## IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

To:	Milton R. Sees, Acting Secretary		
From:	Eric E. Harm, Deputy Director		
Date:	May 14, 2007		
Re:	I-74, Contract Number 68367, Peoria County {June 15, 2007}		
project	ordance with Executive Order 2003-13 (Blagojevich), it is recommended that a tlabor agreement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This mendation is based on the considerations indicated below.		
	I)The Project is being awarded and administered by IDOT (i.e., not by another mental agency).		
	2)The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal		
it parti	3)The overall size, scope, sequencing, logistics or other aspects of the Project make cularly challenging to manage, and use of a PLA is expected to help assure that the action work is performed properly and efficiently under the circumstances.		
constri	4)The duration of construction activity on the Project is expected to exceed one action season (i.e., 110 or more working days), or the nature of the Project results ightened need for labor force continuity and stability over a substantial period of		
	5)There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project thereby sing the adverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.		
expirately:	5)The time required to complete the Project is expected to extend beyond the tion date of one or more existing collective bargaining agreements covering trades to be involved in the Project, thereby increasing the likelihood of work stoppage(s) or labor disruption(s) during construction of the Project.		
among that co	7)In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional disputes unions or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on the Project all have a potentially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or quality of work med on the Project.		

	project presents specific safety concerns to abor force continuity and stability, decrease		
	of a PLA is expected to result in improved improved safety performance on the Pro		
— · · · — · · ·	of a PLA on the Project is not expected to bidding process.	o have a material adverse effect on	
the ability of disadvantage	of a PLA on the Project is not expected to the Department to achieve other Department by the Department to achieve other Department by the Department of Illinois domical vendor alternatives over time, etc.)	nental goals (e.g., utilization of	
12)Ther this Project a	re are other material considerations favori s follows:	ng or disfavoring use of a PLA on	
on this Project faith a PLA v specification	the identified considerations, we recommend. Upon your approval, the Department swith the relevant labor organization(s), and s and other documents information regard upon all contractors and their employees.	shall undertake to negotiate in good d shall include in all necessary bid ling the actual or form of PLA that	•
Agreed:	MA {Division Chief}	(Date)	
Agreed:	{Bareau of Design & Environment}	(Date)  05/15/07 (Date)	
Agreed:	{Regional Engineer}	05   14/01 (Date)	
Approved:	Milton R. Sees, Acting Secretary	<u>き / 15 / 57</u> (Date)	