

Abbreviated Structure Geotechnical Report

Original Report Date: 02-15-2022	Proposed SN:	046-0162	Route:	FAP 41 (IL 17)
Revised Date: 09-15-2023	Existing SN:	046-0031	Section:	(13)BR-2
Geotechnical Engineer: Rubino Engir	neering, Inc. (G2 ⁻	1.171)	County:	Kankakee
Structural Engineer: DLZ Corporation	1		Contract:	#66L10

Indicate the proposed structure type, substructure types, and foundation locations (attach plan and elevation drawing): The proposed bridge configuration consists of a 100-foot, single-span, PPC I Beam bridge structure. The new bridge will utilize IL36-3838 PPC I Beams on integral abutments. The current TSL drawing is attached.

Discuss the existing boring data, existing plans foundation information, new subsurface exploration and need for any additional exploration to be provided with SGR Technical Memo (attach all data and subsurface profile plot): The plans indicate that the existing structure consists of a three-span concrete superstructure supported by stub concrete abutments and concrete wall piers. In September of 2021, two soil borings were taken at the abutments. The native soils encountered in the borings beneath the topsoil and undocumented fill are generally very soft to hard, black and gray silty clay, silt, and silty clay loam. Copies of these logs are attached. Need for additional exploration is not anticipated.

Provide the location and maximum height of any new soil fill or magnitude of footing bearing pressure. Estimate the amount and time of the expected settlement. Indicate if further testing, analysis, and/or ground improvement/treatment is necessary: There is no fill proposed for this profile and, therefore, minimal settlement is anticipated under the proposed embankments. No additional testing or treatment for settlement is anticipated.

Identify any new cuts or fill slope angles and heights. Estimate the factor of safety against slope failure. Indicate if further testing, analysis or ground improvement/treatment is necessary: The new profile of the bridge has approximately a 4.5-foot cut to accommodate the proposed Stone Riprap slope design beneath the bridge span. The proposed embankment slope below the bridge will be 1:2. In the slope stability analyses, the drained (longterm construction) conditions control over the undrained (short-term construction) conditions. Rubino used the slope stability program Stedwin Version 2.90 to run the Modified Bishop Method. A factor of safety of 2.20 was achieved in the drained condition and a factor of safety of 4.51 was achieved in the undrained condition. No additional analyses or treatment is recommended.

Indicate at each substructure, the 100-year and 200-year total scour depths in the Hydraulics report, the nongranular scour depth reduction, the proposed ground surface, and the recommended foundation design scour elevations: The 100-year and 200-year scour depths are 0 feet for the W. Abutment and the E. Abutment. The proposed ground surface elevations at the West and East Abutments are approximately 609.9 feet and 609.4 feet, respectively. The recommended foundation design scour elevation at the West and East Abutments are 609.9 feet and 609.4 feet, respectively. The countermeasure for scour proposed in this design is Stone RipRap for embankment protection.

Determining the seismic soil site class, the seismic performance zone, the 0.2 and 1.0 second design spectral accelerations and indicate if that the soils are liquefiable: The seismic data is as follows: Seismic Soil Site Class = C; Seismic Performance Zone = SPZ 1; Design Spectral Acceleration at 0.2 sec. (SDS) = 0.129; Design Specteral Acceleration at 1.0 sec. (SD1) = 0.074. Liquefaction is not applicable because the SPZ =1.

Confirm feasibility of the proposed foundation or wall type and provide design parameters. Attach a pile design table indicating feasible pile types, various nominal required bearings, factored resistances available and corresponding estimated lengths at locations where piles will be used. Provide factored bearing resistance and unit sliding resistance at various elevations and confirm no ground improvement/treatment is necessary where spread footings are proposed. Estimated top of rock elevations as well as preliminary factored unit side and tip resistance values shall be indicated when drilled shafts are proposed: The proposed foundation type (driven piles) is feasible. IDOT Static Method of Estimating Pile Length spreadsheet was used to calculate estimated pile lengths. The refusal was defined as apparent bedrock and projected deeper in the pile spreadsheets in order to obtain the capacities listed herein. Revised loads were provided by Quigg Engineering on September 13, 2023. Quigg Engineering requested Pile Type & Lengths for 100% of Strength-I and Extreme Event-I loads and also 120% of Strength-I and Extreme Event-I loads. Ground surface elevations, 611.9 feet (W. Abutment) and 609.4 feet (E. Abutment), and the pile cut off elevations, 611.9 feet (W. Abutment) and 611.4 feet (E. Abutment), were obtained from the TS&L dated December 12, 2022. Pile Design Tables are included in the attached supplemental information. Integral abutments are feasible for this project. See the attached supplemental information for details

Hard driving is expected due to very stiff soil layers and possible limestone bedrock was encountered at an estimated elevation of 565 feet, therefore H-piles with pile shoes are recommended. Please reference the included pile tables for recommended pile sizes and estimated lengths.

