# Structural Geotechnical Report

Bridge Rehabilitation Project IL Route 120 Bridge over Old Skokie Highway and UPRR Railroad Lake County, Illinois

> Existing Structure Number: SN 049-0051 Route Carried: IL Route 120 (F.A.P. 342) Section: 12 (HB&VB) BR County: Lake

Project Structural Engineer: Primera Engineers, Ltd. 100 South Wacker Drive, Suite 700 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Phone: 312-606-0910

> Geotechnical Consultant: GSG Consultants, Inc. Robert Claussen, P.E. 312-733-6262

> > April 14, 2014 June 26, 2014 August 12, 2014



GSG CONSULTANTS, INC.

855 West Adams, Sulte 200 Chicago, Illinois 60607 tel: 312.733.6262 fax: 312.733.5612

855 West Adams Street, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60607 Integrity | Quality | Reliability



August 12, 2014

Mr. Ted Georgas Chief Structural Engineer/Vice President Transportation Primera Engineers, Ltd. 100 South Wacker Drive, Suite 700 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Structural Geotechnical Report Bridge Rehabilitation Project Existing Structure Number: SN 049-0051 Carried Route: IL Route 120 (F.A.P. 342) Section: 12 (HB&VB) BR County: Lake

Dear Mr. Georgas:

Attached is a copy of the Structural Geotechnical Report for the above referenced project. The report provides a brief description of the site investigation, site conditions, and geotechnical parameters for the use in the design of the proposed improvements to the existing structure. The site investigation included advancing four (4) soil borings to depths of up to 95 feet.

- Revisions dated June 26, 2014 include revisions to pile tables and seismic data to LFD design methodology.
- Revisions dated August 12, 2014 include revisions based on comments received from Primera on July 22, 2014.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please call us at 312-733-6262.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Claussen, P.E. Senior Engineer

Al-Samla

Ala E. Sassila, Ph.D., P.E. Principal



Structural Geotechnical Report Bridge Rehabilitation Project Existing Structure Number: SN 049-0051 Route Carried: IL Route 120 (F.A.P. 342) Section: 12 (HB-VB) BR Lake County, Illinois

her Chauss

Prepared by: \_\_\_\_

Robert J. Claussen, P.E. Senior Engineer

Dawn Edgell.

Reviewed by: \_\_\_\_

Dawn Edgell, P.E. Senior Project Engineer

Approved by: Al-Sam

Ala E. Sassila Ph.D., P.E. Principal



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	PROJE	CT DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED STRUCTURE1
	1.1	Existing and Proposed Structure Information2
	1.2	Site Conditions2
	1.3	Existing Subsurface Information3
2.0	SITE SU	JBSURFACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM4
	2.1	Subsurface Exploration Program4
	2.2	Laboratory Testing Program4
	2.3	Subsurface Soil Conditions5
	2.4	Groundwater Conditions6
3.0	GEOTE	CHNICAL ANALYSES
	3.1	Derivation of Soil Parameters for Design8
	3.2	Settlement9
	3.3	Slope Stability9
	3.4	Seismic Parameters9
	3.5	Scour10
	3.6	Mining Activity10
4.0	GEOTE	CHNICAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS11
	4.1	Bridge Foundation Recommendations11
	4.2	Lateral Load Resistance13
	4.3	Bridge Abutments and Retaining Walls13
5.0	CONST	RUCTION CONSIDERATIONS16
	5.1	Site Preparation16
	5.2	Site Excavation16
	5.3	Borrow Material and Compaction Requirements17



	5.4	Groundwater Management	18
	5.5	Temporary Sheeting and Soil Retention	18
6.0	LIMIT	ATIONS	19

## Tables

Table 1	Summary of Soil Parameters
Table 2	Seismic Parameters
Table 3-1	West Abutment Pile Design
Table 3-2	East Abutment Pile Design
Table 4	Lateral Resistance Parameters
Table 5	Lateral Earth Pressure Parameters
Table 6	Equivalent Height of Soil for Vehicular Loading on Abutments Perpendicular to Traffic
	(Table 3.11.6.4-1)
Table 7	Equivalent Height of Soil for Vehicular Loading on Retaining Walls Parallel to Traffic
	(Table 3.11.6.4-2)
Table 8	Structural Fill Soil Properties
Annendices	

### <u>Appendices</u>

Appendix A	Boring Location Plan
Appendix B	Subsurface Profile
Appendix C	Soil Boring Logs

Appendix D Laboratory Test Results



Structural Geotechnical Report Bridge Rehabilitation Project Existing Structure Number: SN 049-0051 Route Carried: IL Route 120 (FAP 342) Section: 12 (HB-VB) BR Lake County, Illinois

#### **1.0** PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS

GSG Consultants, Inc. (GSG) completed a geotechnical investigation as part of the rehabilitation project for the IL Route 120 Bridge over Old Skokie Highway and the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) rail tracks in Lake County, Illinois. The purpose of the investigation was to explore the subsurface conditions, determine engineering properties of the subsurface soil, and develop design and construction recommendations. GSG understands that the proposed improvements to the existing bridge will include the removal and replacement of the existing bridge deck and approach slabs, modification of the existing abutments, the repair and reuse of the existing superstructure and substructure, reuse of the existing foundations, and the removal of the existing wing walls and construction of new wing walls parallel to the bridge parapet. The project will also include verifying that the existing foundations are suitable for supporting the bridge after the proposed rehabilitation and improvements.



Figure 1 - Project Location Map

### 1.1 Existing and Proposed Structure Information

The existing bridge (SN 049-0051) runs in an east-west direction, and carries IL Route 120 over Old Skokie Highway and UPRR Railroad. The Type Size and Location Plan (TS&L) was provided by Primera Engineers, Ltd. (Primera – Project Structural Engineers). According to the TS&L, the existing structure was built in 1959 and consists of a 4 span structure. The bridge has an 8 <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inch deck and a superstructure consisting of a continuous steel beams, supported by stub abutments and multi column piers with pile supported footings. The back to back abutment length is 272.5 feet and the out to out width is approximately 82 feet. The bridge carries two through lanes and an entrance ramp in each direction. The east and west bound traffic is separated by a concrete barricade.

The proposed improvements will include the removal and replacement of the existing bridge deck and approach slabs, modification of the existing abutments, the repair and reuse of the existing superstructure and substructure, reuse of the existing foundations, and the removal of the existing wing walls and construction of new wing walls parallel to the bridge parapet. The project may also include the use of temporary earth retaining structures to maintain traffic during the construction of the improvements. According to the TS&L, the proposed structure is a continuous steel beam superstructure supported by stub abutments and multicolumn piers with pile supported footings. The back to back abutment length is 272.5 feet and the out to out width is 82 feet and 10 inches. The bridge carries two through lanes and a diminishing taper entrance ramp in each direction. The east and west bound traffic are separated by a concrete barricade.

## 1.2 Site Conditions

IL Route 120 runs in an east-west direction and Old Skokie Road and UPRR railroad run in a north-south direction. The existing bridge carries IL Route 120 over Old Skokie Road and the UPRR railroad and has vertical clearance of approximately 22 feet. The embankment slopes adjacent to the bridge are approximately 2:1 to 3:1 (H:V). The area directly north of the crossing consists of residential properties, and the area to the south is a mix of residential and commercial properties.



Photo 1: View of IL Rte 120 Bridge over Old Skokie Road and the UPRR railroad, facing north.



