IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

To:	Omer Osman, Acting Secretary
From:	Keith Roberts, Acting Region 5 Engineer
Date:	June 26, 2020
Re: Count	FAI 57 (Patching from MP 1 to MP 8), Contract Number 78808, Alexander & Pulaski les
	{July 31,2020 Letting}
projec	ordance with the Project Labor Agreement Act (30 ILCS 571), it is recommended that a t labor agreement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This recommendation ed on the considerations indicated below.
	1) The Project is being awarded and administered by IDOT (i.e., not by another nmental agency).
<u> </u>	2) The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal funds).
partic	3) The overall size, scope, sequencing, logistics or other aspects of the Project make it ularly challenging to manage, and use of a PLA is expected to help assure that the ruction work is performed properly and efficiently under the circumstances.
seaso	4) The duration of construction activity on the Project is expected to exceed one construction n (i.e., 110 or more working days), or the nature of the Project results in a heightened need for force continuity and stability over a substantial period of time.
	5) There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project thereby increasing liverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.
date of the	6) The time required to complete the Project is expected to extend beyond the expiration fone or more existing collective bargaining agreements covering trades likely to be involved Project, thereby increasing the likelihood of work stoppage(s) or other labor disruption(s) construction of the Project.
unions	7) In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional disputes among s or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on the Project that could have entially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or quality of work performed on the Project.

8) This project presents specific safety concerns to the traveling public and a PLA, will ensure labor force continuity and stability, decreasing the length of the safety concern.				
9) Use of a PLA is expected to result in improved access to skilled labor, improved efficiency, or improved safety performance on the Project.				
10) Use of a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the competitive bidding process.				
12) There are other material considerations favoring or disfavoring use of a PLA on this Project as follows:				
Based upon the identified considerations, we recommend that you approve use of a PLA on this Project. Upon your approval, the Department shall undertake to negotiate in good faith a PLA with the relevant labor organization(s), and shall include in all necessary bid specifications and other documents information regarding the actual or form of PLA that is to binding upon all contractors and their employees.				
Agreed:	{Division Chief} (Date)			
Agreed:	{Bureau of Design & Environment} 7/7/2020 (Date)			
Agreed:	{Regional Engineer} OG-ZG-ZO (Date)			
Approved:	Omer Osman, Acting Secretary (Date)			

ATTACHMENT A:

Project has 30 working days

FAI 57 (I-57) Contract No. 78808 Alexander & Pulaski Counties Item 78

Execution Page

List Unions:

Jim Allen Bricklayers Milliam P. Meyers Jr. William P. Meyers Jr. United Association	William Mangin Heat & Frost Insulators & Allied Workers Richard Mathis Roofers
Ed Christensen Elevator Constructors Ryan Anderson IUPAT Pat Gleason	Paul Noble IBEW Marshall Douglas IUOE
Terrence Healy LIUNA David Beard Iron Workers	Carpenters Daniel M. Ahern Sheet Metal Workers Eric S. Davis Boilermakers

*Elevator Constructors master agreement language must be attached to PLA

pmw liuna#362

OPCMIA

Patrick J. LaCassa