Rubino recommends the utilization of at least one test pile in either abutment in order to obtain site specific pile bearing and length data. This data can be used, in addition to the boring information, to supplement the estimated plan length. This recommendation has been made in accordance with the 2012 IDOT Bridge Manual Section 3.10.1.7.

Calculate the estimated water surface elevation and determine the need for cofferdams (type 1 or 2), and seal coat: The estimated water surface elevation (E.W.S.E.) is 602.6 feet. The bottom elevation of the proposed slope embankment is approximately 598.3 feet. Therefore, a Type 1 cofferdam will be needed for this project. This needs to be checked and confirmed by the designer.

Assess the need for sheeting or soil retention or temporary construction slope and provide recommendation for other construction concerns: The proposed plans indicate that traffic will be maintained utilizing staged construction by removing the existing bridge and constructing the proposed bridge in two stages. Stage I will be the South side of the bridge and Stage II will be the North side of the bridge. Temporary sheet piling is proposed along the Stage Construction/Removal lines in the TSL.



Rubino Report No: G21.171 REV1





Event / Limit	Design S	cour Elev	ations (ft.)
State	W. Abut.	E. Abut.	Item 113
Q100	±609.9	±609.4	
Q200	±609.9	±609.4	8
Design	±609.9	±609.4	0
Check	±609.9	±609.4	

WATERWAY INFORMATION

Existing Overtopping Elev. 616.49 @ Sta. 850+43Drainage Area = 26.7 sq. mi.Proposed Overtopping Elev. 617.09 @ Sta. 850+44										
Flood Freq. Q Opening Ft ² Nat. Head – Ft. Headwater El										
FIOOU	Yr.	C.F.S.	Exist.	Prop.	H.W.E.	Exist.	Prop.	Exist.	Prop.	
	10	1,230	269	439	608.3	0.0	0.0	608.3	608.3	
Design	50	1,820	353	565	609.9	0.0	0.0	609.9	609.9	
Base	100	2,090	376	608	610.3	0.2	0.1	610.5	610.4	
Overtopping	200	2,350	394	633	610.6	0.3	0.2	610.9	610.8	
Max. Calc.	500	2,700	413	659	610.9	0.4	0.3	611.3	611.2	

10-Year Velocity through Existing Structure = 4.6 fps 10-Year Velocity through Proposed Structure = 2.8 fps

2							
	USER NAME = rwhiteside	DESIGNED - RPW	REVISED -		DETAILS	F.A.P. SECTION	COUNTY TOTAL SHEET
	0460162-66L10-TSL-002.dgn	CHECKED - ZLD	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS		41 13(BR)-2	KANKAKEE
	PLOT SCALE = 20:0.0000 ':" / in.	DRAWN - ZLD	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	STRUCTURE NO. 046-0162		CONTRACT NO. 66L10
QUIGG ENGINEERING INC	PLOT DATE = 12/12/2022	CHECKED - MDC	REVISED -		SHEET 2 OF 2 SHEETS	ILLINOIS FED. /	AID PROJECT
12/12/2022 8:25:21 AM				Page 5 of 20		Rubino F	Report No: G21.171 REV1

	1		
	620		
		BSB-02 (SE 850+7	Quad.) 3
	615	w=25% Qu=0.8 tsf	2,3,6 N=9
		w=21% Qu=2.0 tsf	3,4,6 N=10
	610	w=23% Qu=2.3 tsf	11,13,13 N=26
		w=22%	13,7,7 N=14
	605	w=23% Qu=1.6 tsf	2,3,4 N=7
	600	w=15% Qu=3.6 tsf	3,5,8 N=13
	000	w=12% Qu=2.5 tsf	11,12,21 N=33
		w=9%	13,14,17
	595	w=9% Qu=7.9 tsf	13,14,17 N=31
		w=12% Qu=5.8 tsf	7,10,13 N=23
		w=13% Qu=10.5 tsf	6,12,16 N=28
	590		
		w=11% Qu=6.2 tsf	13,20,22 N=42
		w=12%	15,16,25 N=41
_	585		
ation			
Elevation		Qu=3.0 tsf	33,28,20 N=48
	580		
		w=15%	9,14,20 N=34
	575		
		w=13%	34,30,38 N=68
	570		
		w=12%	20,14,31 N=45
	565		



Rubino Engineering, Inc. 425 Shepard Drive Elgin, IL 60123 Telephone: 847-931-1555 Fax: 847-931-1560







Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u>

Date 9/29/21

ROUTE FAP 41 (IL 17) DESCRIPTION IL 17 over Horse Creek, 0.7 mi. E of Union Hill Rd LOGGED BY M.K.