Photo 2: View of IL Rte 120 Bridge over Old Skokie Road and the UPRR railroad, facing south.

## 1.3 Existing Subsurface Information

GSG reviewed several published documents in an effort to determine the regional geological setting in the area of the Site. The subject area is located in the north central portion of Lake County, Illinois. The surficial geologic deposits in this area are typically glacial drift deposited during the Wisconsin Episode. The subject area consists of deposits primarily from the Equality Formation and the Wadsworth Formation. The areas within the Equality Formation consist of silt and clay with very fine interbedded sand layers. The areas within the Wadsworth Formation consist of diamicton; silty clay loam to silty clay, pebbly with occasional cobbles and or sand and gravel lenses. This formation overlies the Silurian Racine Dolomite Bedrock Formation with an average depth of 200 feet below ground surface in the subject area.

## 2.0 SITE SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM

This section describes the subsurface exploration program and laboratory testing program completed as part of this project. The subsurface exploration program was performed in accordance with the IDOT geotechnical manual and procedures.

## 2.1 Subsurface Exploration Program

The site subsurface exploration was conducted between March 11<sup>th</sup> and the 25<sup>th</sup>, 2014, and included advancing a total of four (4) standard penetration test (SPT) borings within the vicinity of the existing bridge abutments. The locations of the soil borings were coordinated with Primera and were completed based on field conditions and accessibility. The locations of the soil borings are shown on the **Boring Location Plan (Appendix A)**. The borings were completed to depths that would provide a minimum 65 tons bearing for a 12-inch diameter concrete fill metal shell pile, per the requirements of the IDOT Geotechnical Manual.

The soil borings were drilled using a truck mounted D-50 drill rig. All of the borings were drilled using 3¼-inch I.D. hollow stem augers. Soil sampling was performed according to AASHTO T 206, "Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils." Soil samples were obtained at 2.5 foot intervals to a minimum depth of 30 feet below existing grade, and 5 foot intervals thereafter. GSG's field representative inspected, visually classified and logged the soil samples during the subsurface exploration activities, and performed unconfined compressive strength tests on cohesive soil samples using a calibrated Rimac compression tester and a calibrated hand penetrometer in accordance with IDOT procedures and requirements. Representative soil samples were collected from each sample interval, and were placed in jars and returned to the laboratory for further testing and evaluation.

## 2.2 Laboratory Testing Program

All samples were inspected in the laboratory to verify the field classifications. A laboratory testing program was undertaken to characterize and determine engineering properties of the subsurface soils encountered within the vicinity of the bridge.

The following laboratory tests were performed on representative soil samples:

- Moisture content ASTM D2216 / AASHTO T-265
- Atterberg Limits ASTM D 4318 / AASHTO T-89/90
- Dry Unit Weight ASTM D7263

The laboratory tests were performed in accordance with test procedures outlined in the IDOT Geotechnical Manual (1999), and per ASTM and AASHTO requirements. Based on the laboratory test results, the soils encountered were classified according to the AASHTO and the Illinois Division of Highways (IDH) classification systems. The results of the laboratory testing program are included in the **Laboratory Test Summary Results (Appendix D)**, and are also shown along with the field test results in the **Soil Boring Logs (Appendix C)**.

## 2.3 Subsurface Soil Conditions

This section provides a brief description of the soils encountered in the borings performed in the vicinity of the existing bridge. Variations in the general subsurface soil profile were noted during the drilling activities. Detailed descriptions of the subsurface soils are provided in the Soil Boring Logs (Appendix C) and are shown graphically in the Subsurface Profile (Appendix B). The boring logs provide specific soil conditions encountered at each boring location. The soil boring logs include soil descriptions, stratifications, penetration resistance, elevations, location of the samples, and laboratory test data. Unless otherwise noted, soil descriptions indicated on boring logs are based on visual identifications. The stratifications shown on the boring logs represent the conditions only at the actual boring locations, and represent the approximate boundary between subsurface materials; however, the actual transition may be gradual. The existing ground surface elevations shown in the soil boring logs were estimated from internet sources.

The soil borings were performed on the shoulders and outside driving lanes of IL Route 120. The approximate surface elevation is 735 on the west side of the bridge, and 732 on the east side of the bridge. Boring BSB51-01 was performed in the existing curbline and encountered 8 inches of concrete, underlain by fill materials. Borings BSB51-02 and BSB51-03 were drilled through the existing approach slabs and encountered 3 inches of asphalt over 12 inches of concrete at boring 2 and 7 inches of concrete at boring 3. The approach slabs were underlain by fill materials. Boring BSB51-04 was performed in the grass area adjacent to the roadway and encountered approximately 6 inches of topsoil underlain by fill materials.

The fill materials consisted of a layer of sand at the surface, approximately 6 inches in thickness. The sand layer was underlain by fill consisting of cohesive soils, which primarily included clay, silty clay, and silty clay loam. The fill material was encountered to a depth approximately 25 feet (Approximate Elevation 708) below the ground surface and moisture contents ranging from 14 to 24 percent. The fill material was underlain by very stiff to very hard cohesive soils consisting of silty clay, silty loam, and silty clay loam. The very stiff to very hard cohesive soils were encountered to a depth approximately 42 feet (Approximate Elevation 692) below the ground surface and had moisture contents ranging from 15 to 25 percent. These soils were underlain by very stiff to hard cohesive soils consisting of clay and silty clay. The very stiff to hard cohesive soils consisting of sole soils were encountered to boring termination at depths of 85 to 95 feet (Approximate Elevation 640) below the ground surface. The moisture content for this soil ranged from approximately 18 to 24 percent.

A thin lens of sand was encountered in Boring BSB51-01 at a depth of 54.5 feet and a layer of gravel was encountered at the bottom of Boring BSB51-04 at a depth of 89 feet. Water was observed in the sand samples collected at these depths. It is assumed that a thin sand lens was also the cause of the water encountered in BoringBSB51-03 at a depth of 58 feet.

### 2.4 Groundwater Conditions

Water levels were checked in each boring to determine the general groundwater conditions present at the site, and were measured while drilling and after each boring was completed. Groundwater was encountered in three of the borings while drilling: BSB51-01 at a depth of 54.5 feet (Elevation 680.5), Boring BSB51-03 at a depth of 58 feet (Elevation 675), and in Boring BSB51-04 at a depth of 89 feet (Elevation 643). Water was encountered in one boring (BSB51-04) after the drilling was completed, at a depth of 89 feet (Elevation 643). Granular soil layers were encountered in Borings BSB51-01 and 04 at the depths that the water was encountered. Although granular soil was not encountered in Boring BSB51-03 at the depth water was encountered, it is believed that a thin sand lens similar to the one encountered in Boring BSB51-01 is present at 58 feet below the surface and is carrying the water.

It should be noted that the soils encountered in the borings generally consisted of cohesive soils. Generally, it takes a long time for water levels to reach equilibrium in these types of materials. Water that is encountered in granular soils in a predominantly cohesive soil profile often have water trapped in them that does not represent the actual ground water level. Based

on the color transition from brown to gray, it is anticipated that the long term water table may be as high as elevation 695. The color change of the soil from brown to gray can be based on the oxidation of the material that exists above the water table.

Water level readings were made in the boreholes at times and under conditions shown on the boring logs and stated in the text of this report. However, it should be noted that fluctuations in groundwater level may occur due to variations in rainfall, seasonal changes, other climatic conditions, or other factors not evident at the time measurements were made and reported herein.

