STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

Dickson Mound Road over Dickson Creek F.A.S. Route 457 (CH 31)

> S.N. 029-0049 (E) S.N. 029-0076 (P)

F.A.S. ROUTE 457 (CH 31) SECTION (11A) BR-1 FULTON COUNTY, ILLINOIS JOB NO. D-94-102-00 PTB 156/31 WO#4 KEG NO. 10-1063.04

Authored By: Matthew D. Masterson, P.E. &

Charles R. Graham Kaskaskia Engineering Group, LLC 208 East Main Street, Suite 100 Belleville, IL 62220 (618) 233-5877

mmasterson@kaskaskiaeng.com

Prepared for: Allen Henderson and Associates, Inc. 907 South 4th Street Springfield, IL 62703

September 28, 2016

Revised December 19, 2016

Kaskaskia Engineering Group, LLC



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Dickson Mound Road over Dickson Creek F.A.S. 457 (CH 31) Fulton County, Illinois Job No. D-94-102-00 PTB 156/31 WO #4 Existing Structure No. 029-0049 Proposed Structure No. 029-0076

The project includes the replacement of an existing single-span bridge (SN 029-0049) with a single-span bridge in Fulton County, Illinois. Integral abutments are proposed for use at the abutments. The proposed structure will be constructed under road closure and traffic will be detoured.

The results of the stability analysis indicates that an acceptable FOS will exist at the north and south abutments during the end-of-construction, long term, and seismic conditions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Project Description and Proposed Structure Information1
1.1	Introduction1
1.2	Project Description1
1.3	Existing Structure1
1.4	Proposed Bridge Information1
2.0	Site Investigation, Subsurface Exploration, and Generalized Subsurface Conditions 1
2.1	Subsurface Conditions
2.2	Groundwater 2
3.0	Geotechnical Evaluations
3.1	Settlement
3.2	Slope Stability
3.3	Seismic Considerations
3.4	Scour
3.5	Mining Activity4
3.6	Liquefaction5
4.0	Foundation Evaluations and Design Recommendations5
4.1	General Feasibility
4.2	Pile Supported Foundations5
4.3	Lateral Pile Response
5.0	Construction Considerations
5.1	Construction Activities
5.2	Temporary Sheeting and Soil Retention8
5.3	Site and Soil Conditions
5.4	Foundation Construction
6.0	Computations
7.0	Geotechnical Data
8.0	Limitations

TABLES

Page

Table 3.2 – Slope Stability Critical FOS	3
Table 3.3 – Summary of Seismic Parameters	4
Table 3.4 – Design Scour Elevations	
Table 4.2.1 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 10X42 H-pile	6
Table 4.2.2 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 12X53 H-pile	6
Table 4.2.3 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 12X74 H-pile	6
Table 4.2.4 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 14X73 H-pile	7
Table 4.2.5 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 14X89 H-pile	7
Table 4.2.6 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 14X117 H-pile	7
Table 4.3 – Soil Parameters for Lateral Pile Load Analysis	8

EXHIBITS

- Exhibit A USGS Topographic Location Map Exhibit B Type, Size, and Location Plan (TS&L) Exhibit C Boring Logs
- Exhibit D Subsurface Profile
- Exhibit E SLOPE-W Slope Stability Analysis Exhibit F Pile Length/Pile Type Exhibit G Mine Map

1.0 **Project Description and Proposed Structure Information**

1.1 Introduction

The geotechnical study summarized in this report was performed for the proposed replacement of a single-span bridge carrying Dickson Mound Road (CH 31) over Dickson Creek in Fulton County, Illinois. The purpose of this report is to present design and construction recommendations for the proposed structure.

1.2 **Project Description**

The project includes the replacement of an existing single-span bridge (SN 029-0049) located in Fulton County, Illinois. The general location of the structure is shown on a USGS Topographic Location Map, Exhibit A.

The site lies within the limits of the Third Principal Meridian, (T. 4N R. 3E and R. 4E Sections 12 and 7). The project location lies in the Galesburg Plain of the Till Plains section of the Central Lowland Province.

1.3 Existing Structure

The existing structure (SN 029-0049) was constructed in 1939 as a single-span bridge consisting of a concrete deck on steel stringers, and supported on closed timber abutments. Back to back abutment length measures +/-25 ft. with an out to out width of +/-25 ft. The Bridge Condition Report (BCR) submitted June of 2000 recommends complete removal and replacement of the existing structure based on age and poor condition of the existing structure.

1.4 Proposed Bridge Information

The proposed structure (SN 029-0076) located at F.A.S. Route 457 Dickson Mound Road (CH 31) over Dickson Creek, will consist of a single-span, PPC deck-beam, bridge with a hot-mix asphalt driving surface. The bridge will have steel rails Type SM. The back to back abutment length will be 50 ft., 6 inches, therefore pushing the proposed abutments behind the existing abutments. The out to out width would be 28 ft. – 0 in. The bridge will have no skew to Dickson Creek. The roadway width would be 28 ft., with one 14 ft. lane of traffic in each direction. Further substructure details will be based on the findings of this SGR.

2.0 Site Investigation, Subsurface Exploration, and Generalized Subsurface Conditions

The site exploration plan was developed by KEG. A representative of KEG conducted a site visit, laid out the borings, observed the drilling operations, and logged the subsurface conditions.

