IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

To:	Ann L. Schneider, Secretary		
From.	William R. Frey, Interim Director		
Date [.]	November 2, 2012		
Re.	US 45 - Resurfacing, Contract Number 74511, Coles County		
	{January 18, 2013}		
that a	ordance with the Project Labor Agreement Act (30 ILCS 571), it is recommended project labor agreement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This mendation is based on the considerations indicated below		
☐ 1) The Project is being awarded and administered by IDOT (i.e., not by another governmental agency).			
2) The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal funds)			
	5) There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project thereby reasing the adverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.		
disput the Pr	7) In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional disputes among unions or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on the Project that could have a potentially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or quality of work performed on the Project		

1

US 45 - Kesurfacing Contract Number 74511 Coles County Item

	Kem				
∑_8) This project presents specific safety concerns to the traveling public and a PLA, will ensure labor force continuity and stability, decreasing the length of the safety concern.					
_∑_11) Use of a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the ability of the Department to achieve other Departmental goals (e.g., utilization of disadvantaged businesses, utilization of Illinois domiciled businesses, development of competitive vendor alternatives over time, etc.)					
12) There are other material considerations favoring or disfavoring use of a PLA on this Project as follows:					
Based upon the identified considerations, we recommend that you approve use of a PLA on this Project. Upon your approval, the Department shall undertake to negotiate in good faith a PLA with the relevant labor organization(s), and shall include in all necessary bid specifications and other documents information regarding the actual or form of PLA that is to binding upon all contractors and their employees					
Agreed.	(Division Chief) (Date)				
Agreed:	(Bureau of Design & Environment) (Date)				
Agreed:	Regional Engineer) (Date)				
Approved:	Ann L. Schneider, Secretary (Date)				
SARL KUNGAN, Gx ASSISTANT FHWA concurrence in the PLA for the above mentioned contract					
	Gregory G. Nadeau 12/04/2012 .				
	FHWA Deputy Administrator (see attached approval page)				
	2				

Attachment A:

Justification for the use of Project Labor Agreement on Contract # 74511 Coles County

The use of a Project Labor Agreement on this project is consistent with all State and Local statutory and regulatory requirements.

Item 2: This project is federally funded with a state match.

Item 3: The Estimated Cost of this Project is \$4,200,000.

The work on this project is located on US Route 45 from 600 ft north of Dewitt Avenue in Mattoon to the Douglas Co. Line. The average daily traffic at this location is 3,830 with 12% (475) trucks. In order to complete the mainline US 45 resurfacing project without any adverse traffic delays for the traveling public or delays in commerce, IDOT feels a Project Labor Agreement is necessary for this project.

The work on this project consists of 11.9 miles of %" milling, 1-1/2" HMA surface course, %" HMA binder course, pavement patching, pavement marking and any other work necessary to complete this project. With the large number of different construction trades that will be involved in the resurfacing of this section of roadway, IDOT feels the use of a Project Labor Agreement is necessary to avoid any labor related delays.

The resurfacing work involves various construction sequences creating numerous traffic control challenges. The contractor will need a reliable work force to complete the work in a timely manner which will maintain safe travel for the traveling public during all stages of traffic control. It is IDOT's findings that the large skilled workforce needed to meet all the challenges with this project can be provided by the union trades involved and is a necessary requirement for a Project Labor Agreement.

With no construction in the project limits the speed limit is 55 MPH. Once the projects limits are under construction the speed limit will be 45 MPH. Based on user cost of \$10 00/hour for passenger vehicles and \$20 00/hour for trucks, a user cost of \$773/day will incur due to any work stoppages. Based on the adverse travel due to construction zones, IDOT feels the use of a Project Labor Agreement is necessary to avoid any Labor related delays.

Item 4: There are 70 working days to complete the project. With this number of working days, if there were any labor issues the project could continue into a second construction season. A Project Labor Agreement would ensure the stability of the work force allowing the work to be completed in the time allotted.

<u>Item 6:</u> This project could extend beyond the expiration date of the collective bargaining agreements with the following locals

Operators Local 841 – contract expires 12-31-2012 Laborers Local 159 – contract expires 4-30-2013 Iron Workers Local 46 – contract expires 4-30-2013 Concrete Finisher Local 143 – contract expires 3-31-2013

Work Zone User cost ca	lculations	
		11/2/2012
Project Number: 74511 US45 Resurfacing		
CRS: 4.5		
Detour cost calculation p	rocedure	
	Passenger Car	B/C Truck
Cost per hour:	\$10.00	\$20.00
Length of work zone in miles:	4	4
Length of Detour in miles:	4	4
Free flow speed (normal 85% speed) in mph:	55	55
Detour zone speed (85%) in mph:	45	45
Average AADT of full section:	3830	475
Duration of Closure in days	70	70
Calculated values:		
Travel time in free flow (secs).	261 8181818	261 8181818
Travel Time in detour (secs):	320	320
Delay (secs):	58	58
Delay (hours)	0.016161616	0.016161616
Cost per Vehicle	\$0 16	\$0 32
Cost per day per closure	\$618 99	\$153 54
Total Cost for closure duration	\$43,329 29	\$10,747 47
Total Cost for all vehicles:		
Average cost per day	\$772.53	

The Average cost per day is the MAXIMUM that may be used as incentive / disincentive

Spreadsheet protection password CONSTRUCTION

See Contact Reynaldo Stargell in the Office of Traffic Engineering for the latest adjustment factor based on the CPI ftp://ftp bls.gov/pub/special requests/cpi/cpiai txt

PLA Request

	Approval of Project Labor Agreement
	Disapproval of Project Labor Agreement
Reason	for disapproval:

Signature

Date

Execution Page

Illinois Department of Transportation	
James L	
Omer Osman, Director of Highways	
Matthew R Hughes, Director Finance & Administration	non
a d	
(M) a M)	
Michael A /Forti, Chief Counsel	
,	
an d. Sehreide	6141.3
Ann I Schnoider Speretani	(Date)
SARAH KUMAM, Fx, Assistant	
Illinois AFL-CIO Statewide Project Labor Agreer	nent Committee, representing the local
unions listed below:	
Michael Marigan	May 20, 2013
	(Date)
List Union Locals:	

\mathcal{M}	1
(_ Glean Sharing	Terry Lynch.
Jim Allen Bricklayers	Terry Lynch Heat & Frost Insulators & Allied Workers
Curtis Cade United Association	Richard Mathls Roofers
* Ed Christensen, Elevator Constructors	Paul Noble IBEW
Terry Fitzmaurice Painters	Robert Paddock IUOE
Pat Gleason Teamsters	Gary Perinar Jr.
Terrence Healy LIUNA	Brian Mulheran
Tadas Kecelinski	Sheet Metal Workers
Tadas Ricielinski Iron Workers	John Shemont John Skermont Bollermakers

OPCMIA

^{*}only if Elevator Constructors master agreement language is attached to PLA