## **3.0** GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSES

## 3.1 Derivation of Soil Parameters for Design

Unit weights, friction angles and shear strength parameters were estimated using corrected standard penetration test (SPT) using published correlations for N values results for the fill and cohesionless soils and in-situ and laboratory test results for cohesive soils. The SPT values were corrected for hammer efficiency. The hammer efficiency correction factor considers the use of a safety hammer/rope/cat-head system, generally estimated to be 60% efficient. Thus, correlations should be based upon what is currently termed as  $N_{60}$  data. The efficiency of the automatic hammer used for this exploration was estimated to be approximately 80% based on previous efficiency testing of the drill rigs equipped with such equipment. The correction for hammer efficiency is a direct ratio of relative efficiencies as follows:

## $N_{60} = N * (80/60)$

\*Where the N value is the field recorded blow counts.

Table 1 presents the general soil parameters that were derived from the field and laboratory test data.

			Undrained		Drained	
Approximate Depth/Elevation (feet)	Soil Description	Insitu Unit Weight γ (pcf)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (Degrees)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (Degrees)
NA	New Granular Fill	120	0	30	0	30
Surface to 708	Existing Cohesive Fill	120	2,500	0	50	28
708 to 692	Very Stiff to Very Hard Cohesive Soils	135	4,000	0	100	30

		Insitu	Undrained		Drained	
Approximate Depth/Elevation (feet)	Soil Description	Unit Weight γ (pcf)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (Degrees)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (Degrees)
692 to 640	Very Stiff to Hard Cohesive Soils	140	3,000	0	3,000*	0*

\*Materials are below the estimated long term groundwater level (elevation 695), and will not reach a drained condition long term.

## 3.2 Settlement

The existing IL Rte 120 abutment slopes and roadway side slopes to the north and south sides of the are approximately 2:1 to 3:1 (H:V). It is our understanding that the proposed slope grading will approximately match the existing grading and that proposed project will not include any grading that will exceed 10 feet in height. Based on the limited fill placement, the anticipated settlement is considered to be negligible.

## 3.3 Slope Stability

IDOT requires that at a minimum, slope stability analysis should be performed for any area having a cut depth or fill height greater than or equal to 15 feet. The proposed end slopes and wing walls for the abutments will require a minimal site grading, undercutting and filling. Therefore, no slope stability analysis is required for this project.

### 3.4 Seismic Parameters

The seismic hazard for the site was analyzed per the AASHTO Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges. The Seismic Performance Category (SPC), Acceleration Coefficient (A) and the Site Coefficient (S) were calculated per the specifications, and are based on the soils encountered in the borings performed at the site. Table 2 presents a summary of the seismic parameters.

Seismic Performance Category (SPC)	Acceleration Coefficient (A)	Site Coefficient (S)
А	0.04	1.0

#### Table 2 – Seismic Parameters

GSG used the IDOT Liquefaction spreadsheet to evaluate liquefaction potential at the site. Based on the data calculated, the factor of safety against liquefaction for the soils encountered at the site is greater than one, thus liquefaction should not be a concern for this project.

### 3.5 Scour

The bridge structure over Old Skokie Highway and the UPRR rail road serves as a grade separation between the IL Route 120 and Old Skokie Highway and the rail road. There is no waterway below the structure; therefore scour is not an issue for this project.

### 3.6 Mining Activity

GSG has checked the Illinois State Geologic Survey (ISGS) web site for mining activity within the project area. The site does not have any record of mining activity in Lake County. No known mining activity that has occurred in the vicinity of the proposed project location.

### 4.0 GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

The foundations of the bridge must provide sufficient support to resist the dead and live loads, as well as seismic loading. It is our understanding that the proposed improvements to the existing bridge will include the removal and replacement of the existing bridge deck and approach slabs, modification of the existing abutments, the repair and reuse of the existing superstructure and substructure, and reuse of the existing foundations. The proposed improvements will also include the removal of the existing wing walls and construction of new wing walls parallel to the bridge parapet. The geotechnical recommendations in this report are provided for use in the design of the proposed improvements, and also for use in confirming that the existing foundations are suitable for supporting the structure after these improvements to the existing bridge have been made. The foundation design recommendations were completed per the AASHTO Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges 17<sup>th</sup> Edition (2002).

### 4.1 Bridge Foundation Recommendations

Based on the original bridge plans, it is our understanding that the existing bridge abutments are supported on driven piles, and the piers are supported on timber piles. The improvements to the bridge will include the reuse of the pile foundation system. The geotechnical design information provided in this report is limited to only pile foundations. Shallow foundations and drilled shafts have not been included as they are not part of the scope of this project.

## 4.1.1 Driven Pile Foundations

The pile analysis was performed based on the soil conditions encountered adjacent to the existing abutments supporting the bridge. The soil conditions at each abutment location were evaluated and suitable values were determined from the borings for use in performing the pile analysis for each abutment. The Load Factored Design (LFD) methods were used to calculate the nominal and factored resistance available at each abutment. The existing plans provided a provision for using three different pile types to support the abutments: tables 3-1 and 3-2 provide information pertaining to the 14" x 14" precast concrete piles, 3-3 and 3-4 provide information for the spirally welded steel shell CIP concrete piles, and 3-5 and 3-6 provide information for the metal shell CIP concrete piles. It should be noted that the resistances listed are based on the pile to soil contact beginning approximately 8 feet below the existing ground surface, which was the approximate abutment thickness in the original plans.

Depth	Elevation	Nominal Axial	Performance	Factored Axial			
Deptil	Lievation	Resistance	Factor	Resistance			
15	713	103.02	0.70	72.11			
20	708	158.15	0.70	110.70			
25	703	184.75	0.70	129.32			
30	698	208.08	0.70	145.66			
35	693	227.48	0.70	159.24			
40	688	236.67	0.70	165.67			
45	683	263.01	0.70	184.11			
50	678	287.21	0.70	201.05			

## Table 3-1: West Abutment Pile Design for Precast-Concrete Piles

 Table 3-2: East Abutment Pile Design for Precast-Concrete Piles

Depth	Elevation	Nominal Axial	Performance	Factored Axial
Deptil	Elevation	Resistance	Factor	Resistance
15	711	113.23	0.70	79.26
20	706	154.35	0.70	108.05
25	701	177.69	0.70	124.38
30	696	208.31	0.70	145.82
35	691	214.09	0.70	149.87
40	686	230.11	0.70	161.08
45	681	261.61	0.70	183.13
50	676	293.11	0.70	205.18

### Table 3-3: West Abutment Pile Design for Spirally Welded Steel Shell CIP Concrete Piles

Donth	Elevation	Nominal Axial	Performance	Factored Axial
Depth	Elevation	Resistance	Factor	Resistance
15	713	59.80	0.70	41.86
20	708	90.34	0.70	63.24
25	703	103.90	0.70	72.73
30	698	114.89	0.70	80.42
35	693	123.46	0.70	86.42
40	688	128.00	0.70	89.60
45	683	142.97	0.70	100.08
50	678	156.11	0.70	109.28

Depth	Elevation	Nominal Axial	Performance	Factored Axial
Deptil		Resistance	Factor	Resistance
15	711	64.45	0.70	45.11
20	706	86.22	0.70	60.36
25	701	96.12	0.70	67.28
30	696	108.72	0.70	76.11
35	691	107.73	0.70	75.41
40	686	116.75	0.70	81.73
45	681	134.18	0.70	93.93
50	676	151.61	0.70	106.12