Two standard penetration test (SPT) borings, designated B-1 and B-2 were drilled near the east and west abutments, respectively, on May 9th and 10th, 2011. Boring B-1 was located at Station 38+18 and offset 25 ft. right of the centerline, and B-2 was located at Station 38+52 and was offset 24 ft. left of the proposed centerline. The boring locations are included on the TS&L, Exhibit B, provided by Allen Henderson & Associates, Inc. Detailed information regarding the nature and thickness of the soils encountered and the results of the field sampling and laboratory testing are shown on the Boring Logs, Exhibit C. A soil profile can be found under Subsurface Profile, Exhibit D.

2.1 Subsurface Conditions

The profiles at both borings exhibited layers of silts, clays, silty sands, with interbedded layers of sand. Both boring locations had a termination depth of approximately 55 ft., in shale, and contained a 12" layer of topsoil. In general, the lithologic succession beneath the ground surface is as follows:

- a) Silt The borings encountered 10.5 to 11.0 ft. of brown silt. The driving resistances (N-values) ranged from weight of hammer (WOH) to 4 blows per foot (bpf), and unconfined compressive strength (Q_u) values of 0.2 to 0.3 tons per square foot (tsf). The moisture content varies from 26 to 37 percent.
- b) Silty clay/
 - clay From approximate El. 435.63 to El. 428.45, the borings advanced through 2.5 to 7.5 ft. of gray silty clay and clay. The N-values recorded were between WOH and 6 bpf, with Q_u values of 0.3 to 0.5 tsf. The moisture content varies from 33 to 40 percent. Shelby tube samples were obtained from B-1 and B-2. A consolidation test was performed on the sample from B-1, and a triaxial unconsolidated undrained compressive strength test was performed on the sample from B-2.
- c) Sand Approximately 2.5 to 5 ft. of fine to coarse grained sand with trace clay and gravel was encountered below the silty clay / clay. The N-values ranged from 1 to 6 bpf. The samples that contained cohesive material had Q_u values of 0.1 to 0.4 tsf. The moisture content varies from 19 to 35 percent.
- d) Silt Below the sands lie a layer of gray silt, approximately, 25.0 to 27.5 ft. thick. The N-values range from 1 to 18 bpf, with Q_u values of 0.1 to 0.2 tsf. The moisture content varies from 19 to 39 percent.
- e) Clayey
 - shale Borings were terminated after advancing 6.0 to 8.5 ft into clayey shale. The N-values were 100+ bpf, with Qu values of 4.2 to 7.2 tsf. The moisture content varies from 13 to 24 percent .

One anomaly occurred in Boring B-2, a layer of silty sand was encountered from El. 412.95 to EL. 409.45. This layer had an N-value of 3 bpf, with Q_u of 0.2 tsf. The moisture content was 33 percent. The layer included trace organics. Both borings terminated in clayey shale.

2.2 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered in Boring B-1 at El. 433.13 during drilling and at El. 437.95 during drilling in Boring B-2. Surface water elevation of Dickson Creek was measured at the time of drilling at El. 444.7. Groundwater elevations at extended periods of time were not measured. It should be noted that the groundwater level is subject to seasonal and climatic variations. In addition, without extended periods of observation, measurement of true groundwater levels may not be possible.

3.0 Geotechnical Evaluations

3.1 Settlement

Since grading and changes to the existing approach embankments are anticipated to raise the proposed grade by less than one foot, it is estimated that settlement magnitudes of less than 0.4

inches will be experienced. Therefore, no settlement calculations were performed for the proposed structure and downdrag was not included in the pile capacity calculations.

3.2 Slope Stability

The construction of the proposed structure will result in new end-slopes at the abutment locations and cutting of side slopes.

The proposed end-slope at the west and east abutments are composed of a 1 Vertical to 2 Horizontal (1V:2H) slope from the top of the slope to the streambed. Slope stability of the end-slopes was analyzed using SLOPE-W; the soil properties at the site, including those in Borings B-1 and B-2; and the end-slope geometrics. Three conditions were modeled: end-of-construction, long-term, and a design seismic event. A critical factor of safety (FOS) was calculated for each condition. According to current standards of practice, the target FOS is 1.5 for end-of-construction and long-term slope stability and 1.0 for the design seismic event.

In order to model the end-of-construction condition, un-drained soil parameters were used with a friction angle of 0 degrees assumed for cohesive soils. Drained soil parameters with an assumed friction angle of 12 to 32 degrees were used to model the long-term and seismic conditions and to analyze the condition where excess pore water pressure from construction has dissipated. For non-engineered cohesive materials, a nominal cohesion value between 0 and 100 psf was included in the drained strength parameters.

The Modified Bishop Method, which generates circular-arc failure surfaces, was used to calculate the critical failure surfaces and FOS for the analyzed conditions. The FOS obtained in the analysis are shown in Table 3.2. SLOPE-W program output from this analysis can be found in SLOPE-W Slope Stability Analysis, Exhibit E.

Location	Slope	End-of- Construction	Long- Term	Seismic
West Abutment	1V:2H	2.6	1.8	1.6
East Abutment	1V:2H	2.6	1.8	1.6

 Table 3.2 – Slope Stability Critical FOS

The results of the analysis, as provided in Table 3.2, indicates that an acceptable FOS will exist at the north and south abutments during the end-of-construction, long term, and seismic conditions.