SECTION ________ (13)BR-2 ______ LOCATION _______ SW 1/4

COUNTY Kankakee DF	RILLING	MET	rhod		Но	llow Stem Auger		TYPE		Auto	omatic	
STRUCT. NO. 046-0031 Existing Station 849+99 BORING NO. BSB-01 (NW Quad Station 849+29 Offset 27.7Lt	<u>I.)</u>	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	598.30 N/A N/A	ft ft ft	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T
Ground Surface Elev615.88 Approximately 8 inches of TOPSOIL	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After <u>18</u> Hrs		_ ft ⊻	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
FILL: dark brown to black SILTY CLAY	615.22		-			trace to little sand and grav	vel (continued)					
to SILTY CLAY LOAM			2 3 2	2.5 P	12					12 13 17	4.5 P	15
			-					592.38				
FILL: black SANDY LOAM	611.88	5	1 2 1		20	Very stiff, SILTY LOAM, tr gravel	ace sand and	002.00	-25	10 13 15	4.5 P	16
	609.88		-					589.88	_			
Very soft to soft, black SILTY CLAY, trace sand and gravel <i>A</i> -7-6	000.00		0 0 1	0.3 B	33	Very stiff to hard, gray SIL trace sand and gravel	TY CLAY,	000.00		10 13 21	5.5 B	10
			_									
LL = 53 PL = 27 PI = 26		-10	0 0 1	0.3 P	30				-30	12 13 16	4.5 P	9
			-						—			
			3 2 1		14							
			-									
Stiff, gray SILTY CLAY, trace to little sand and gravel	602.38	-¥ 	3 4 6	2.0 B	14				-35	12 13 15		15
			-									
Very stiff to hard, gray SILTY CLAY, trace to little sand and gravel	599.88		7 8 20	1.3 B	14							
			_									
			18 20	4.5 P	10					10 13	4.5 P	13
		-20	23						-40	17		

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)



Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

Date 9/29/21

ROUTE FAP 41 (IL 17)	DESCRIPTIO	N <u>IL 1</u>	17 over	Horse Creek, 0.7 mi. E	of Union Hill Rd LOG	GED BY M.K.
SECTION (13)BR-2	LOCA	TION _	SW 1/	4		
COUNTY Kankakee DRILL	NG METHOD		Но	llow Stem Auger	HAMMER TYPE	Automatic
STRUCT. NO. 046-0031 Existing Station 849+99	D B E L P O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	602.60 ft 598.30 ft	
BORING NO. BSB-01 (NW Quad.) Station 849+29 Offset 27.7Lt Cround Surface Flay 615.98	T W H S	Qu (tsf)	S T		$\frac{N/A}{M/A} ft$	
Ground Surface Elev. 615.88 Very stiff to hard, gray SILTY CLAY, trace sand and gravel (continued) 565 Possible WEATHERED LIMESTONE /565 Auger and spoon refusal at approximately 50 feet 10 inches below existing grade due to possible limestone bedrock. End of boring at approximately 50 feet 10 inches below existing grade.	t (ft) (/6")	4.5 P 1.6 B		After <u>18</u> Hrs.	13.5_ft Ӯ	
	-60					

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)



Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u>

ENGINEERING INC. Date 9/30/21 ROUTE FAP 41 (IL 17) DESCRIPTION IL 17 over Horse Creek, 0.7 mi. E of Union Hill Rd LOGGED BY M.K. (13)BR-2 **LOCATION** SW 1/4 SECTION COUNTY Kankakee **DRILLING METHOD** Hollow Stem Auger HAMMER TYPE Automatic D В U Μ D В U Μ STRUCT. NO. 046-0031 Existing Surface Water Elev. 602.60 ft Ε L С 0 Е L С Ο 849+99 Station Stream Bed Elev. 598.30 ft Ρ S Ρ S Ο L Ο L Т W S т W S BORING NO. BSB-02 (SE Quad.) Groundwater Elev.: н S т т Qu н S Qu Station _____ 850+73 First Encounter <u>16</u> ft **T** 24.5Rt Upon Completion <u>22.5_</u> ft ∑ Offset (%) (ft) (/6") (%) (ft) (/6") (tsf) (tsf) Ground Surface Elev. 615.27 ft After Hrs. N/A ft Approximately 8 inches of TOPSOIL Very stiff to hard, gray CLAY, trace to 614.61 little sand and gravel (continued) FILL: dark brown silty clay to silty clay loam, trace to little sand and gravel 0.8 2 7 5.8 25 12 В S 3 10 6 13 3 2.0 6 10.5 21 13 В S 4 12 6 16 -5 -25 609.27 Stiff to very stiff, dark brown to black SILTY CLAY LOAM 11 2.3 6.2 13 23 11 Р s 13 20 13 22 586.77 Hard, gray SILTY CLAY, little sand and 15 13 22 gravel 12 7 16 7 25 -10 -30 604.27 Medium stiff, brown and gray SILTY 2 1.6 CLAY, trace sand and gravel 23 3 в 4 601.77 Stiff, gray SILT, trace sand and gravel 601.27 3 3.6 33 3.0 15 Stiff, gray CLAY, trace sand and gravel 5 В 28 В 8 20 -15 -35 599.27 🔻 Hard, gray SILTY CLAY LOAM, little 11 2.5 sand and gravel 12 s 12 21 596.77 576.77 Very stiff to hard, gray CLAY, trace to Very stiff to very hard, gray CLAY LOAM, 7.9 13 9 9 15 trace gravel and rock chips little sand and gravel s 14 14 -20 17 -40 20

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)



Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

Date 9/30/21

ROUTE	FAP 41 (IL 17)	DE	SCRI	PTION	<u>IL 1</u>	7 over	Horse Creek, 0.7 mi. E	of Union Hill R	d LOG	GED BY	M.K.
SECTION	(13)BR-2		L	OCAT	ION _	SW 1/	4				
COUNTY	Kankakee D	RILLING	MET	HOD		Ho	low Stem Auger	_ HAMMER T	YPE	Autom	atic
STRUCT. NO. Station	849+99		D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.				
Station Offset	24.5Rt		T H	W S (/6'')	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	16 22.5	ft $\overline{\Sigma}$		
Ground Surfa			(14)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	((3))	(70)	After Hrs.	N/A	π		
Very stiff to very trace gravel and	hard, gray CLAY LOAM rock chips <i>(continued)</i>	,									
				34 30 38		13					
Dense, light gray gravel and rock o	SANDY LOAM, some hips	566.77		20 14		12					
Auger and spoc	on refusal at	565.27	- <u>50</u>	31 50/0"							
approximately s grade due to po bedrock.	50 feet below existing ssible limestone approximately 50 feet										
Selett externing gr				•							
			- <u>55</u>								
			-60								

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

G21.171 IDOT WO#8

y:\rubino eng projects\2021 geo projects\g21.171 ptb 197-022 wo 8 il 17 over horse creek sgr for district 3\report\slope stability\g21.171 slope stability\g21.171 slope stability\g21.171 slope stability\g21.171 slope stability \g21.171 slope stab



G21.171 IDOT WO#8

y:\rubino eng projects\2021 geo projects\221.171 ptb 197-022 wo 8 ii 17 over horse creek sgr for district 3\report\slope stability\g21.171 slope stability mk.pl2 Run By: Rubino Engineering, Inc. 1/25/2022 11:28AM