### Table 3-4: East Abutment Pile Design for Spirally Welded Steel Shell CIP Concrete Piles

Table 3-5: West Abutment Pile Design for Metal Shell CIP Concrete Piles

Depth	Elevation	Nominal Axial Resistance	Performance Factor	Factored Axial Resistance
15	713	37.69	0.70	26.38
20	708	54.19	0.70	37.93
25	703	63.28	0.70	44.30
30	698	70.64	0.70	49.45
35	693	76.78	0.70	53.74
40	688	81.80	0.70	57.26
45	45 683		0.70	64.56
50	678	101.95	0.70	71.37

#### Table 3-6: East Abutment Pile Design for Metal Shell CIP Concrete Piles

Depth	Elevation	Nominal Axial	Performance	Factored Axial
Deptil	Elevation	Resistance	Factor	Resistance
15	711	39.22	0.70	27.46
20	706	706 51.44 0.7		36.01
25	701	58.06	0.70	40.64
30	696	65.72	0.70	46.00
35	691	67.43	0.70	47.20
40	686	74.66	0.70	52.26
45	681	86.34	0.70	60.44
50	676	98.01	0.70	68.61

It should be noted that the detail for the metal shell CIP piles include a taper from 12 inch diameter to 8 inch diameter. The overall length of the installed piles and the point of transition in unknown based on the information provided, therefore, only the 8-inch diameter was used

for the pile data table. It is our understanding that this data will be used to evaluate the existing pile foundations at the abutment locations and determine if the piles are suitable for supporting the proposed bridge improvements, given the current IDOT and AASHTO requirements, which include LFD methodology.

The factored resistance includes reduction for the geotechnical resistance of 0.55 for the pile installation. Based on the results of the subsurface investigation no geotechnical losses due to down drag or liquefaction were included in the axial pile capacity calculations.

## 4.2 Lateral Load Resistance

Lateral loadings applied to pile foundations are typically resisted by battering selected piles, the soil/structure interaction, pile flexure, or a combination of these factors. Section 3.10.1.10 of the 2012 IDOT Bridge Manual requires performing detailed structure interaction analysis if the factored lateral loading per pile exceeds 3 kips per pile. The analysis shall determine actual pile moment and deflection to determine the selected pile adequacy for the existing loadings. Table 4 provides recommended lateral soil modulus and soil strain parameters that can be used for laterally loaded pile analysis via the p-y curve method based on the encountered subsurface conditions.

Elevation Soils Encountered	Soil Description	Undrained Shear Strength (psf)	Coefficient of Subgrade Modulus (pci)	Horizontal Strain Factor e50
Surface to 708	Existing Cohesive Fill	2,500	900	0.005
708 to 692	Very Stiff to Very Hard Cohesive Soils	4,000	1,400	0.004
692 to 640	Very Stiff to Hard Cohesive Soils	3,000	1,000	0.005

 Table 4 – Lateral Resistance Parameters

### 4.3 Bridge Abutments and Retaining Walls

The abutment walls, wing walls, as well as any temporary earth retaining structures shall be

designed to withstand earth and live lateral earth pressures. The lateral earth pressures on retaining wall should be determined using an active earth pressure coefficient, Ka, calculated with Rankine Theory. For the proposed abutments, the lateral earth pressures for the abutment should be designed using the at-rest condition. Table 5 provides lateral earth pressure coefficients and soil parameters for the design of the abutment.

Soil Type	In-situ Moist Unit Weight (pcf) (γ)	Angle of Internal Friction (φ)	At-Rest Earth Pressure Coefficient (Ko)	Active Earth Pressure Coefficient (Ka)	Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient (Kp)
New Granular Backfill	120	30	0.5	0.33	3.0
Existing Clay Fill	120	28	0.53	0.36	2.76
Very Stiff to Very Hard Cohesive Soils	135	30	0.5	0.33	3.0

Traffic and other surcharge loads should be included in the abutment design. A live load surcharge shall be applied where vehicular load is expected to act on the surface of the backfill within a distance equal to one-half the wall height behind the back face of the wall. The live load surcharge may be estimated as a uniform horizontal earth pressure due to an equivalent height (Heq) of soil. Wingwalls should be designed using a surcharge pressure equal to a minimum of 2 feet of earth pressure in accordance with Section 3 of the IDOT Culvert Manual. Tables 6 and 7 provide the equivalent heights of soils for vehicular loadings on abutments and wing walls, respectively.

### Table 6 – Equivalent Height of Soil for Vehicular Loading on Abutments Perpendicular to Traffic

Abutment Height (ft)	H <sub>eq</sub>
5	4.0 feet
10	3.0 feet
≥20	2.0 feet

Retaining Wall Height (ft)	H <sub>eq</sub> Distance from Wall Back face to Edge of Traffic					
	0 feet	1.0 feet or Further				
5	5.0 feet	2.0 feet				
10	3.5 feet	2.0 feet				
≥20	2.0 feet	2.0 feet				

The abutment and wing walls design should include a drainage system to allow movement of

any water behind the wall. Abutment and wing walls should be backfilled with a minimum of 4 feet of free draining materials (as measured horizontally from the back of the wall), in accordance with IDOT design standards. Geocomposite wall drains, perforated pipe and drainage aggregate should be installed behind the abutment and wing walls.

Heavy compaction equipment should not be allowed closer than five (5) feet to the wall to prevent inducing high lateral earth pressures and causing wall yielding and/or other damage. The passive lateral earth pressure coefficient (Kp) from the upper 3 feet of level backfill at the toe of the wall should be neglected, unless the soil is confined or protected by a concrete slab or well drained pavement. The passive lateral earth pressure coefficient pressure coefficient from the upper 3 feet of soil for a descending slope at the wall toe should also be neglected, regardless of any surface protection.

### 5.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

All work performed for the proposed project should conform to the requirements in the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (2012). Any deviation from the requirements in the manuals above should be approved by the design engineer.

### 5.1 Site Preparation

Based on the design drawings provided by Primera, the proposed improvements to the existing bridge will include the removal and replacement of the existing bridge deck and approach slabs, modification of the existing abutments, the repair and reuse of the existing superstructure and substructure, and reuse of the existing foundations. The proposed improvements will also include the removal of the existing wing walls and construction of new wing walls parallel to the bridge parapet. Any resulting excavations as part of the improvements should be backfilled with structural fill consisting of crushed aggregate meeting IDOT CA-6 gradation requirements to the final finished grade.

For the proposed approach slabs on either end of the bridge, site preparation should include the removal of existing pavements and landscaping as necessary. All vegetation, surface topsoil, pavements and debris should be cleared and removed. The exposed subgrade should then be field inspected to determine if undercuts are required. Any undercut areas may be backfilled with structural fill consisting of crushed aggregate meeting IDOT CA-6 gradation requirements to the final proposed foundation bearing elevation.

## 5.2 Site Excavation

The contractor will be responsible to provide a safe excavation during the construction activities of the project. All excavations should be conducted in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local safety regulations, including, but not limited to the Occupational Safety and Health administration (OSHA) excavation safety standards. Excavation stability and soil pressures on temporary shoring are dependent on soil conditions, depth of excavations, installation procedures, and the magnitude of any surcharge loads on the ground surface adjacent to the excavation. Excavation near existing structures and underground utilities should be performed with extreme care to avoid undermining existing structures. Excavations should not extend below the level of adjacent existing foundations or utilities unless underpinning or other support is installed. It is the responsibility of the contractor for field determinations of applicable conditions and providing adequate shoring for all excavation activities.