3.3 Seismic Considerations

The determination of Seismic Site Class was based on the method described by IDOT AGMU Memo 09.1 - Seismic Site Class Definition and the IDOT-provided spreadsheet titled: *Seismic Site Class Determination*. Using these resources, the controlling global site class for this project is Soil Site Class E.

Additional seismic parameters were calculated for use in design of the structure and evaluation of liquefaction potential. The USGS published information and mapping

(<u>http://earthquake.usgs.gov/</u>), including software directly applicable to the *AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design*, was used to develop the parameters for the project site location. The values, based on a 1000-Year Return Period with a Probability of Exceedance (PE) of 7 percent in 75 years and Soil Site Class E, are summarized below.

Parameter	Value	
Soil Site Class	E	
Spectral Response Acceleration, 0.2	0.302 g (Site Class E)	
Sec, S _{DS}		
Spectral Response Acceleration, 1.0	0.176 g (Site Class E)	
Sec, S _{D1}		
Seismic Performance Zone	2	

.

Table 3.3 – Summary of Seismic Parameters

As indicated in the table above, the Seismic Performance Zone is 2, based on S_{D1} and Table 3.15.2-1 in the IDOT Bridge Manual, the Soil Site Class E, and Figure 2.3.10-4 in the IDOT Bridge Manual.

3.4 Scour

The approximate elevation at the bottom of the proposed abutments (TS&L, Exhibit B) is El. 446.79. The design scour elevations, based off of the hydraulic analysis provided by Allen Henderson & Associates, for the proposed abutments are listed in Table 3.4 below.

Event/Limit	Design Scour E		
State	West Abutment	East Abutment	ltem 113
Q ₁₀	447.87	447.87	
Q ₂₀₀	447.87	447.87	8
Design	447.87	447.87	
Check	447.87	447.87	

Table 3.4 – Design Scour Elevations

3.5 Mining Activity

The Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) website indicates that some mining has occurred in Fulton County. According to the Fulton County, Illinois Coal Mines and Underground Industrial Mines Map, dated August 6, 2016, obtained from the Illinois Geological Survey website (<u>http://www.isgs.illinois.edu/maps-data-pub/coal-maps.shtml</u>), the project site was not undermined.

The listed disclaimer indicates the locations of some features on the mine map may be offset by 500 ft. or more due to errors in the original source maps, the compilation process, digitizing, or a combination of these factors.

No visual indications were noted at the site of apparent depressions, which could be due to mine subsidence or shafts beneath the site.

3.6 Liquefaction

As per the IDOT AGMU 10.1 Liquefaction Analysis, a liquefaction analysis is not required to be performed for structures located in Seismic Performance Zone 2 and a Peak Seismic Ground Acceleration (A_s) less than 0.15. $A_s = 0.126$ for this structure location. Therefore, liquefaction was not considered as a reduction for the pile design capacity or other foundation considerations included herein.

4.0 Foundation Evaluations and Design Recommendations

4.1 General Feasibility

According to the IDOT All Bridge Designers (ABD) Memo 12.3 dated July 25, 2012 by IDOT, 12 in. Metal Shell (MS) and HP 8X36 or larger H-piles are feasible pile types for foundation support of the proposed Integral abutments. The average shear strength ($Q_{u avg}$) within the critical depth zone is approximately 0.3 tsf in both abutment locations.

The Modified IDOT Static Method of Estimating Pile Length, provided by IDOT BBS Foundations and Geotechnical Unit, was used to calculate the design length of the piles. According to ABD 12.3, MS piles are a feasible option for foundation support; however, the relatively weak cohesive soils will not allow for adequate pile capacities to support the proposed foundations. Drilled shafts were not considered due to cost and the depth to bedrock.

4.2 Pile Supported Foundations

The foundations supporting the proposed bridge must provide sufficient support to resist dead and live loads, including seismic loadings. Based on the encountered subsurface conditions, the Modified IDOT Static Method of Estimating Pile Length provided by IDOT BBS Foundations and Geotechnical Unit, and the information available to date, H-piles are acceptable for use at the abutment locations. The Modified IDOT Static Method uses the LRFD Pile Design Guide Procedure to estimate the pile lengths (Pile Length/Pile Type, Exhibit F).

The abutment loads were provided by Allen Henderson and Associates. The abutments will each experience a Total Factored Load of 715.5 kips. The estimated pile lengths for the recommended pile types are shown in Tables 4.2.1 through 4.2.6, below.

The Maximum Nominal Required Bearing (R_N) represents the resistance the pile will experience during driving, and will assist the contractor in selecting a proper hammer size. The Factored Resistance Available (R_F) documents the net long-term axial factored pile capacity available at the top of the pile to support factored substructure loadings estimated pile lengths and capacities of other feasible pile types that may be considered for the proposed structure are included in Pile Length/Pile Type, Exhibit F. Since bedrock will be encountered at depth, and all H-piles will likely be driven into bedrock, it is recommended to drive the H-piles to their Maximum Nominal Required Bearing.