SEISMIC SITE CLASS DETERMINATION

I.D.O.T. BBS FOUNDATIONS AND GEOTECHNICAL UNIT

Modified on 12/10/10

PROJECT TITLE==== G21.171 REV 1 IL17 over Horse Creek

Substructu	re 1						Substru
Base of Subst	ruct. Elev. (or ground s	urf for	bents	609.9	ft.	Base of S
Pile or Shaft D						inches	Pile or Sh
Boring Numbe	er				BSB-01		Boring Nu
Top of Boring	Elev.				615.88	ft.	Top of Bo
Approximate F	ixity Elev.				603.9	ft.	Approxim
Individual Sit	e Class Def	inition:					Individua
N (bar):		(Blows/ft.)		Site Cl	ass D		N (I
N _{ch} (bar):		(Blows/ft.)		014			N _{ch} (t
s _u (bar):		(KST)	501	Site Ci	ass C <co< td=""><td>ontrois</td><td>s_u (t</td></co<>	ontrois	s _u (t
Seismic	Bot. Of				Layer		Seism
Soil Column		Sample			Description		Soil Colu
Depth	Elevation	Thick.	Ν	Qu	Boundary	_	Depth
(ft)		(ft.)		(tsf)		, I	(ft)
	611.9	4.00	5	2.50	В		
	609.9	2.00	3		В		
	607.4	2.50	1	0.25			
	604.9	2.50	1	0.25	B		
1.5 4.0	602.4 599.9	2.50 2.50	3 10	2.00	В		
4.0	599.9 597.4	2.50	28	1.25			
9.0	597.4	2.50	43	4.50			
11.5	592.4	2.50	30	4.50	В		
14.0	589.9	2.50	28	4.50	B		
16.5	587.4	2.50	34	5.50			
21.5	582.4	5.00	29	4.50			
26.5	577.4	5.00	28				
31.5	572.4	5.00	30	4.50			
36.5	567.4	5.00	54	4.50			
38.7	565.2	2.20	25	1.60	В		
88.0	515.9	49.30	100	5.00	R		:
						1	
						1	
							B

bstructu	10.2					
	ruct. Elev. (or around e	urf for	honte	609.4	ft
or Shaft D		Ji giounu s		Dents		inches
ing Numbe					BSB-02	
of Boring					615.27	ft.
oroximate F	Fixity Fley				603.4	ft
	e Class Def	Inition			000.1	
N (bar):		(Blows/ft.)				
N _{ch} (bar):					ass C <co< td=""><td>ntrols</td></co<>	ntrols
s _u (bar):	4.25	(KST)	501	Site Cl	ass C	
eismic	Bot. Of				Layer	
il Column	Sample	Sample			Description	
Depth	Elevation	Thick.	Ν	Qu	Boundary	
(ft)		(ft.)		(tsf)		
	611.8	3.50	9	0.80		
	609.3	2.50	10	2.00	В	
	606.8		26	2.30		
	604.3	2.50	14		В	
1.6	601.8		7	1.60	В	
2.1	601.3		13		В	
4.1	599.3	2.00	13	3.60	В	
6.6	596.8		33	2.50	В	
9.1	594.3		31	7.90		
11.6	591.8		23	5.80		
14.1	589.3		28	9.90		
16.6	586.8		42	6.20	В	
21.6	581.8		41			
26.6			48	3.00	В	
31.6	571.8		44			
36.6			68		В	
38.1 88.1	565.3 515.3		45	5.00	B	
00.1	515.5	50.00	100	5.00	ĸ	
			_			

Substructu	ire 3					1	Substructu	re 4
Base of Subst		or around s	urf for	bents		ft.	Base of Subst	
Pile or Shaft E		9.0und a		20110		inches	Pile or Shaft D	
Boring Numbe							Boring Numbe	
Top of Boring						ft.	Top of Boring	
Approximate F		Inition				ft.	Approximate F	
Individual Sit							Individual Sit	
							N (bar):	
N _{ch} (bar):		(Blows/ft.)					N _{ch} (bar):	
s _u (bar):		(ksf)	NA				s _u (bar):	
Seismic	Bot. Of				Layer		Seismic	Bot.
Soil Column	Sample	Sample			Description		Soil Column	Samp
Depth	Elevation	Thick.	Ν	Qu	Boundary		Depth	Elevat
(ft)		(ft.)		(tsf)			(ft)	
			_					
			_					
				-				

Substructu	re 4					_
Base of Subst	ruct. Elev. (or ground s	urf for	bents)	ft.
Pile or Shaft D						inch
Boring Numbe						
Top of Boring						ft.
rop or boning	21011					
Approximate F	ixity Elev.					ft.
Individual Site	e Class Def	inition:				
N (bar):		(Blows/ft.)	NΛ			
N _{ch} (bar):		(Blows/ft.)				
		(BIOWS/IL.)	IN/A			
s _u (bar):		(ksf)	NA			
.						
	Bot. Of				Layer	
Soil Column	Sample	Sample			Description	
Depth	Elevation	Thick.	Ν	Qu	Boundary	
(ft)		(ft.)		(tsf)		
		. ,				1
			-			

Global Site Class Definition: Substructures 1 through 2

N (bar):	45 ((Blows/ft.)	Soil Site Class D
N _{ch} (bar):	69 ((Blows/ft.)	Soil Site Class C <controls< td=""></controls<>
s _u (bar):	4.31 ((ksf)	Soil Site Class C

Integral Abutment Feasibility

Integral abutments are the preferred end bent type due to elimination of the joints in the bridge decks, decreasing maintenance costs and increasing service life. The proposed structure length typically fits in the range of applicability for integral abutments; the soil at critical depth of 10 feet below the abutments is very soft to stiff. The bottom abutment elevation is 609.9 feet at the West abutment and 609.4 feet at the East abutment. Critical depth for integral abutment analysis is 10 feet below the bottom of the abutment elevation.