### 5.3 Borrow Material and Compaction Requirements

If borrow material is to be used for onsite construction, it should conform to Section 204, Borrow and Furnish Excavations, of the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (SSRBC). The fill material should be free of organic matter and debris, and should be placed and compacted in accordance with Section 205, Embankment, of the IDOT Construction Manual. Earthmoving operations should be avoided during excessively cold or wet weather to avoid freezing and/or softening subgrade soils.

Suitable structural fill materials shall be of a nature that will compact and develop stability satisfactory to the geotechnical engineer. Structural fill shall consist of crushed limestone or recycled concrete consistent with IDOT CA-6 gradation or medium plasticity silty clays. Suitable structural fill should have the following soil properties:

REQUIRED TEST	AASHTO METHOD	PERMISSIBLE LIMIT
Standard Dry Density (SDD)	T 99 (Method C)	90 pcf min.*
Organic Content	T 194	10 % max.*
Percent Silt and Fine Sand	T 88	65 % max. **
Plasticity Index	Т 90	12 % min. **
Liquid Limit	Т 89	50 % max.
Shear Strength (c) at 95 % SDD	T 208 or T 234	1,000 psf min.***

 Table 8 – Structural Fill Soil Properties

\* As per IDOT Standard Specifications.

\*\* Frost Susceptibility Criteria

Structural fill shall consist of crushed limestone or recycled concrete consistent with IDOT CA-6 gradation or medium plasticity silty clays. Structural fill should be placed in lifts not to exceed 8 inches in loose thickness, and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the material's standard proctor maximum dry density obtained according to the ASTM D698/AASHTO T 99 method.

Materials unsatisfactory for use as structural fill include soils classified as silt or organic silt (ML, MH, PT, OL, and OH) in the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D2847). Soils with these classifications may be used for general purpose landscaping and in areas where uncontrolled settlement is acceptable.

### 5.4 Groundwater Management

Groundwater was encountered in several borings in the granular soil layers encountered at elevations 680.5, 675, and 643. Due to the fact that the soils encountered in the borings were primarily cohesive, and the water was only encountered in relatively thin granular layers in one boring, it is not anticipated that water will present any issues during foundation construction.

Perched water may be encountered in fill materials, or from run-off during construction. For near surface excavations, it is anticipated that the contractor should be able to control the groundwater with traditional sump and pump methods.

If water seepage occurs while excavating or where wet conditions are encountered such that the water cannot be removed with conventional sumping, we recommend placing open grade stone similar to IDOT CA-7 to stabilize the bottom of the excavation. The CA-7 stone should be placed to 12 inches above the water table, in 12-inch lifts, and should be compacted with the use of a heavy smooth drum roller or heavy vibratory plate compactor until stable. The remaining portion of the excavation should be backfilled using approved structural fill.

## 5.5 Temporary Sheeting and Soil Retention

According to the preliminary design information, the project will include phased construction to maintain traffic across the bridge. Temporary sheet piling may be required at the centerline of the east and west abutments and approaches to facilitate the bridge improvements and slopewalls. Based on the soil profile, a cantilevered sheet pile system could be used. The sheet pile retaining system should be designed in accordance with the IDOT Bridge Design Manual, Section 3.13.1, *Temporary Sheet Piling Design, Temporary Soil Retention Systems and Braced Excavations* and the IDOT Design Guide, Section 3.13.1, *Temporary Sheet Piling Design.* 

### 6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Illinois Department of Transportation and its structural consultant. The recommendations provided in the report are specific to the project described herein, and are based on the information obtained at four (4) soil boring locations within the bridge area. The analyses have been performed and the recommendations have been provided in this report are based on subsurface conditions determined at the location of the borings. This report may not reflect all variations that may occur between boring locations or at some other time, the nature and extent of which may not become evident until during the time of construction. If variations in subsurface conditions become evident after submission of this report, it will be necessary to evaluate their nature and review the recommendations presented herein.

## **APPENDIX** A

# **BORING LOCATION PLAN**



# **APPENDIX B**

# SUBSURFACE PROFILE



FILE NAME =	USER NAME = rclaussen	DESIGNED -	RJC	REVISED -
\\GSGFS02\Projects - Engineering\Illinois	OT\Primera PTB 165-005\Geotechnical\CAD\04	10 <b>0RAWN</b> P.dgn -	CEY	REVISED -
	PLOT SCALE = N/A	CHECKED -	RJC	REVISED -
\$MODELNAME\$	PLOT DATE = 4/14/2014	DATE –	4/7/2014	REVISED -

		B	RIDGE	<b>S</b> 0	IL BOR
 STATE OF ILLINOIS		120 OVE			
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					
	SCALE: N.T.S.	SHEET 1	OF	1	SHEETS

CONTRACT NO. 1 SHEETS STA. 507+50 TO STA.511+00 ILLINOIS FED. AID PROJECT

# APPENDIX C

## SOIL BORING LOGS

(Reference) Illinois Dep of Transpo	oartr rtati	ne ion	nt		SC	<b>DIL BORING LOO</b>	G		Page	<u>1</u>	of <u>3</u>
Division of Highways GSG Consultants F.A.P. Rte. 342 (IL Rte.		-					-		Date	3/1	8/14
<b>ROUTE</b> 120)	DE	SCR	IPTION		Rt. 12	0 over Old Skokie HWY. & U.P.R.R.	LC	OGGE	ED BY		IR
SECTION12 (HB&VB) BF	र	I			West /	Abutment (WB), <b>SEC.</b> 25, <b>TWP.</b> 45N, de N42°20'53.48", Longitude W87°	<b>RNG.</b> 2	<u>11E,</u> 02"	3 <sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b>	<b>,</b>	
COUNTY Lake DF	RILLING	) ME	THOD						AL	JTO	1
STRUCT. NO.         049-0051           Station         509+42.48		D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev.         NA           Stream Bed Elev.         NA	ft ft	D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I
BORING NO.         BSB51-01           Station         507+74.86           Offset         32.35ft LT		T H		Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter 680.5 Upon Completion None	ft	T H	W S	Qu	S T
Ground Surface Elev. 735.00 8 inches of Concrete	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After <u>NA</u> Hrs. <u>NA</u>	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
Brown, Moist FILL: SAND, and gravel			2			Brown and Gray, Moist FILL: CLAY, trace gravel (continued)			11		
Gray, Moist FILL: SILTY CLAY, trace gravel			5 6	2.9 B	17	@ 22' Pushed Rock			8 11	1.5 P	16
Brown and Gray, Moist	731.00		3	3.0	22	Brown, Moist	711.00		5	3.8	19
FILL: CLAY, trace gravel		5	5	P	~~~	FILL: SILTY CLAY, trace gravel		-25	7	В.	19
			2			Very Stiff to Hard	709.00		4		
			2	1.3	18	Black, Moist		_	5	4.0	20
			4	В		SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (CL-ML)			10	S	
		_	2			Hard	706.50	·	3		
		-10	2 3	1.3 P	25	Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (CL-ML)		-30	20 12	5.0 P	13
			3			@29' Pushed Rock					
			3 4 7	2.0 P	14						
			-			Hard to Very Hard	702.00				
			3	2.0	17	Brown, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (CL-ML)			9 15	10.8	15
		-15	4	Р				-35	23	В	
			2	1.7	24						
			6	B	24						
			3						6		
		-20	4 6	2.0 S	21			-40	8 12	4.6 B	16

