.0-foot intervals thereafter. Soil samples collected from each interval were placed in sealed jars for further examination and laboratory testing.

Field boring logs, prepared and maintained by a Wang geologist, included lithological descriptions, visual-manual soil classifications (IDH textural classification), results of pocket penetrometer or Rimac unconfined compressive strength (Q_u) testing on cohesive soils, and results of Standard Penetration Test (SPT) recorded as blows per 6 inches of penetration.



Groundwater observations were made during and at completion of drilling operations. The borings were backfilled with soil cuttings and bentonite chips, and the surface was restored as close as possible to the original condition.

3.2 Laboratory Testing

Soil samples were tested in the laboratory for moisture content (AASHTO T 265). Atterberg limits (T 89/T 90) and particle size analyses (T 88) tests were also performed on a selected sample. Field visual descriptions of the soil samples were verified in the laboratory and classified according to the IDH Soil Classification System. Laboratory test results are shown on the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and in the *Laboratory Test Results* (Appendix B).

4.0 RESULTS OF FIELD AND LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS

Detailed descriptions of the soil conditions encountered during the subsurface investigation are presented on the attached *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and in the *Soil Profile* (Exhibit 4). Please note that strata contact lines represent approximate boundaries between soil types. The actual transition between soil types in the field may be gradual in horizontal and vertical directions.

4.1 Soil Conditions

Borings revealed 12 inches of dark brown to black silt to silty loam topsoil at the surface. In descending order, the general lithologic succession encountered beneath the surface includes 1) very loose to medium dense organic silty loam to loam; 2) stiff to very stiff silty clay to silty clay loam and loose sand; and 3) alternating very loose to very dense sand to gravelly sand and stiff to very stiff clay loam to loam.

1) Very loose to medium dense organic silty loam to loam

Beneath the topsoil, the borings revealed 2.0 to 5.5 feet of very loose to medium dense, dark brown to black organic silty loam to loam. This layer has SPT N values of 2 to 10 blows/foot with moisture content values of 19 and 25%. A higher moisture content value of 117% was encountered in Boring SB-04 between 3 and 5.5 feet bgs.

2) Stiff to very stiff silty clay to silty clay loam and loose sand

At elevations of 853.5 to 854.3 feet (3.0 to 5.5 feet bgs), the borings encountered 1.0 to 2.5 feet of stiff to very stiff, brown and gray silty clay to silty clay loam and loose, saturated sand. The cohesive soil



has unconfined compressive strength (Qu) values of 1.1 and 3.5 tsf with moisture content values of 15 and 18%. The sand layer has SPT N value of 7 blows/foot with moisture content value 20%.

3) Very loose to very dense sand to gravelly sand and medium stiff to very stiff clay loam to loam At elevations of 848.5 to 853.3 feet (6.5 to 8.0 feet bgs), the borings advanced through alternating layers of very loose to very dense sand to gravelly sand outwash deposits and stiff to very stiff clay loam to loam diamicton. The very loose to very dense, saturated sand to gravelly sand, encountered in 1.0 to 48.2 feet thick deposits extending to the boring termination depth of 90 feet (elevations 766.5 and 769.8 feet), has SPT N values of 3 to 57 blows/foot with an average of 20 blows/foot and moisture content values of 5 to 25% with an average of 13%. Heaving sand and hard drilling conditions were encountered during drilling from 47.0 feet bgs to boring termination depth of 90 feet bgs (elevations 809.5 to 766.5 feet) in Boring SB-03 and at 20.5 feet bgs (elevation 839.3 feet) in Boring SB-04.

The medium stiff to very stiff, pinkish brown to pinkish gray clay loam to loam diamicton, encountered in 1.0 to 7.5 feet thick deposits, has Qu values of 0.5 to 3.12 tsf with an average of 1.9 tsf and moisture content of 10 to 16% with an average of 11%.