	Estimated Pile Tip Elevation (ft.)	R _n Maximum Nominal Required Bearing (kips)	R _F Factored Resistance Available (LRFD) (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft.)	Assumed Pile Cut- off Elevation (ft.)	
West Abutment (B-1)	395.8	335	184	53	448.8	3
East Abutment (B-2)	392.8	335	184	56	448.8	

Table 4.2.2 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 12X53 H-pile

	Estimated Pile Tip Elevation (ft.)	R _n Maximum Nominal Required Bearing (kips)	R _F Factored Resistance Available (LRFD) (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft.)	Assumed Pile Cut- off Elevation (ft.)
West Abutment (B-1)	395.8	418	230	53	448.8
East Abutment (B-2)	392.8	418	230	56	448.8

Table 4.2.3 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 12X74 H-pile

	5	Estimated Pile Tip Elevation (ft.)	R _n Maximum Nominal Required Bearing (kips)	R _F Factored Resistance Available (LRFD) (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft.)	Assumed Pile Cut- off Elevation (ft.)
	West Abutment (SB-2)	392.8	589	324	56	448.8
	East Abutment (SB-1)	389.8	589	324	59	448.8

	Estimated Pile Tip Elevation (ft.)	R _n Maximum Nominal Required Bearing (kips)	R _F Factored Resistance Available (LRFD) (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft.)	Assumed Pile Cut- off Elevation (ft.)	
West Abutment (B-1)	394.8	578	318	54	448.8	
East Abutment (B-2)	391.8	578	318	57	448.8	

Table 4.2.4 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 14X73 H-pile

Table 4.2.5 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 14X89 H-pile

	Estimated Pile Tip Elevation (ft.)	R _n Maximum Nominal Required Bearing (kips)	R _F Factored Resistance Available (LRFD) (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft.)	Assumed Pile Cut- off Elevation (ft.)
West Abutment (B-1)	392.8	705	388	56	448.8
East Abutment (B-2)	389.8	705	388	59	448.8

Table 4.2.6 – Estimated Pile Lengths for HP 14X117 H-pile

				•	•	
		Estimated Pile Tip Elevation (ft.)	R _n Maximum Nominal Required Bearing (kips)	R _F Factored Resistance Available (LRFD) (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft.)	Assumed Pile Cut- off Elevation (ft.)
X	West Abutment (B-1)	389.8	929	511	59	448.8
	East Abutment (B-2)	386.8	929	511	62	448.8

Due to the varying estimated pile lengths between the west and east abutment locations, KEG recommends a test pile be performed at the east abutment location. A test pile is performed

prior to production driving so that actual, on-site field data can be gathered to further evaluate pile driving requirements for the project. This also is the manner in which contractor's proposed equipment and methodologies identified in their Pile Installation Plan can be assessed.

4.3 Lateral Pile Response

Generally, the geotechnical engineer provides soil parameters to the structural engineer so that an L-Pile program or other approved software can be used for the lateral or displacement analysis of the foundations. Table 4.3 is included for the structural engineer's use in evaluating lateral pile response. The values were estimated based on the descriptions as listed on the boring logs. No specific hydrometer analyses were performed on the site soils for estimation of parameters.

Boring Bottom of Y K N % fil		Assumed								
Boring		۲ (pcf)	-		-			N	% fines < #200	<mark>٤</mark> 50
	435.6	115	250	0	50	28	30	2	65	0.020
West	433.1	120	600	0	50 🔶	26	100	n/a	70	0.010
Abutment	428.1	110	0	32	0	32	20	2	3	n/a
(B-1)	400.6	115	290	0	50	28	30	5	65	0.020
、	392.3	125	6750	12	6750	12	2000	75	n/a	0.004
	438.5	115	0	0	0	28	30	WOH	65	0.020
	436.0	120	600	0	50	26	100	n/a	70	0.010
East	428.5	125	400	0	50	26	30	3	80	0.020
Abutment	426.5	110	0	32	0	32	20	6	3	n/a
	413.0	115	100	0	50	28	30	2	65	0.020
(B-2)	409.5	115	200	0	50	28	30	3	50	0.020
	398.0	115	150	0	50	28	30	3	65	0.020
	391.5	125	5050	12	5050	12	2000	70	n/a	0.004

Table 4.3 – Soil Parameters for Lateral Pile Load Analysis

5.0 Construction Considerations

5.1 Construction Activities

Construction activities should be performed in accordance with the current IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction and any pertinent Special Provisions or Policies.

5.2 Temporary Sheeting and Soil Retention

Temporary shoring may not be required during construction as the structure is to be removed and replaced under road closure and traffic will be detoured. If that changes, KEG needs to be notified. An Illinois-licensed structural engineer is required to seal the design of the temporary soil retention system, if deemed necessary.

5.3 Site and Soil Conditions

Should any bridge or embankment design considerations assumed by either IDOT or KEG change, KEG should be contacted to determine if the recommendations stated in this report still apply.

5.4 Foundation Construction

Conventional pile-driving equipment and methodologies should be assumed.

Prior to construction, a JULIE locate shall be conducted to determine if any underground utilities are present in the area of the proposed structure. IDOT shall also be contacted to locate any private utilities. If utilities become a problem during construction, the appropriate owner shall be contacted immediately.

6.0 Computations

Computations and analyses for special circumstances, if any, are included as exhibits. Please refer to each section of the report for reference to the exhibit containing any such calculations or analysis used.