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

	ois Departr	ne	nt		50	DIL BORIN			Page	2	of <u>3</u>
Division of GSG Const	Highways ultants		I						Date	3/1	8/14
F.A.P. Rte.	342 (IL Rte. 20) DE	SCR	IPTION	I_IL	Rt. 12	0 over Old Skokie HW	/Y. & U.P.R.R. LO	OGGI	ED BY	J	R
<b>SECTION</b> 12	(HB&VB) BR	_ เ			West A	Abutment (WB), SEC. 2	25, <b>TWP.</b> 45N, <b>RNG.</b>	11E,	3 <sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b>	l,	
COUNTY Lake		6 ME	THOD			de N42°20'53.48", Lo HSA	ngitude W87°53"22. HAMMER TYPE		AL	ЛО	
STRUCT. NO Station5		D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	<u>NA</u> ft NA ft	D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I
BORING NO. B Station 5 Offset 3 Cround Surface Electron	SB51-01 07+74.86 2.35ft LT	T H	W S (/6")	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)	Upon Completion		T H (ft)	W S (/6")	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)
Ground Surface Elev Hard to Very Hard Brown, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gra (CL-ML) (continued)					(70)	After <u>NA</u> Hrs. Stiff to Very Stiff Gray, Moist CLAY, trace gravel (( <i>(continued)</i>			(,0)		(70)
Stiff to Very Stiff Gray, Moist CLAY, trace gravel (C	692.00 L)		4 5 7	3.3 B	17				4 7 8	2.5 B	24
			3						5		
			56	2.1 B	19			 	7 9 5	2.9 B	20
1" Gray Sand Lens, W	/et	₹	4 8	1.7 B	22				7 11	2.9 B	17
		  	2 4 6	1.9 B	23	Hard Gray, Moist SILTY LOAM (ML)	657.00	-80	9 11 14	4.5 S	17

Illinois Departm of Transportatio	nent on	SC	DIL BORING LOG	Page <u>3</u> of <u>3</u>
GSG Consultants F.A.P. Rte. 342 (IL Rte.				Date <u>3/18/14</u>
ROUTE         120)         DES           SECTION         12 (UP\$)/P) PP				
SECTION <u>12 (HB&amp;VB) BR</u> COUNTY <u>Lake</u> DRILLING		Latitu	Ide N42°20'53.48", Longitude W87°53"2	22.02"
STRUCT. NO.         049-0051           Station         509+42.48	D B E L	U M C O	Surface Water Elev. <u>NA</u> ft Stream Bed Elev. <u>NA</u> ft	
BORING NO.         BSB51-01           Station         507+74.86           Offset         32.35ft LT	P O T W H S	S I S Qu T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter680.5ft Upon CompletionNoneft	<u>r</u>
Ground Surface Elev. 735.00 ft Hard Gray, Moist SILTY LOAM (ML) <i>(continued)</i>	(ft) (/6") 	(tsf) (%)	After <u>NA</u> Hrs. <u>NA</u> ft	
652.00 Very Stiff Gray, Moist CLAY, trace gravel (CL) 650.00	6 6 8 8510	3.3 20 B		
End of Boring				

of Transpo Division of Highways GSG Consultants	ortati	on			50	DIL BORING LO	G		Date	3/2	24/14
F.A.P. Rte. 342 (IL Rte 120)	DE\$	SCR	IPTION	<b>I</b> _ IL	. Rt. 12	20 over Old Skokie HWY. & U.P.R.F	<u>R. </u> L(	OGGI	ED BY	S	,LM
SECTION12 (HB&VB) B	R	_ I			West	Abutment (EB), <b>SEC.</b> 25, <b>TWP.</b> 45N	, <b>RNG.</b> 1	11E, 3	3 <sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b>	,	
COUNTY Lake D	RILLING	6 ME	THOD			ide N42°20'53.02", Longitude W87 HSA HAMMER			Al	JTO	
STRUCT. NO.         049-0051           Station         509+42.48		D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S	Surface Water Elev.   NA     Stream Bed Elev.   NA	_ ft _ ft	D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S
BORING NO.         BSB51-02           Station         507+73.48           Offset         15.36ft RT           Ground Surface Elev.         735.00	 ft	H (ft)	S	Qu (tsf)	3 T (%)	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter <u>None</u> Upon Completion <u>None</u> After <u>NA</u> Hrs. <u>NA</u>	_ ft	H (ft)	S	Qu (tsf)	Т
3 inches of Asphalt 12 inches of Concrete	733.75					Brown, Moist FILL: CLAY, trace gravel (continued)					
Brown, Moist FILL: SAND, some gravel Brown, Moist	733.42		2 3 5	2.5 B	20	Brown and Gray, Moist FILL: SILTY CLAY, trace gravel			3 7 10	5.0 B	18
FILL: SILTY CLAY LOAM, trace gravel											
Brown and Gray, Moist FILL: SILTY CLAY, trace gravel	731.00	-5	3 3 5	2.1 B	20			-25	3 5 5	4.2 B	19
			3					_	4		
			5 5 5	3.3 B	17	Stiff	708.00		6 7	1.8 B	20
			3			Black and Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel	700.00		3		
		-10	4	3.8 P	17	@29' 2" to 4" buried asphalt Very Stiff Brown and Gray, Moist	<u>_706.00</u>	-30	8	3.5 P	5
Brown and Black, Moist	724.00		2			SILTY CLAY LÓAM					
FILL: SILTY CLAY LOAM, trace gravel			5 6	3.3 B	18						
			3			Very Stiff Brown, Moist	702.00		2		
		-15	4 6	3.8 S	16	SILTY CLAY (CL-ML)		-35	5 9	2.9 B	16
			2								
			3 4	1.3 B	17						
	716.00		2						8		
Brown, Moist FILL: CLAY, trace gravel		-20	4 5	1.3 B	20	pushed rock		-40	15 20	3.0 P	15

**Illinois Department** 

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

Page <u>1</u> of <u>3</u>

(Representation of Transportation	ne	nt		90	DIL BORING LOO	2	Page	2	of <u>3</u>
Division of Highways GSG Consultants	ION			30		)	Date	3/2	4/14
F.A.P. Rte. 342 (IL Rte. <b>ROUTE</b> 120) <b>DE</b>	SCR	PTION	I	Rt. 12	20 over Old Skokie HWY. & U.P.R.R.	LOG	GED BY	S	LM
SECTION12 (HB&VB) BR	เ			West /	Abutment (EB), <b>SEC.</b> 25, <b>TWP.</b> 45N, I	RNG. 11E	, 3 <sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b>	,	
COUNTY Lake DRILLING	G ME	THOD			ide N42°20'53.02", Longitude W87° HSA HAMMER T			JTO	
STRUCT. NO.         049-0051           Station         509+42.48           BORING NO.         BSB51-02	D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S	Surface Water Elev. <u>NA</u> Stream Bed Elev. <u>NA</u> Groundwater Elev.:	ft D ft E P T	L O	U C S	M O I S
Station         507+73.48           Offset         15.36ft RT           Ground Surface Elev.         735.00	H (ft)	S (/6")	Qu (tsf)	т (%)	First Encounter         None           Upon Completion         None           After         NA	ft		Qu (tsf)	Т (%)
Very Stiff Brown, Moist SILTY CLAY (CL-ML) <i>(continued)</i>					Very Stiff Gray, Moist CLAY, trace gravel (CL) <i>(continued)</i>				
692.00 Very Stiff									
Gray, Moist CLAY, trace gravel (CL)	45	10 7 8	2.1 B	17	No Recovery, pushed rock		6 6 5 7		
		3					3		
	-50	4	2.5 B	18			5 70 8	2.9 B	17
							_		
							-		
No Recovery, pushed rock		5 8 10					4 7 <sub>75</sub> 8	2.9 B	19
							_		
					Very Stiff	657.00			
		4 6 9	2.9 B	22	Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (CL-ML)	- 	7 8 0 10	3.3 B	19

. . .

