## IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

To:	Gary Hannig, Secretary		
From:	Christine M. Reed, Director		
Date:	March 22, 2010		
Re:	FAP 301 (US 20), Contract Number 64G06, JoDaviess County		
	{April 23, 2010}		
projec recom	ordance with Executive Order 2003-13 (Blagojevich), it is recommended that a stabor agreement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This mendation is based on the considerations indicated below.		
gover	1) The Project is being awarded and administered by IDOT (i.e., not by another nmental agency).		
_\ funds	2) The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal).		
_X_ make that tl	3) The overall size, scope, sequencing, logistics or other aspects of the Project it particularly challenging to manage, and use of a PLA is expected to help assure the construction work is performed properly and efficiently under the circumstances.		
constin a litime.			
incre	5) There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project thereby asing the adverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.		
likely or of	6) The time required to complete the Project is expected to extend beyond the ration date of one or more existing collective bargaining agreements covering trades y to be involved in the Project, thereby increasing the likelihood of work stoppage(s) there labor disruption(s) during construction of the Project.		
disp	_ 7) In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional utes among unions or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on Project that could have a potentially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or ity of work performed on the Project.		
+			

FAP 301 (US 20) Contract Number 64G06 JoDaviess County Item 330

•	•
will ensure labo	oject presents specific safety concerns to the traveling public and a PLA, or force continuity and stability, decreasing the length of the safety
efficiency, or in	a PLA is expected to result in improved access to skilled labor, improved approved safety performance on the Project.
the competitive	f a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on bidding process.
the ability of the disadvantaged competitive ver	f a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Department to achieve other Departmental goals (e.g., utilization of businesses, utilization of Illinois domiciled businesses, development of alternatives over time, etc.).
12) There this Project as:	are other material considerations favoring or disfavoring use of a PLA on follows:
on this Project faith a PLA w	e identified considerations, we recommend that you approve use of a PLA.  Upon your approval, the Department shall undertake to negotiate in good ith the relevant labor organization(s), and shall include in all necessary bid and other documents information regarding the actual or form of PLA that pon all contractors and their employees.
Agreed:	{Division Chief}  (Date)
Agreed:	Switch 3 (70) 10  {Bureau of Design & Environment} (Date)
Agreed:	Regional Engineer (Date)
Approved:	Gary Hannig, Socretary (Date)