7.0 Geotechnical Data

Soil boring logs can be found in Exhibit C. The Subsurface Profile can be found in Exhibit D.

8.0 Limitations

The recommendations provided herein are for the exclusive use of Allen Henderson and Associates and IDOT. They are specific only to the project described and are based on the subsurface information obtained by KEG at two boring locations in 2011, KEG's understanding of the project as described herein, and geotechnical engineering practice consistent with the standard of care. No other warranty is expressed or implied. KEG should be contacted if conditions encountered during construction are not consistent with those described.

EXHIBIT A

USGS TOPOGRAPHIC LOCATION MAP



Exhibit A Location Map County HWY 31 over Dickson Creek Fulton County, Illinois

Designed By: CRG Drawn By: MMJ Checked By: CRG Date: 08/29/16 Project #: 10-1063.04



EXHIBIT B

TYPE, SIZE, AND LOCATION PLAN (TS&L)

(PRELIMINARY)



EXHIBIT C BORING LOGS



Date 5/9/11

Page 1 of 2

ROUTE	CH 31	DE	SCRI	PTION			CH 31 over Dickson C	Creek	LOGGI	ED BY	KEG	i (AC)
SECTION	(11A) BR-1		_ เ	OCAT	ION _	1 mile	west of IL 97 & Dicksor	n Mounds Road				
COUNTY	Fulton Di	RILLING	S MET	THOD		CME 55	5 w/HSA/Mud Rotary	HAMMER TYP	E	Auto	matic	
Station	029-0049 38+35		D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.			B L O	U C S	M O I
BORING NO Station Offset Ground Surfac	B-1 38+18 25.0 ft Rt ce Elev. 446.63	ff	T H (ft)	W S (/6'')	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion After Hrs.	ft			Qu (tsf)	S T (%)
TOPSOIL							SILT: Gray, with grav			. ,	. ,	
SILT: Brown		445.6		WOH WOH WOH		36				1 1 1	0.1 B	28
Some organ	ics		 	WOH WOH WOH		34	XO			1 1 2	0.2 B	19
Becomes bro	own to gray			WOH WOH 4		26	0			1 1 2	0.2 B	32
Becomes gra	ay	Ç		2 1 2	0.2 B	35	With fine sand			1 2 2	0.2 B	30
trace sand lense	n test performed on					33						
SAND: Gray, fil some clay, trace	ne to medium, e gravel	433.1	▼	2 1 1		35			 	1 2 1	0.2 B	36
				WOH WOH 1		20						
Switched to SILT: Gray, wit		428.1		1 2 1	0.2 B	39				1 1 2	0.2 B	39

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)



Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

Date 5/9/11



The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)



Date 5/10/11

Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u>

ROUTE	CH 31	_ DES	SCRI	PTION			CH 31 over Dickson Cr	eek	LOGGED BY	KEG	6 (AC)
SECTION	(11A) BR-1		_ I			1 mile	west of IL 97 & Dickson	Mounds Road			
	Fulton DR	ILLING	ME	THOD	(CME 5	5 w/HSA/Mud Rotary	HAMMER TYPE	Aut	omatic	
STRUCT. NO	029-0049 38+35		D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev Stream Bed Elev	ft	DB EL PO	U C S	M O I
Station	B-2 38+52 24.0 ft Lt		Т Н	W S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	438.0 ft		Qu	S T
	e Elev. 446.45	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs	ft	(ft) (/6")	(tsf)	(%)
TOPSOIL		445.5		-			Switched to mud rot SILT: Gray	ary.			
SILT: Brown				WOH WOH WOH		37			— WOH — 1 — 1	0.1 B	39
				WOH WOH WOH		35	× O		—— ——WOH ——WOH		37
			<u>-5</u> 	WOH			2		25 		
		438.5		WOH WOH		33			— WOH — 1 ——		34
organics	Greenish gray, trace	Ś	-10	5	0.6 UU	30	Some fine sand		WOH WOH 2		37
CLAY: Gray		436.0									
				0 3 3	0.5 B	34					
				-				413	3.0		
Mottled brow			-15	1 2 2	0.3 B	33	SILTY SAND: Gray, fir organics		$\begin{array}{c c} & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & -35 \end{array}$	0.2 B	33
Some silt				WOH WOH WOH		40	SILT: Gray, some fine	409	 9.5		
L		428.5						Sana			
SAND: Brown, trace gravel	medium to coarse,	426.5		4 4 2		19			WOH	0.2 B	37

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)



Date 5/10/11

Page $\underline{2}$ of $\underline{2}$

									Date	
ROUTE	CH 31	DES	CRIPT	ION			CH 31 over Dickson C	reek	LOGGED BY	KEG (AC)
SECTION _	(11A) BF	R-1	_ LO	CATIO	ON _	1 mile	west of IL 97 & Dickson	Mounds Road		
	Fulton	DRILLING	METH	DC	C	ME 55	w/HSA/Mud Rotary	_ HAMMER TYPE	E <u>Auto</u>	matic
STRUCT. NC Station	0. <u>029-0049</u> 38+35	9	E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.			
BORING NO. Station	B-2 38+52 24.0 ft Lt			W S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter	438.0 ft	-	
Offset Ground Su	24.0 ft Lt face Elev. 446	6.45 ft	(ft) (/	6")	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion After Hrs.	ftft		
SILT: Gray, (continued)	some fine sand	-					. 6			
		-	w	OH OH 2	0.1 B	36				
Coarse gi	ravel observed.	- - 398.0		S	<		0			
CLAYEY SH	ALE: Gray	K		26 50	4.2 B	24				
		-								
	light gray	- 391.5		10 39 0/5"	5.9 B	13				
Boring termin	nated at 55.0 ft.	-								
		-	-60							