Abutment	Soil Strengths at Critical Depth	Estimated Expansion Length*
West Abutment	Qu between 0.3 – 2.0 tsf	62 feet
East Abutment	Qu between 1.6 – 3.6 tsf	38 feet
*D'' ''		· · · · ·

*Piles with an expansion length greater than this are suitable for consideration

The IDOT BBS 145 spreadsheet for Integral Abutment Feasibility Analysis shows that the integral abutment option is feasible for both abutments. See the attached spreadsheet for more details.

Abutment Pile Discussion

Metal shell piles and H-piles were both considered for integral abutment applications; however, H-piles are recommended over metal shell piles due to possible bedrock encountered in both borings near elevation 565 feet. Tables of estimated pile lengths are attached for 100% proposed loading and 120% of proposed loading for the Strength I case and the Extreme Event I case at each abutment. If the anticipated load per pile in the 100% cases was acceptable but the 120% loads exceeded the maximum factored resistance available for a particular pile type, the pile was still included as an option and is noted on the attached Pile Design Tables. Pile shoes are recommended for H-piles in very stiff or dense soils. The proposed pile locations need to be checked for conflict with the existing piling. Existing piles should be cut off to an appropriate elevation to not interfere with the new abutment and pile system.

Laboratory Testing

An Atterberg Limit test (AASHTO T89/AASHTO T90) was run on boring BSB-01 at approximately 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ feet below existing grade (607.38 feet) where very soft black silty clay was observed. The result of the Atterberg showed that the soil at this depth is classified as an A-7-6 material. Two Hydrometer tests (AASHTO T88) were run on boring BSB-01. One hydrometer was done at 6 feet below existing grade (609.88, near scour depth) and one at approximately 23 $\frac{1}{2}$ below existing grade (592.38). See the attached lab results and boring logs for more details.



Modified 10/30/17

GENERAL DATA									
					TOTAL STRUCTUR	E LENGTH=====		99.92	FT
			• 0	DEGREES					
SUPER. DATA IN R	EFERENCE TO SU	B. DATA ====	ABUT 1	1					
	SUPERSTRUC	TURE DATA (END	OR MAIN SPAN)			SUPERSTRU	JCTURE DATA (AD	JACENT SPAN)	
BEAM TYPE ====			CONCRETE BEAM						
CONCRETE BEAM			IL36-3838						
			0.5	KSI					KCI
BEAM F'C =====			8.5	KSI					KSI
BEAM SPACING PE	RP. TO CL ====		6.50	FT	BEAM SPACING PE	RP. TO CL ====		•	FT
SLAB THICKNESS SLAB F'C =====				IN					
SLAB FC =====			4.00	KSI					
		ABUTMENT #1 DA	TA				ABUTMENT #2 DA		
			-	_				-	
ABUTMENT REFER BOTTOM OF ABUT			BSB-1 609.9	FT	ABUTMENT REFER BOTTOM OF ABUT			BSB-2 609.4	FT
ESTIMATED NUMB			14		ESTIMATED NUME			14	
			: 3	FT				:3	FT
501	L DATA FOR 10	FT RENEATH BOT	TOM OF ABUTMENT	<i>#</i> 1	50	TI DATA FOR 10	FT BENEATH BOT	TOM OF ABUTMENT	#2
BOT. OF	DATA TON 10	UNCONFINED	N	" - Qu	BOT. OF		UNCONFINED	N N	" Qu
LAYER	LAYER	COMPRESSIVE	S.P.T.	EQUIV. FOR	LAYER	LAYER	COMPRESSIVE	S.P.T.	EQUIV. FOR
ELEV.	THICKNESS	STRENGTH	VALUE	N VALUE	ELEV.	THICKNESS	STRENGTH	VALUE	N VALUE
(FT)	(FT)	(TSF)	(BLOWS/12 IN.)	(TSF)	(FT)	(FT)	(TSF)	(BLOWS/12 IN.)	(TSF)
607.40	2.50	0.3			606.90	2.50	2.3		
604.90	2.50	0.3			604.40	2.50		14	2.7
602.40	2.50	2.0	3	1.5	601.90	2.50	1.6		
599.90	2.50	2.0			599.40	2.50	3.6		
	10.00	FT = TOTAL DEPTH	I ENTERED			10.00	FT = TOTAL DEPTH	ENTERED	
WEIGHTED AVERA	GE Ou FOR ABUT	MENT #1======	1.03	TSF	WEIGHTED AVERA	AGE Ou FOR ABUT	MENT #2======	= 2.54	TSF
PILE STIFFNESS M	-				PILE STIFFNESS M	-			
		=============	.88				============	1.46	
			MENT #1 = [0.88*14'	-	-			FT	
DISTANCE TO C	ENTROID OF STIF	FNESS FROM ABUIT	MENT #2 = [1.46*14 ³	*0+0.88*14*99.92]	/[1.46*14+0.88*14]=		37.54	FT	
		ABUT 1 (N	.W. Quad) - E	XPANSION	LENGTH LIM	IT CHART -	0 DEG. SKE	w	
MS 16x0.375					1	1		_	
MS 16x0.373									
HP 14X117									
HP 14X102									
HP 12X84									
HP 14X89									
HP 12X74									
MS 14x0.312		•							
HP 14X73									
MS 14x0.25		i							
HP 12X63									
HP 10X57									