4.2 Groundwater Conditions

While drilling, the groundwater was first observed at elevations of 853.4 to 853.5 feet (3.0 to 6.4 feet bgs). At completion of drilling, the groundwater was measured at elevations of 844.5 to 852.8 feet (7.0 to 12.0 feet bgs). All granular layers encountered below elevation of 854 feet are considered waterbearing. We estimate the groundwater table was at about elevation of 853 feet (3 to 6 feet bgs) which may correspond to the water level in the Kiswaukee River. We expect that the groundwater levels will fluctuate seasonally.

5.0 FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The geotechnical evaluations and recommendations for scour and seismic design considerations, approach embankment analyses, and abutment and wingwalls foundations are included in the following sections.

5.1 Scour Considerations

Information provided by Knight indicates a streambed elevation at 852.2 feet. The hydraulic report indicates total scour depths of 23.04 feet at both abutments for 100 year event (Q100) and 24.13 feet at



both abutments for 200 year event (Q200) at the south and north abutments, respectively. Both abutment foundations are shown in the TSL plan with stone riprap for scour protection. For open abutments protected with stone riprap, the design and check scour elevations are set at the bottom of the abutment per IDOT ABD Memo 14.2. The design scour elevations for the proposed bridge are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Design Scour Elevations							
Event/Limit	Design Sc	our Elevation (ft.)	Item				
State	South Abutment North Abutment		113				
Q100	860.27	860.81					
Q200	860.27	860.81					
Design	860.27	860.81	8				
Check	860.27	860.81					

5.2 Seismic Design Considerations

The seismic site class was determined in accordance with Section 6.12 Seismic Analysis (IDOT 2015). The soils within the top 100 feet have a weighted average N value of 16 blows/foot (AASHTO 2012; Method C controlling), and the results classify the site in the Seismic Site Class D in accordance with the IDOT method. The project location belongs to the Seismic Performance Zone 1. The seismic spectral acceleration parameters recommended for design in accordance with AASHTO (2012) are summarized in Table 2. According to IDOT Bridge Manual (IDOT, 2012), liquefaction analysis is not required for a site located Seismic Performance Zone 1.

Table 2: Seismic Design Parameters								
Spectral Acceleration Period (sec)	Spectral Acceleration Coefficient ¹⁾ (% g)	Site Factors	Design Spectrum for Site Class D ²⁾ (% g)					
0.2	S _s = 8.7	$F_{a} = 1.6$	S _{DS} = 13.9					
1.0	S ₁ =3.3	$F_v = 2.4$	S _{D1} =8.0					

1) Spectral acceleration coefficients based on Site Class D.

2) Site Class D Spectrum to be included on plans; $A_s = PGA*F_{pga}$; $S_{DS} = S_s*F_a$; $S_{D1} = S_1*F_v$.



5.3 Approach Embankments and Slabs

Based on the centerline profile and cross section drawings, the proposed approach embankment will require about 12 to 14 feet high new fill at the abutment locations and will have a side slope of 1:2 (V:H).

Based on the encountered subsoil conditions, very loose to loose organic silty loam to silty loam is expected to be encountered at the approach embankments. To provide tolerable settlements and stable working platforms, we recommend removing the soils as presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Summary of Foundation Soils Treatment Recommendations							
Limits		Foundation Soil					
Station to Station	Treatment Width	Removal Depth*/Elevation (feet)	Replacement Material	Reference Boring, Foundation Concerns			
531+36 to 531+66 (South Approach)	Entire width of embankment	1.0 to 5.5/854.3	Fill as per IDOT Specifications	SB-04 (Organic Silty Loam, N=4 blow/foot, MC=117%)			
532+54 to 532+84 (North Approach)	Entire width of embankment	3.0/853.5	Fill as per IDOT Specifications	SB-03 (Very Loose Loam, N=2 blow/foot, MC=25%)			

* Depth measured from the existing grade at boring locations.