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

CONSOLIDATION TEST SUMMARY

]	Project:	CH 31 (FAS	S 457) over E	Dickson Cre	ek			Pro	ject Number:	201	1-3114.10
5	Summary I	Data for	B-1		Depth	11-13ft					
	Pressure	Reading	Rig Deflic.	Height (in)	Height (cm)		_	b	efore test		
	TSF	at d_{100} (in)	94			Wet Wt. (g)	Soil & Ring (g)	Ring (g)	diam (in)	height (in)	height (cm)
0	0.001	0	0.0000	0.7500	1.905	115.64	192.47	76.83	2.5	0.75	1.91
1	0.125	0.0102	0.0001	0.7399	1.879				area (in2)	volume (in3)	area (cm2)
2	0.25	0.0186	0.0013	0.7327	1.861		Moisture	Dry Wt. (g)	4.909	3.682	31.7
3	0.50	0.0297	0.0022	0.7225	1.835		32.9	87.0			
4	1.0	0.0428	0.0037	0.7109	1.806				vol of solids	spec g	
5	2.0	0.0575	0.0051	0.6976	1.772		Density (pcf)		32.464	2.68	
6	4.0	0.0736	0.0064	0.6828	1.734	WET	119.7	equiv	height of solid	s (cm)	
7	8.0	0.0909	0.0080	0.6671	1.694	DRY	90.0		1.025		
8	16.0	0.1094	0.0096	0.6502	1.652			1	after test		
9	32.0	0.1290	0.0114	0.6324	1.606	Wet Wt. (g)	Soil & Ring (g)	Ring (g)	Moisture	volume (in3)	Wet Density (pcf)
10R	16.0	0.1267	0.0103	0.6336	1.609	106.99	183.82	76.83	22.7	3.139	129.8
11R	4.0	0.1211	0.0078	0.6367	1.617	Dry Wt.	Dry Soil	& Ring			Dry Density (pcf)
12R	1.0	0.1159	0.0056	0.6397	1.625	87.20	164.	03			105.8
13RL	0.25	0.1108	0.0033	0.6425	1.632		last reading	0.1105			

	Void Ratio	C_v (in ² /min)	Moisture Content Calculation	
0.01	0.858		Before After	
0.125	0.833	0.044	tare & wet 56.37 tare & wet 2	270.42
0.25	0.816	0.095	tare & dry 45.89 tare & dry 2	250.64
0.5	0.790	0.092	tare 14.05 tare 1	63.44
1	0.761	0.118	moisture (%) 32.9 moisture (%)	22.7
2	0.729	0.145		

4

8

16

32

16

4

1 0.25 0.692

0.653

0.611

0.567

0.570

0.578

0.585

0.592

0.138

0.152

0.142

0.133





SUBSURFACE PROFILE



RINTERMOD 11X17 2011-3114.10 CH31 OVER DICKSON CREEK.GPJ IL_DOT.GDT 7/

• ...

	•••••	•••••	••••••	450
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••	445
	•••••		••••••	440
,				435
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				430
			••••••	425
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	420
••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	415
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			410
••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		405
•••••	•••••		•••••	400
	••••••	•••••	•••••	395
•••••	••••••		••••••	390
		<u> </u>		
JBSURFACE	: PROF	ILE		
			•	

EXHIBIT E

SLOPE/W SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS



Name: Rip Rap Unit Weight: 145 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 42 °

Name: Silt Unit Weight: 115 pcf Cohesion': 100 psf Phi': 0 °

Name: Silty Clay Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 600 psf Phi': 0 °

Name: Clay Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 300 psf Phi': 0 °

Name: Sand Unit Weight: 110 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 32 °

Name: Silt (2) Unit Weight: 115 pcf Cohesion': 100 psf Phi': 0 °

Name: Silty Sand Unit Weight: 115 pcf Cohesion': 200 psf Phi': 0 °

Name: Silt (3) Unit Weight: 115 pcf Cohesion': 150 psf Phi': 0 ° 120 Name: Clayey Shale

Name: Clayey Shale Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 5,050 psf Phi': 12 °



120 Name: Clayey Shale Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 5,050 psf Phi': 0 °

Name: Structural Fill Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 100 psf

Name: Structural Fill Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 100 psf Phi': 26 °

Name: Rip Rap Unit Weight: 145 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 42 °

Name: Silt Unit Weight: 115 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 28 °

Name: Silty Clay Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 26 °

Name: Clay Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 26 °

Name: Sand Unit Weight: 110 pcf Cohesion': 0 psf Phi': 32 °

Name: Silt (2) Unit Weight: 115 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 28 °

Name: Silty Sand Unit Weight: 115 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 28 °

Name: Silt (3) Unit Weight: 115 pcf Cohesion': 50 psf Phi': 28 ° 120 Name: Clayey Shale Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion': 5,050 psf