 Estimated expansion length for the indicated abutment. Piles with an expansion length greater than this are suitable for consideration. (Note: The same size pile should be used at both abutments.)

100

. . .

HP 12X53 MS 12x0.25 HP 10X42 HP 8X36

0

50

150

Expansion Length (ft)

200

300

250



Modified 10/30/17

STRUCTURE TYPE	======================================	B. DATA ====	SIMPLE-SPAN	DEGREES	TOTAL STRUCTUF	Re Length=====		99.92	FT
		TURE DATA (END	1			SUPERSTRU	JCTURE DATA (AD	JACENT SPAN)	
CONCRETE BEAM			IL36-3838						
BEAM F'C =====			8.5	KSI					KSI
BEAM SPACING PE	RP. TO CL ====:		6.50	FT	BEAM SPACING P	FRP. TO CL ====:			FT
				IN	52, 61, 16111611				
SLAB F'C =====			4.00	KSI					
		ABUTMENT #1 DA	TA				ABUTMENT #2 DA	ТА	
ABUTMENT NAME		===========			ABUTMENT NAME		===========		
BOTTOM OF ABUT ESTIMATED NUMB	MENT ELEVATION ER OF PILES AT A		BSB-1 609.9 14 3	FT FT	BOTTOM OF ABUT ESTIMATED NUME	RENCE BORING==: IMENT ELEVATION BER OF PILES AT A RP. TO CL =====		BSB-2 609.4 14 3	FT FT
BOT. OF	L DATA FOR 10	UNCONFINED	TOM OF ABUTMENT	#1 Qu	BOT. OF	IL DATA FOR 10	UNCONFINED	OM OF ABUTMENT	#2 Qu
LAYER	LAYER	COMPRESSIVE	S.P.T.	EQUIV. FOR	LAYER	LAYER	COMPRESSIVE	S.P.T.	EQUIV. FOR
ELEV.	THICKNESS	STRENGTH	VALUE	N VALUE	ELEV.	THICKNESS	STRENGTH	VALUE	N VALUE
(FT)	(FT)	(TSF)	(BLOWS/12 IN.)	(TSF)	(FT)	(FT)	(TSF)	(BLOWS/12 IN.)	(TSF)
607.40	2.50	0.3			606.90	2.50	2.3	14	2.7
604.90 602.40	2.50 2.50	0.3	3	1.5	604.40 601.90	2.50 2.50	1.6	14	2.7
599.90	2.50	2.0		1.5	599.40	2.50	3.6		
					-				
	10.00	FT = TOTAL DEPTH				10.00	FT = TOTAL DEPTH		
PILE STIFFNESS M = 1/(1.45-[0.3* DISTANCE TO C	ODIFIER FOR ABU 1.03])====== ENTROID OF STIF	FNESS FROM ABUTI		*0+0.88*14*99.92]	PILE STIFFNESS N = 1/(1.45-[0.3 /[0.88*14+1.46*14]: /[1.46*14+0.88*14]:	40DIFIER FOR ABL *2.54])======	62.38 37.54	- 1.46 FT FT	TSF
MS 16x0.375		•	1						
MS 16x0.312									
HP 14X117									
HP 14X102									
HP 12X84		•							
HP 14X89									
HP 12X74					-				
MS 14x0.312									
HP 14X73									
MS 14x0.25									
HP 12X63									
HP 12X65 HP 10X57									
HP 10X57 HP 12X53									

¹⁵⁰ Expansion Length (ft) - - -= Estimated expansion length for the indicated abutment. Piles with an expansion length greater than this are suitable for consideration. (Note: The same size pile should be used at both abutments.)