5.3.1 Settlement

Considering the recommended removal and replacement, we estimate the cohesive foundation soils under the new approach embankment fill loads will undergo 0.2 to 0.5 inches of long-term consolidation settlement. The settlement estimates are performed using IDOT *Spreadsheet - Cohesive Soil Settlement Estimate*, dated December 9, 2014. We estimate the residual settlement at the completion of approach embankment construction will be less than 0.4 inch. These settlement estimates are appropriate for the construction of approach slabs and we do not anticipate requiring downdrag load allowances for the new foundation piles.



5.3.2 Global Stability

The global stability of the side slopes was analyzed with *Slide 6.0*. The minimum required factor of safety (FOS) for both short-term and long-term conditions is 1.5 (IDOT, 2015). Slope stability evaluation exhibits are shown in Appendix C.

The global stability evaluations were performed at the north abutment based on subsurface soil conditions encountered in Boring SB-03 and the recommended removal and replacement which represents the critical condition. The total embankment height is approximately 13.5 feet. Wang estimates a minimum FOS of 3.1 and 2.2 at the bridge side slope for undrained and drained conditions (Appendices C-1 and C-2), respectively. The FOS is satisfactory and meets the IDOT required FOS of 1.5.

5.4 Foundation Recommendations

The TSL plan shows the pile cap base elevations for the IL 47 NB Bridge at 860.27 and 860.81 feet at the south and north abutments, respectively. Preliminary service and factored loads for the foundations provided by Knight are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Preliminary Foundation Loads							
Substructure ID Estimated Total Service Load Estimated Total Factored Load							
	(kips)	(kips)					
Bridge Abutments	1630	2385					

Wang has evaluated various possible foundation types that can be considered for the support of the proposed bridge. A shallow foundation consisting of spread footings may not be suitable due to encountered subsurface conditions and potential scour concerns. Due to the granular nature of soil conditions and high groundwater table, we do not recommend considering drilled shafts. We recommend driven piles to support the integral abutments. Geotechnical parameters for the design of the deep foundations are presented in the following sections.

Standard integral abutment horizontal cantilever wingwalls are proposed in the median between the NB and SB bridge abutments. The wingwalls should be designed for lateral earth pressure. If the wingwalls do not meet the requirements for horizontal cantilever wingwalls, they should be designed as T-type walls founded at 4 feet below the final grade. We estimate the foundation soil has a maximum factored bearing resistance of 2,500 psf for a resistance factor of 0.45 (AASHTO 2016).



5.4.1 Driven Piles

IDOT specifies the maximum nominal required bearing (R_{NMAX}) for each pile and states the factored resistance available (R_F) for a steel H-pile and metal shell pile (MSP) should be based on a geotechnical resistance factor (Φ_G) of 0.55 (IDOT 2012). Nominal tip and side resistance were estimated using the methods and empirical equations presented in *AGMU Memorandum 10.2 – Geotechnical Pile Design* (IDOT, 2011). Wang performed evaluations for a range of H-pile and MSP sizes and nominal and factored loads. The R_F , R_N , estimated pile tip elevations, and pile lengths for MSP 12-inch and 14-inch diameters, HP12x53, HP12x63, HP 14x73, and HP14x89, are presented in Tables 5 through 12. The lengths shown in the tables include 2 feet pile embedment into the abutments.

The R_F estimates are governed by the relationship $R_F = \phi_G R_N - \phi_G (DD_R + S_C + L_{iq})I_G - (\gamma_p)(\lambda_{IS})DD_L$ (IDOT 2012). We estimate the residual settlement at the completion of approach embankment construction will be less than 0.4-inch at abutments and there will be a riprap protection for the abutment piles. Therefore, we do not anticipate downdrag and scour loads reduction for the piles.

