IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

10:	Ann L. Schneider, Secretary	
From:	Omer Osman, Director	
Date:	April 23, 2013	
Re:	FAI 270 (I-270), Contract Number 76F86, Madison County	
	{May 24, 2013 Letting}	
n accordance with the Project Labor Agreement Act (30 ILCS 571), it is recommended hat a project labor agreement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This ecommendation is based on the considerations indicated below.		
∑_ 1) The Project is being awarded and administered by IDOT (i.e., not by another overnmental agency).		
⊠_ 2 unds)	2) The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal	
nake i	b) The overall size, scope, sequencing, logistics or other aspects of the Project t particularly challenging to manage, and use of a PLA is expected to help assure a construction work is performed properly and efficiently under the circumstances.	
4) The duration of construction activity on the Project is expected to exceed one onstruction season (i e., 110 or more working days), or the nature of the Project results in a heightened need for labor force continuity and stability over a substantial period of time.		
	5) There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project thereby sing the adverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.	
6) The time required to complete the Project is expected to extend beyond the expiration date of one or more existing collective bargaining agreements covering trades ikely to be involved in the Project, thereby increasing the likelihood of work stoppage(sor other labor disruption(s) during construction of the Project		
lispute he Pro	7) In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional es among unions or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on bject that could have a potentially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or of work performed on the Project.	

FAI 270 (1-270) Contract Number 76F86 Madison County Item 50

_\(\sum_8\) This project presents specific safety concerns to the traveling public and a PLA, will ensure labor force continuity and stability, decreasing the length of the safety concern.				
_\(\sum_10\) Use of a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the competitive bidding process.				
12) There are other material considerations favoring or disfavoring use of a PLA on this Project as follows:				
Based upon the identified considerations, we recommend that you approve use of a PLA on this Project. Upon your approval, the Department shall undertake to negotiate in good faith a PLA with the relevant labor organization(s), and shall include in all necessary bid specifications and other documents information regarding the actual or form of PLA that is to binding upon all contractors and their employees.				
Agreed	Division Chief	5/15/13 (Date)		
Agreed.	John S. Rarangelinger {Bureau of Design & Environment}	5-7-13 (Date)		
Agreed [.]	(Regional Engineer)	4/23/13 (Date)		
Approved	Ann L. Schneider, Secretary	5/14/13 (Date)		

Execution Page

lion
5/16/13 (Date)
/ / (Date)
ment Committee, representing the local
5-7-13
(Date)

List Union Locals:

Terry Lynch Jim Allen Heat & Frost Insulators & Allied **Bricklayers** Workers Curtis Cade Richard Mathis United Association Roofers Ed Christensen, Elevator Constructors **IBEW** Terry Fitzmaurice Robert Paddock Palnters **IUOE** Pat Gleason Gary Perinar Jr. **Teamsters** [']Carpenters Terrence Healy Brlan Mulheran LIUNA **Sheet Metal Workers** Tadas Kiçlelinski Iron Workers John Skermönt Bollermakers

*only if Elevator Constructors master agreement language is attached to PLA

Patrick J. LaCassa

OPCMIA