(Reference) Illinois	s Departm nsportatio	ent on		SC	<b>DIL BORIN</b>	<b>G LOG</b>	Page	<u>3</u> of <u>3</u>
Division of High GSG Consultants F.A.P. Rte. 342	vays s 2 (IL Rte.						-	3/24/14
<b>ROUTE</b> 120)	DESC	CRIPTION	I <u>IL</u>	<u>Rt. 12</u>	0 over Old Skokie HW	<u>'Y. &amp; U.P.R.R.</u> <b>LO</b>	GGED BY	SLM
SECTION 12 (HB	&VB) BR	LOCAT	ION _	West A	Abutment (EB), <b>SEC.</b> 2 de N42°20'53.02", Lo	<u>5, TWP. 45N, RNG. 11</u> ngitude W87°53"21.8	<u>IE, 3<sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b>,</u> 3"	
COUNTY Lake		METHOD	. <u></u>			_ HAMMER TYPE _		ГО
STRUCT. NO. 049- Station 509+	42.48	D B E L P O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.			
BORING NO.         BSB5           Station         507+1           Offset         15.36	73.48	T W H S ft) (/6")	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion			
Ground Surface Elev Very Stiff Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (CL-ML) (continued) Very Stiff Gray, Moist CLAY (CL) End of Boring	647.00 		3.3 B 2.5 B 2.5 B	17 17 21	After <u>NA</u> Hrs.			
		100						

Illinois Departr	ne	nt		SC	DIL BORING LOG	Page	<u>    1                                </u>	of <u>3</u>
Division of Highways GSG consultants F.A.P. Rte. 342 (IL Rte.						Date	3/1	11/14
ROUTE120) DE	SCR	IPTION	I <u>IL</u>	. Rt. 12	20 over Old Skokie HWY. & U.P.R.R. LOG	GED BY	·	JR
SECTION 12 (HB&VB) BR	_ เ			East A	Note N42°20'53.81", <b>Longitude</b> W87°53"17.38	<u>, 3<sup>rd</sup> PM</u>	,	
COUNTY Lake DRILLING	6 ME	THOD					JTO	
STRUCT. NO.         049-0051           Station         509+42.48	D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Stream Bed Elev. <u>NA</u> ft E	D B E L P O	U C S	M O I
BORING NO.         BSB51-03           Station         511+10.28           Offset         28.10ft LT           Created Surface Flag:         722.00         #	T H	W S (/6")	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter675.0 ft ▼ H Upon CompletionNone ft(ff		Qu (tsf)	S T (%)
Ground Surface Elev. 733.00 ft 3 inches of Asphalt		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	((3))	(70)	Brown and Gray, Moist	., (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	((3))	(70)
7 inches of Concrete     732.17       Brown, Moist     731.50       FILL: SAND, some gravel     731.50		11			FILL: CLAY, trace gravel (continued)	4		
Gray, Moist FILL: SILTY CLAY, trace gravel		15 15	6.0 P	14		5 8	2.9 B	17
		8				3		
	-5	8 13	4.5 P	13	708.00 -	5 25 9	2.9 B	18
					Hard Brown and Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY LOAM (CL-ML) —			
		5 5 7	4.5	16		6 8 11	4.2	15
725.00		,	Р				В	
Brown and Gray, Moist FILL: CLAY, trace gravel		3	0.5	10	704.00	4	1.0	17
	-10	4	2.5 B	18	Hard Brown, Moist SILTY LOAM (ML)	7 30 8	4.2 B	17
		4				_		
		4 5	1.7 S	16				
					Hard Brown, Moist			
		4 4 5	2.9 B	15	SILTY CLAY, trace gravel — (CL-ML)	7 9 	4.6 B	17
	-15					<u>35</u> 13		
		4	2.5	14	-	_		
		7	В		695.00			
	_	6			Very Stiff Gray, Moist	5		
	-20	7 8	2.5 B	15	CLAY, trace gravel (CL)	6 40 8	2.5 B	18

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

	linois Dep f Transpoi	artr tati	ne ion	nt		SC	<b>DIL BORING</b>	LO	G		Page	2	of <u>3</u>
Div GS	ision of Highways G Consultants . Rte. 342 (IL Rte.										Date	3/1	1/14
ROUTE	120)	_ DE	SCR	IPTION	I <u>IL</u>	. Rt. 12	0 over Old Skokie HWY. &	U.P.R.R	<u>.                                    </u>	oggi	ED BY	J	R
SECTION	12 (HB&VB) BR		I			East A	butment (WB), <b>SEC.</b> 25, <b>TV</b> de N42°20'53.81", Longitu	<b>VP.</b> 45N,	<b>RNG.</b> 1	<u>11E, 3</u>	3 <sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b> ,		
COUNTY	Lake DR	ILLING	G ME	THOD							AL	ло	
STRUCT. NO	049-0051 509+42.48		D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev Stream Bed Elev	NA NA	_ ft _ ft	DEP	ΒLΟ	U C S	M O I
BORING NO Station Offset	BSB51-03 511+10.28 28.10ft LT		T H	W S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	None	_ ft ⊻ ft	T H	W S	Qu	S T
Ground Surface		ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	After <u>NA</u> Hrs	NA	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
Very Stiff Gray, Moist CLAY, trace grav <i>(continued)</i>	el (CL)			-			Very Stiff Gray, Moist CLAY, trace gravel (CL) <i>(continued)</i>						
				3 6	2.5	18	Very Stiff to Hard		669.00		6 11	4.2	12
			45	7	В		Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (CL-ML)			65	11	В	
				-									
				3	2.5	18					6	3.8	19
			-50		В					 	4.4	В	
				-									
				3							6		
			-55	F	2.1 B	23				-75	8	3.8 B	18
			<b>▼</b>										
			-60	4 6 8	2.5 B	17				-80	7 9 11	3.8 B	20

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

$(\mathbb{P})$	Illinois De of Transp	partme ortatio	ent n		SC	<b>DIL BORIN</b>	IG LOG	Page	<u>3</u> of <u>3</u>
	Division of Highways GSG Consultants .P. Rte. 342 (IL Rt							Date	3/11/14
	120)	DESC	RIPTION		. Rt. 12	0 over Old Skokie HW	/Y. & U.P.R.R. <b>LOG</b>	GED BY	JR
	12 (HB&VB)	BR	LOCAT		East A	butment (WB), SEC. 2	5, TWP. 45N, RNG. 116 ngitude W87°53"17.38	<u>=, 3<sup>rd</sup> PM,</u>	
COUNTY	Lake		ETHOD				HAMMER TYPE		ТО
STRUCT. NO. Station	049-0051 509+42.48	F	L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	<u>NA</u> ft <u>NA</u> ft		
Station Offset	BSB51-03 511+10.28 28.10ft LT ace Elev. 733.0	T F	I S	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion After <u>NA</u> Hrs.			
Very Stiff to Ha Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, t (CL-ML) (contin	ard race gravel			3.8 B	20				
		-1	20						