		Nominal	Factored	Factored	Factored	Total	Estimated
Structure	Pile	Required	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Resistance	Estimated	Pile Tip
Unit	Cap Base	Bearing,	Loss,	Loss Load,	Available,	Pile Length	Elevation
	Elevations	$R_{\rm N}$	(DD+S _c +L _{iq})	(DD only)	$R_{\rm F}$		
	(feet)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
South		145	0	0	80	23	839.3
Abutment	860.27	218	0	0	120	28	834.3
(SB-04)		256	0	0	141	44	818.3
North		145	0	0	80	34	828.8
Abutment	860.81	218	0	0	120	48	814.8
(SB-03)		256	0	0	141	51	811.8



		Nominal	Factored	Factored	Factored	Total	Estimated
Structure	Pile	Required	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Resistance	Estimated	Pile Tip
Unit	Cap Base	Bearing,	Loss,	Loss Load,	Available,	Pile Length	Elevation
	Elevations	$R_{\rm N}$	(DD+S _c +L _{iq})	(DD only)	\mathbf{R}_{F}		
	(feet)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
	860.27	145	0	0	80	23	839.3
South		218	0	0	120	28	834.3
Abutment		291	0	0	160	43	819.3
(SB-04)		355	0	0	195	51	811.3
N T 1		145	0	0	80	34	828.8
North Abutment		218	0	0	120	48	814.8
	860.81	291	0	0	160	53	809.8
(SB-03)		355	0	0	195	68	794.8

Table 6: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for 12-inch Diameter MSP with w/ 0.25" Walls

Table 7: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for MSP 14- inch Diameter MSP w/ 0.25" walls

		8	1			-	-
		Nominal	Factored	Factored	Factored	Total	Estimated
Structure	Pile	Required	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Resistance	Estimated	Pile Tip
Unit	Cap Base	Bearing,	Loss,	Loss Load,	Available,	Pile Length	Elevation
	Elevations	R_N	(DD+S _c +L _{iq})	(DD only)	\mathbf{R}_{F}		
	(feet)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
		145	0	0	80	23	839.3
South		218	0	0	120	26	836.3
Abutment	860.27	291	0	0	160	41	821.3
(SB-04)		364	0	0	200	44	818.3
		416	0	0	229	49	813.3
		145	0	0	80	32	830.8
North		218	0	0	120	38	824.8
Abutment	860.81	291	0	0	160	48	814.8
(SB-03)		364	0	0	200	54	808.8
		416	0	0	229	68	794.8



		0					
		Nominal	Factored	Factored	Factored	Total	Estimated
Structure	Pile	Required	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Resistance	Estimated	Pile Tip
Unit	Cap Base	Bearing,	Loss,	Loss Load,	Available,	Pile Length	Elevation
	Elevations	R_N	(DD+S _c +L _{iq})	(DD only)	$R_{\rm F}$		
	(feet)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
		145	0	0	80	23	839.3
		218	0	0	120	26	836.3
South	860.27	291	0	0	160	41	821.3
Abutment		364	0	0	200	44	818.3
(SB-04)		436	0	0	240	58	804.3
		516	0	0	284	69	793.3
		145	0	0	80	32	830.8
		218	0	0	120	38	824.8
North	0.60.01	291	0	0	160	48	814.8
Abutment	860.81	364	0	0	200	54	808.8
(SB-03)		436	0	0	240	68	794.8
		516	0	0	284	68	794.8

Table 8: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for MSP 14- inch Diameter MSP w/ 0.312" walls

Table 9: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for HP12x53 Steel H-Piles

		Nominal	Factored	Factored	Factored	Total	Estimated
Structure	Pile	Required	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Resistance	Estimated	Pile Tip
Unit	Cap Base	Bearing,	Loss,	Loss Load,	Available,	Pile Length	Elevation
	Elevations	$R_{\rm N}$	(DD+S _c +L _{iq})	(DD only)	\mathbf{R}_{F}		
	(feet)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
South		145	0	0	80	47	815.3
Abutment	860.27	218	0	0	120	73	789.3
(SB-04)		249 (*)	0	0	137	93	769.3
		145	0	0	80	48	814.8
North	970.01	218	0	0	120	88	774.8
Abutment	860.81	291	0	0	160	93	769.8
(SB-03)		360 (*)	0	0	198	96	766.8

(*) Maximum nominal required bearing at boring termination depth.