100

. .

MS 12x0.25 HP 10X42 HP 8X36

0

50

200

300

250

West Abutment Pile Design Table - Boring BSB-01

100% Extreme Event: 179 kips/pile 100% Strength: 267 kips/pile 120% Extreme Event: 215 kips/pile 120% Strength: 321 kips/pile

	Maximum Nominal Required Bearing (kips)	Factored Resistance Available (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (kips)	
Steel HP 12 x 63	497	273*	49	
Steel HP 12 x 84	664	365	50	
Steel HP 14 x 89	705	388	49	
Steel HP 14 x 102	810	445	50	
Steel HP 14 x 117	929	511	50	

*120% Strength case exceeds factored resistance available, however 100% Strength case does not

East Abutment Pile Design Table - Boring BSB-02								
100% Extreme Event:	% Extreme Event: 179 kips/pile 120% Extreme Ever							
100% Strength:	267 kips/pile	120% Strength:	321 kips/pile					
	Maximum Nominal Required	Factored Resistance	Estimated Pile					
	Bearing (kips)	Available (kips)	Length (kips)					
Steel HP 12 x 63	497	273*	48					
Steel HP 12 x 84	664	365	49					
Steel HP 14 x 89	705	388	49					
Steel HP 14 x 102	810	445	49					
Steel HP 14 x 117	929	511	50					

*120% Strength case exceeds factored resistance available, however 100% Strength case does not

Note: The Longitudinal and Transverse Loads shown below do not act	
concurrently.	

Job No.:	21IL082-08
SN:	046-0162
Designed:	KWB
Date:	9/12/2023
Checked:	CFS
Date:	9/12/2023
Page:	1 of 1

INITIAL ESTIMATED PILE LOADS: (AASHTO LRFD)

Total Load per Abutmen	<u>t</u> :		Impact =	1.33		
	SERVICE LOA	DS:	STRENGTH-I LO	ADS:	EXT. EVENT-I L	OADS:
Abutment DL =	128.3	k	160.3	k	160.3	l k
Approach Slab =	125.0	k	156.2	k	156.2	2 k
DC =	504.7	k	630.8	k	630.8	3 k
DW =	86.4	k	129.6	k	129.6	i k
LL Lane =	78.3	k	137.1	k	0.0) k
LL Vehicle =	165.8	k	385.8	k	0.0) k
Long. Lat. Load =		k		k		k
Trans. Lat. Load =		k		k		k
Total Axial Load =	1088.4	k	1599.8	k	1077.0	k
Est. # Piles/Abut. =	6	piles				
Axial Load/Pile =	181	k/pile	267	k/pile	179) k/pile
Total Load per Pier:			Impact =	1.00		
	SERVICE LOA	DS:	STRENGTH-I LO		EXT. EVENT-I L	OADS:
Pier DL =	02111102 2071	k	0.0		0.0	
DC =		k	0.0		0.0	
DW =		k	0.0		0.0	
LL Lane =		k	0.0		0.0	
LL Vehicle =		k	0.0		0.0	
Long. Lat. Load =		k		k		k
Trans. Lat. Load =		k		k		k
Total Axial Load =	0.0	k	0.0	k	0.0) k
Est. # Piles/Abut. =	0	piles				
Axial Load/Pile =	#DIV/0!	k/pile	#DIV/0!	k/pile	#DIV/0!	k/pile
Total Load per Pier:			Impact =	1.00		
	SERVICE LOA	DS:	STRENGTH-I LO		EXT. EVENT-I L	OADS:
Pier DL =		k	0.0		0.0	
DC =		k	0.0	k	0.0) k
DW =		k	0.0	k	0.0) k
LL Lane =		k	0.0	k	0.0) k
LL Vehicle =		k	0.0	k	0.0) k
Long. Lat. Load =		k		k		k
Trans. Lat. Load =		k		k		k
Total Axial Load =	0.0	k	0.0	k	0.0	k
Est. # Piles/Abut. =	0	piles				
Axial Load/Pile =	#DIV/0!	k/pile	#DIV/0!	k/pile	#DIV/0!	k/pile

In the SGR provide at each substructure location the Pile Type & Lengths for the following loads:

+/- 100% of Strength-I & Extreme Event-I Loads shown above

+/- 120% of Strength-I & Extreme Event-I Loads shown above