(Representation of Transported	me	nt		90	DIL BORING LOG		Page	<u>    1                                </u>	of <u>3</u>
Division of Highways GSG Consultants	101			30			Date	3/2	25/14
F.A.P. Rte. 342 (IL Rte. <b>ROUTE</b> 120) DI	ESCR		N <u>IL</u>	. Rt. 12	20 over Old Skokie HWY. & U.P.R.R. LC	OGGE	D BY	J	IR
SECTION 12 (HB&VB) BR		LOCAT		East A	Abutment (EB), <b>SEC.</b> 25, <b>TWP.</b> 45N, <b>RNG.</b> 1 <sup>-</sup> Ide N42°20'53.14", <b>Longitude</b> W87°53"17.	<u>1E, 3'</u> 14"	<sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b> ,		
COUNTY Lake DRILLIN	G ME	THOD			HSA HAMMER TYPE		AL	JTO	
STRUCT. NO.         049-0051           Station         509+42.48           BORING NO.         BSB51-04           Station         511+11.69	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev.       NA       ft         Stream Bed Elev.       NA       ft         Groundwater Elev.:       First Encounter       643.0       ft	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T
Offset <u>36.81ft RT</u> Ground Surface Elev. <u>732.00</u> ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion $643.0$ ftAfterNAHrs.NAft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
6 inches of Topsoil 731.50 Brown, Moist FILL: SILTY CLAY LOAM	)	2			Brown and Gray, Moist FILL: SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (continued)		4		
		2 2 4	2.5 P	22			6 7	4.6 B	17
729.00 Brown and Gray, Moist FILL: CLAY	)	2					4		
		2 5 2	2.0 P	20		-25	7 9	5.0 B	18
		3	2.9	16	706.00 Hard Black, Very Moist		4	4.0	36
		4	В		SILTY LOAM, trace organics 704.00 Very Stiff		11	Р	
	 	2 2 3	2.1 B	16	Gray, Very Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel	-30	3 5 8	3.8 B	25
		2							
		2 4	2.5 P	15					
718.0		3			699.00 Hard Brown, Moist		4		
Brown and Gray, Moist FILL: SILTY CLAY, trace gravel	-15	5 5	3.3 B	14	SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (CL-ML)	-35	9 11	4.1 B	14
		3	4.0	14					
	_	8	Р		694.00				
		5	2.9	13	Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel (CL-ML)		5 8	5.0	16
	-20	7	B			-40	8	В	1

Illinois Depa of Transport	rtm atic	en on	t		SC	<b>DIL BORING LOG</b>		Page	2	of <u>3</u>
Division of Highways GSG Consultants F.A.P. Rte. 342 (IL Rte.								Date	3/2	25/14
<b>ROUTE</b> 120)	DESC	CRIP	TION	I <u>IL</u>	Rt. 12	0 over Old Skokie HWY. & U.P.R.R. LC	OGGE	ED BY		JR
SECTION 12 (HB&VB) BR		LC	CAT	ION _	East A	butment (EB), <b>SEC.</b> 25, <b>TWP.</b> 45N, <b>RNG.</b> 11 de N42°20'53.14", Longitude W87°53"17.1	I <u>E, 3</u> 14"	<sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b> ,		
COUNTY Lake DRIL										
STRUCT. NO.         049-0051           Station         509+42.48	-	D E P	B L O	U C S	M 0 I	Surface Water Elev.       NA       ft         Stream Bed Elev.       NA       ft	D E P	BLO	U C S	M O I
BORING NO.         BSB51-04           Station         511+11.69		T   H	W S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter643.0_ ft ⊻	T H	W S	Qu	S T
Offset 36.81ft RT Ground Surface Elev. 732.00	ft (	ft) (	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion $643.0$ ftAfter NAHrs.NAft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)
Hard	<u> </u>		,,,,	(101)	(70)	Very Stiff	(,	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(101)	(70)
Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel	_					Gray, Moist CLAY, trace gravel (CL)				
(CL-ML) (continued)	_	_				(continued)				
68	9.00	_								
Very Stiff Gray, Moist		_	3				_	3		
CLAY, trace gravel (CL)	_		5 7	2.5 B	18			4 7	2.9 B	19
	_	-45				-	-65	-	2	
	_									
	_	_								
	_									
	_		3					4		
		-50	5 6	2.1 B	21		-70	6 8	2.9 B	19
	_					-				
	_									
	_									
	_					659.00 Very Stiff				
	_	_	3			Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel		4		
		-55	4 5	2.5 B	20	(CL-ML)	-75	6 9	3.8 B	18
		_				-				
	-									
	_									
	_									
	_		3					5		
	_	-60	4 5	2.1 B	21		-80	7 10	3.8 B	20

(Reference) Illinois D of Transp	epartme portatio	ent n		SC	DIL BORIN	IG LOG	Page	<u>3</u> of <u>3</u>
Division of Highways GSG Consultants F.A.P. Rte. 342 (IL I	Rte.							3/25/14
<b>ROUTE</b> 120)	DESC	RIPTION	I <u>IL</u>	. Rt. 12	0 over Old Skokie HW	/Y. & U.P.R.R.	LOGGED BY	JR
SECTION 12 (HB&VB	<u>) BR</u>	LOCAT	ION _	East A	<u>butment (EB)</u> , <b>SEC.</b> 29 de N42°20'53.14", Lo	5, <b>TWP.</b> 45N, <b>RNG</b> naitude W87°53"	<u>i. 11E, 3<sup>rd</sup> <b>PM</b>,</u> 17.14"	
COUNTY Lake		IETHOD						TO
STRUCT. NO.         049-0051           Station         509+42.48	B E	E L	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	<u>NA</u> ft <u>NA</u> ft		
BORING NO.         BSB51-04           Station         511+11.69           Offset         36.81ft RT	)   H	IS	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	<u>    643.0</u> ft <u> </u> 643.0 ft <u> </u>	<b>▼</b> ∑	
Ground Surface Elev. 732	00 ft (f	t) (/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After <u>NA</u> Hrs.	NAft		
Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, trace gravel	-							
(CL-ML) (continued)								
		4	3.3	19				
Medium Dense	647.00 -	<sub>85</sub> 9	В					
Gray, Wet GRAVEL, with sand (GPS)								
	-							
		13		10				
End of Boring	642.00 -	<sub>90</sub> 12						
		_						
	- 	95						
		_						
		_						
	-1(	00						

**APPENDIX D** 

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

Atterberg Limit Results													
Boring ID	Sample Number	(Below	e Depth Existing ade)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index							
		Top (ft.)	Bottom (ft.)										
BSB51-01	SS-6	13.5	15.0	32.8	15.4	17.4							
	SS-12	28.5	30.0	35.3	18.5	16.8							
	SS-20	68.5	70.0	34.1	15.7	18.4							
BSB51-03	SS-8	18.5	20.0	28.5	14.5	14.0							
	SS-16	48.5	50.0	33.7	15.2	18.5							

Dry Unit Weight Results				
Boring ID	Sample Number	Sample Depth (Below Existing Grade)		Dry Unit Weight (pcf)
		Top (ft.)	Bottom (ft.)	(per)
BSB51-01	SS-6	13.5	15.0	116.1
	SS-20	68.5	70.0	114.8
BSB51-03	SS-8	18.5	20.0	122.7
	SS-16	48.5	50.0	116.3