			0	1			
		Nominal	Factored	Factored	Factored	Total	Estimated
Structure	Pile	Required	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Resistance	Estimated	Pile Tip
Unit	Cap Base	Bearing,	Loss,	Loss Load,	Available,	Pile Length	Elevation
	Elevations	$R_{\rm N}$	(DD+S _c +L _{iq})	(DD only)	R _F		
	(feet)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
South		145	0	0	80	44	818.3
Abutment	860.27	218	0	0	120	73	789.3
(SB-04)		251 (*)	0	0	138	93	769.3
		145	0	0	80	48	814.8
North Abutment	-	218	0	0	120	88	774.8
	860.81	291	0	0	160	93	769.8
(SB-03)		368 (*)	0	0	202	96	766.8

Table 10: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for HP12x63 Steel H-Piles

(*) Maximum nominal required bearing at boring termination depth.

		Nominal	Factored	Factored	Factored	Total	Estimated
Structure	Pile	Required	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Resistance	Estimated	Pile Tip
Unit	Cap Base	Bearing,	Loss,	Loss Load,	Available,	Pile Length	Elevation
	Elevations	$R_{\rm N}$	(DD+Sc+Liq)	(DD only)	\mathbf{R}_{F}		
	(feet)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
South		145	0	0	80	40	822.3
Abutment	860.27	218	0	0	120	69	793.3
(SB-04)		304 (*)	0	0	167	93	769.3
		145	0	0	80	38	824.8
North		218	0	0	120	61	801.8
Abutment	860.81	291	0	0	160	88	774.8
(SB-03)		364	0	0	200	93	769.8
		435 (*)	0	0	239	96	766.8

Table 11: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for HP14x73 Steel H-Piles

(*) Maximum nominal required bearing at boring termination depth.



					D 1	T 1	F
		Nominal	Factored	Factored	Factored	Total	Estimated
Structure	Pile	Required	Geotechnical	Geotechnical	Resistance	Estimated	Pile Tip
Unit	Cap Base	Bearing,	Loss,	Loss Load,	Available,	Pile Length	Elevation
	Elevations	R_N	(DD+S _c +L _{iq})	(DD only)	\mathbf{R}_{F}		
	(feet)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
South		145	0	0	80	40	822.3
Abutment	860.27	218	0	0	120	69	793.3
(SB-04)		308 (*)	d Geotechnical Geotech , Loss, Loss I $(DD+S_e+L_{iq})$ $(DD - G_{iq})$ (kips) $(kip)0 0 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 0 0$	0	169	93	769.3
		145	0	0	80	38	824.8
North		218	0	0	120	61	801.8
Abutment	860.81	291	0	0	160	88	774.8
(SB-03)		364	0	0	200	93	769.8
		443 (*)	0	0	244	96	766.8

Table 12: Estimated Pile	Lengths and	Tip Elevations	for HP14x89 Steel H-Piles
	0	1	

(*) Maximum nominal required bearing at boring termination depth.

5.4.2 Lateral Loading

Lateral loads on all piles should be analyzed for maximum moments and lateral deflections. The geotechnical resistance factor of 1.0 should be used. Batter piles can be considered to resist the lateral loads. Recommended lateral soil modulus parameters and soil strain parameters required for analysis via the p-y curve method are included in Tables 13 and 14.

Table 13: Recommended Soil Parameters for Lateral Load Pile Analysis at South Abutment (SB-04)

		Undrained		Soil Lateral		
Layer Elevation/ Soil	Unit	Shear	Friction	Modulus	Soil Strain	
Description	Weight, γ_e	Strength, c _u	angle, ϕ	Parameter, k	Parameter, ε_{50}	
	(lbs/ft ³)	(lbs/ft ²)	(°)	(lb/in ³)**		
860.27* to 854.3	105	1 000	0	500	0.007	
IDOT Fill	125	1,000	0	500	0.007	
854.3 to 853.3	125	2 000	0	1000	0.005	
Silty Clay Loam	125	3,000	0	1000	0.005	
853.3*** to 851.8	(9	0	26	125		
Gravelly Sand	68	0	36	125		



		Undrained		Soil Lateral	
Layer Elevation/ Soil	Unit	Shear	Friction	Modulus	Soil Strain
Description	Weight, γ_e	Strength, c_u	angle, ϕ	Parameter, k	Parameter, ε_5
	(lbs/ft ³)	(lbs/ft^2)	(°)	(lb/in ³)**	
851.8 to 849.3	53	500	0	100	0.010
Clay Loam	55	500	0	100	0.010
849.3 to 845.8	53	0	28	20	
Sand / Loam	55	0	20	20	
845.8 to 844.3	58	0	33	60	
Gravelly Sand	58	0		00	
844.3 to 839.3	58	2,000	0	1000	0.005
Clay Loam to Loam	58	2,000	0	1000	0.005
839.3 to 828.0	63	0	33	60	
Gravelly Sand	03	0	55	00	
828.0 to 823.0	63	0	35	60	
Sand	05	0	55	00	
823.0 to 818.0	58	1,800	0	500	0.007
Clay Loam to Loam	38	1,800	0	500	0.007
818.0 to 806.0	63	0	33	60	
Gravelly Sand	03	0	33	00	
806.0 to 793.0	58	0	31	60	
Coarse Sand	50	0	51	00	
793.0 to 783.0	63	0	35	125	
Fine Sand	03	0	33	123	
783.0 to 769.8	68	0	36	125	
Gravelly Sand	08	U	30	123	

*Pile Cap Base Elevation.

** Submerged condition for granular soil.

*** Groundwater at elevation 853.3 feet.



		Undrained		Soil Lateral		
Soil	Unit	Shear	Friction	Modulus	Soil Strain	
Description	Weight, γ_e	Strength, c _u	angle, ø	Parameter, k	Parameter, ε_{50}	
	(lbs/ft ³)	(lbs/ft^2)	(°)	(lb/in ³)**		
860.81* to 853.5	125	1 000	0	500	0.007	
IDOT Fill	125	1,000	0	500	0.007	
853.5*** to 851.0	53	0	28	20		
Fine Sand	55	0	20	20		
851.0 to 848.5	53	1,000	0	500	0.007	
Silty Clay	55	1,000	0	500	0.007	
848.5 to 844.5	58	1,700	0	500	0.007	
Clay Loam to Loam	56	1,700	0	500	0.007	
844.5 to 843.5	58	0	30	60		
Gravelly Sand	58	0	30	00		
843.5 to 836.0	53	0	28	60		
Loam to Clay Loam	55	0	20	00		
836.0 to 831.0	58	0	32	60		
Gravelly Sand	58	0	52	00		
831.0 to 824.8	63	2,500	0	1000	0.005	
Clay Loam	05	2,500	0	1000	0.005	
824.8 to 819.8	58	0	32	60		
Medium Sand	50	0	52	00		
819.8 to 814.8	63	3,100	0	1000	0.005	
Clay Loam	03	5,100	0	1000	0.005	
814.8 to 799.8	58	0	32	60		
Gravelly Sand	58	0	32	00		
799.8 to 779.8	63	0	35	60		
Fine to Medium Sand	05	U	33	00		
779.8 to 774.8	50	0	31	60		
Coarse Sand	58	0	51	60		
774.8 to 766.5	68	0	36	125		
Gravelly Sand	08	U	30	125		

Table 14: Recommended Soil Parameters for Lateral Load Pile Analysis at North Abutment (SB-03)

*Pile Cap Base Elevation.

** Submerged condition for granular soil.

*** Groundwater at elevation 853.5 feet.



5.5 Stage Construction Consideration

Since the proposed IL 47 NB Bridge is a new structure, we do not anticipate stage construction will be required for the construction of the bridge. However, if stage construction is required along the median, assuming an exposed height of about 16 feet, our evaluations indicate temporary steel sheet piling is feasible. The sheet piling should be designed based on Design Guide 3.13.1 (IDOT 2012).

6.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 Excavation and Dewatering

Foundation excavations should be performed in accordance with local, State, and federal regulations. The potential effect of ground movements upon nearby utilities should be considered during construction.

During the subsurface investigation, groundwater was first encountered at elevations ranging from 853.4 to 853.5 feet. At the abutments, groundwater will be encountered about 7 feet below the pile cap base elevations of 860.27 and 860.81 feet and we do not anticipate the need for special dewatering. Depending upon prevailing climate conditions and the time of the year when bridge construction takes place, control of runoff and maintenance of existing flows may require temporary water diversion and control. Water that does accumulate into the open excavations by seepage or runoff should be immediately removed by the sump/pump method.

As mentioned in Section 2.0, a ditch is running just east of the existing approach embankments. During our field visit in January 2016, there was water standing in this ditch. Therefore, construction of the structure may require water diversion and removal of the soften soil of the existing ditch.

6.2 Earthwork Operations

The required earthwork can be accomplished with conventional construction equipment. Moisture and traffic will cause deterioration of exposed subgrade soils. Precautions should be taken by the contractor to prevent water erosion of the exposed subgrade. A compacted subgrade will minimize water runoff erosion.



Earth moving operations should be scheduled to not coincide with excessive cold or wet weather (early spring, late fall or winter). Any soil allowed to freeze or soften due to the standing water should be removed. Wet weather can cause problems with subgrade compaction.

It is recommended that an experienced geotechnical engineer be retained to inspect the exposed subgrade, monitor earthwork operations, and provide material inspection services during the construction phase of this project.

7.0 QUALIFICATIONS

The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the borings drilled at the locations shown on the boring logs and in Exhibit 3. This report does not reflect any variations that may occur between the borings or elsewhere on the site, variations whose nature and extent may not become evident until the course of construction. In the event that any changes in the design and/or location of the bridge are planned, we should be timely informed so that our recommendations can be adjusted accordingly.

It has been a pleasure to assist Knight E/A, Inc. on this project. Please call if there are any questions, or if we can be of further service.

Respectfully Submitted,

WANG ENGINEERING, INC.

Andri Kurnia, P.E. Senior Geotechnical Engineer Corina T. Farez, P.E., P.G. QA/QC Reviewer

Nesam S. Balakumaran, P. Eng. Project Geotechnical Engineer



REFERENCES

- AASHTO (2016) *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C.
- BAUER, R.A., CURRY, B.B., GRAESE, A.M., VAIDEN, R.C., SU, W.J., and HASEK, M.J., 1991, Geotechnical Properties of Selected Pleistocene, Silurian, and Ordovician Deposits of Northeastern Illinois: Environmental Geology 139, Illinois State Geological Survey, 69 p.
- CURRY, B.B., AND J.F. THOMASON, 2012, Surficial Geology of Huntley Quadrangle, McHenry and Kane Counties, Illinois: Illinois State Geological Survey, USGS-STATEMAP contract report, 2 sheets, 1:24,000
- CURRY, B.B., 2005a, Surficial Geology of Crystal Lake Quadrangle, McHenry and Kane counties, Illinois: Illinois Geological Survey, Illinois Geologic Quadrangle Map, IGQ Crystal Lake-SG, 1:24,000.
- CURRY, B.B., 2005b, Drift Thickness of Crystal Lake Quadrangle, McHenry and Kane counties, Illinois: Illinois Geological Survey, Illinois Geologic Quadrangle Map, IGQ Crystal Lake-DT, 1:24,000.
- CURRY, B.B., 2005c, Bedrock Topography of Crystal Lake Quadrangle, McHenry and Kane counties, Illinois: Illinois Geological Survey, Illinois Geologic Quadrangle Map, IGQ Crystal Lake-BT, 1:24,000.
- HANSEL, A.K., and JOHNSON, W.H., 1996, Wedron and Mason Groups: Lithostratigraphic Reclassification of the Wisconsin Episode, Lake Michigan Lobe Area: ISGS Bulletin 104: Champaign, Illinois State Geological Survey, 116 p.
- IDOT (2009) All Geotechnical Manual Users Memorandum 09.1 Seismic Site Class Definition. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IDOT (2011) All Geotechnical Manual Users Memorandum 10.2 Static Method of Estimating Pile Length. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IDOT (2012) Bridge Manual. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IDOT (2016) Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction. Illinois Department of Transportation, 1098 pp.
- LEIGHTON, M.M., EKBLAW, G.E., and HORBERG, L., 1948, Physiographic Divisions of Illinois: The Journal of Geology, v. 56, p. 16-33.



EXHIBITS

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982







 Legend
 Soil boring

 Soil boring
 Soil boring







APPENDIX A

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982













APPENDIX B

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



LAB.GDT SU 1680501.GPJ Ы SIZE GRAIN





IDH 1680501.GPJ WANGENG.GDT 5/4/16

ų



APPENDIX C

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982







APPENDIX D

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



atio	ns (ft.)	Item
05	5- <i>031</i> 6	113
but.	N. Abut.	115
27	860.81	
27	860.81	8
27	860.81	0
27	860.81	

4	47 sq. mi. Low Grade Elev. 865.10 👁 Sta. 21+75									
	Freq.	Q (c.	f.s.)	Opening	Sq. Ft.	Nat.	Head	- Ft.	Headwa	iter El.
	Yr.	Exist.	Prop.	Exist.	Prop.	H.W.E.	Exist.	Prop.	Exist.	Prop.
	10	431	431	<i>1</i> 55	230	<i>858</i> .7	0.6	0.4	859.3	859.1
	50	930	930	212	340	860.3	0.7	0.4	860.9	860.7
	100	1255	1255	230	379	860.8	0.9	0.6	861.7	861.3
	200	1400	1400	236	395	861.0	0.8	0.6	861.8	861.6
_	500	1880	1880	247	433	<i>861</i> .5	0.4	0.9	861.9	862.4



		DESIGNED - JW	REVISED		
KNIGHT		CHECKED - AMD	REVISED	STATE OF ILLINOIS	
	SCALE - NONE	DRAWN - BK	REVISED	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
Engineers & Architects	DATE - 7/11/2018	CHECKED - TB	REVISED		SHEET NO. 2 OF 3 SH

	- 47 0				0	
	<u>IL RTE, 47 OVER KISHWAUKEE RIVER</u>					
<u>F.A.P</u>	. <i>RTE</i> .	326 SEC.	(105XB)	<u>B-R</u>		
MCHENRY COUNTY						
	STA. 32+10.00					
	STRUCT	TURE NO. 05	6- <i>031</i> 6			
	F.A.P.	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL	SHEET	
	RTE. 326	(105XB)B-R	MCHENRY	SHEETS 3	NO. 2	
			CONTRACT	NO. 62	2 A 80	
3 SHEETS		ILLINOIS FED. AI	D PROJECT			



			DESIGNED - JW	REVISED		
KNIG	HT		CHECKED - AMD	REVISED	STATE OF ILLINOIS	
	naero 8 Architanto	SCALE - NONE	DRAWN - BK	REVISED	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
Eng	ineers & Architects	DATE - 7/11/2018	CHECKED - TB	REVISED		SHEET NO. 3 OF 3