Phi': 0 °









EXHIBIT F

PILE LENGTH/PILE TYPE

IDOT STATIC METHOD OF ESTIMATING PILE LENGTH

I.D.O.T. BBS FOUNDATIONS AND GEOTECHNICAL UNIT

Modified 10/18/2011

				I.D.O.T. DDC			GLUILCI	INICAL UNIT					IVI	odified 10/18/2
					ment		MAX	REQUIRED	BEARIN	G & RESU	STANCE for S	Selected Pile	. Soil Profile	& Losse
HNICA	L LOSS TY	PE (None	, Scour, Liquef., DD)	Scour										
		,	,											
V. OF	LIQUEF. (so	o layers al	bove apply DD) ====		ft									
АСТОР	RED SUBST	RUCTUR	RE LOAD =======	716	kips									
ENGTH	H OF SUBS	TRUCTU	RE (along skew)====	28.00	ft									
						1/100								
ipprox.	1 dolorod E	occurry / c		010	10.00									
													N	
													•	
lugged	Pile End B	earing Are	ea===========	0.983	SQFT.	Unplugged	I Pile End	Bearing Ai	rea====	0.108	SQF1.			
												_		
				T			r				FACTORED	FACTORED		
	UNCONF.	S.P.T.	GRANULAR	NON	IINAL PLUG	GGED	NO	MINAL UNPLU	JG'D	NOMINAL	GEOTECH.	GEOTECH.	FACTORED	ESTIMAT
LAYER	COMPR.	N	OR ROCK LAYER	SIDE	END BRG.	TOTAL	SIDE	END BRG.	TOTAL	REQ'D	LOSS FROM	LOSS LOAD	RESISTANCE	PILE
			DESCRIPTION											LENGT (FT.)
2.16	0.10			0.7		4.8	1.0		1.5	1	0	0	0	7
											0		2 4	10 13
2.50	0.60			4.6	8.3	14.9	6.7	0.9	15.1	15	0	0	8	16
		2									0	0	7	18 21
2.50	0.20			1.6	2.8	13.5	2.4	0.3	17.9	14	0	0	7	23
2.50 2.50										16 17	0	0		26 28
2.50	0.20			1.6	2.8	19.0	2.4	0.3	24.0	19	0	0	10	31
											-			33 37
5.00	0.20			3.3	2.8	37.5	4.8	0.3	36.2	36	0	0	19	42 48
0.50	1.00		Shale	24.7	122.5	187.8	24.7 36.1	13.4	108.9	109	0	0	40 60	48 48.7
0.50			Shale	24.7		212.5	36.1	13.4	145.0	145	0	0	79	49.2 49.7
0.50			Shale	24.7	122.5	261.9	36.1	13.4	217.3	217	0	0	119	50.2
0.50			Shale								-			50.7 51.2
0.50			Shale	24.7	122.5	336.1	36.1	13.4	325.7	326	0	0	179	51.7
0.50			Shale Shale								-			52.2 52.7
0.50			Shale	24.7	122.5	410.2	36.1	13.4	434.0	410	0	0	225	53.2
											θ			53.7 54.2
0.50			Shale	24.7	122.5	484.3	36.1	13.4	542.4	484	θ	θ	266	-54.7
0.50			Shale		122.5 122.5	509.0 533.7	36.1 36.1	13.4 13.4	578.5 614.7	509 534	θ θ	Ф Ф		-55.2 - 55.7
0.50			Shale	24.7	122.5	558.4	36.1	13.4	650.8	558	θ	θ	307	-56.2
0.33 0.50			Shale	16.3 24.7	122.5 122.5	574.7 599.4	23.8 36.1	13.4 13.4	674.6 710.8	575 599	θ θ	θ θ	316 329	-56.5 - 57
0.50			Shale	24.7 24.7	122.5 122.5	624.1 648.8	36.1 36.1	13.4 13.4	746.9 783.0	62 4 649	0 Ф	0 Ф	343 356	57.5
0.50 0.50			Shale Shale	24.7	122.5	673.5	36.1	13.4	819.1	674	Ð	Ð		58 58.5
0.00			Shale	24.7	122.5 122.5	698.3 747.7	36.1 72.3	13.4 13.4	855.3 927.5	698 748	θ Φ	0 Ф	384 411	59 60
0.50 0.50 1.00			Shale	49.4				13.4	927.5	448				
	NCE B ASD oi OFF E SURF HNICA ELEV V. OF ACTOI Pprox. E ANEL Iuggee Iuggee 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	ACE BORING === ASD or SEISMIC = OFF ELEV. ====: SURFACE ELEV. SURFACE ELEV. HNICAL LOSS TY ELEV. OF SCOUTY. V. OF LIQUEF. (sr ACTORED SUBST ENGTH OF SUBST OF ROWS OF PI pprox. Factored L E AND SIZE ==== lugged Pile Perim lugged Pile End B COMPR. THICK. COMPR. STRENGTH 2.50 0.50 0.50 0.50	NCE BORING ====== ASD or SEISMIC ====== SURFACE ELEV. AGAINS HNICAL LOSS TYPE (None ELEV. OF SCOUR, LIQUEI V. OF LIQUEF. (so layers al ACTORED SUBSTRUCTUR COFF ORUS OF PILES PER pprox. Factored Loading Approx.	NCE BORING Summer State ASD or SEISMIC	NCE BORING B-1 ASD or SEISMIC LRFD York CE LEV. 448.79 SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRI 443.79 HNICAL LOSS TYPE (None, Scour, Liquef., DD) Scour ELEV. OF SCOUR, LIQUEF., or DD 439.90 V. OF LIQUEF. (so layers above apply DD) 716 ENGTH OF SUBSTRUCTURE LOAD 28.00 OF ROWS OF PILES PER SUBSTRUCTURE = 1 pprox. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 8 ft. Cts 28.00 OF ROWS OF PILES PER SUBSTRUCTURE = 1 pprox. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 8 ft. Cts ==== Lugged Pile Perimeter 3.967 lugged Pile Perimeter 0.983 Value OR ROCK LAYER SIDE (FT) (TSF.) (BLOWS) 0.7 2.50 0.20 4.6 2.50 0.20 1.6 2.3 2.50 0.20 1.6 2.4 2.50 0.20 1.6 2.4 2.50 0.20 1.6 2.4 2.50 0.20 1.6	ASD or SEISMIC LRFD t LRFD t OFF ELEV.	NCE BORING ======= B-1 RFD ASD or SEISMIC ====== LRFD ISURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRI 443.79 HNICAL LOSS TYPE (None, Scour, Liquel, DD) Scour ELEV. OF SCOUR, LIQUEF., or DD ====== 439.90 Y. OF LIQUEF. (so layers above apply DD) ======= ft ACTORED SUBSTRUCTURE LOAD ====== 716 kips ENGTH OF SUBSTRUCTURE (along skew)==== 28.00 ft OF ROWS OF PILES PER SUBSTRUCTURE = 1 1 pprox. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 3 ft. Cts ===== 204.43 KIPS pprox. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 3 ft. Cts ===== 3.967 FT. Unplugged lugged Pile Perimeter===== 3.967 FT. Unplugged lugged Pile End Bearing Area====== 3.967 FT. Unplugged (FT.) (RENGTH NALLE Exestsr. (KPS) (KPS) 250 0.30 2.4 4.1 5.9 3.30 3.60 0.20 1 Medium Sand 0.4 4.9 12.8 250 0.20 1 Medium Sand 0.4 4.9 13.3	NCE BORING ========== B-1 MAX.T ASD or SEISMIC =========== LRFD ft Maximu Regid Bio SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRI 448.79 ft Maximu Regid Bio NICAL LOSS TYPE (None, Scour, Liquef, DD) Scour ft Attract HNICAL LOSS TYPE (None, Scour, Liquef, DD) Scour ft Attract ACTORED SUBSTRUCTURE LOAD 439.90 ft Attract ACTORED SUBSTRUCTURE LOAD 28.00 ft Attract ACTORED SUBSTRUCTURE (along skew)=== 28.00 ft Attract Prorx. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 8 ft. Cts see=== 76.66 KIPS E AND SIZE ====== Steel HP 12 X 53 Unplugged Pile Perineter 0.983 SQFT. Unplugged Pile Perineter Lugged Pile Ferimeter 0.800 2.4 4.1 5.9 3.5 250 0.30 2.4 4.8 1.0 3.5 250 0.20 1 Medum Sand 0.4 4.9 1.2 0.5 250 0.20 1	NCE BORING ========== B-1 MAX.RECUREL ASD or SEISMIC ======== 445.79 ft SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRI 443.79 ft SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRI 443.79 ft HNICAL LOSS TYPE (None, Scour, Liquef., DD) Soour 443.79 ft V. OF LIQUEF. (so layers above apply DD) Soour ft ATR ACTORED SUBSTRUCTURE (along skew)==== 716 kips 28.00 ft OF ROWS OF PILES PER SUBSTRUCTURE = 1 prox. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 3 ft. Cts 76.66 KIPS E AND SIZE ======= Steel HP 12 X 53 Ungged Pile Perimeter==== 0.983 SQFT. Unplugged Pile Perimeter=== lugged Pile Perimeter==== 0.983 SQFT. Unplugged Pile Perimeter=== 0.983 SQFT. Unplugged Pile Perimeter=== lugged Pile Perimeter==== 0.983 SQFT. Unplugged Pile Perimeter=== 0.05 0.5 0.5 2.50 0.30 3.46 8.3 14.9 6.7 0.8 0.3 2.50 1 Medum Sand 0.4 4.9 1.6 2.8 0.3 0.3	Image: Construct Server in the serv	NCE BORING Sector SEISM Maximum Nominal Maximum Nominal SDD of SEISMIC	NCE BORING ============ B-1 MAX. REQUIREU DEARMORE X RESISTANCE TO S Stor SEISMAC A48.79 ft VGF ELEV. =============== 448.79 ft SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRI 443.79 ft Maxmun Noming Maximun Nomi	VICE BORING ========== B-1 IMAX.RECURRED BEARWORD EX.NEX.107.506(20:210) SBO of SEISMIC ============ LFPD transmitter Maximum Norming Maximum Norming Maximum Norming Resistance Available in Borgs VICE LOURER, OR DO ======== 30.90 r ft Scourt Hit Maximum Norming Maximum Norming Resistance Available in Borgs LEV. OF SCOUR, LUQUEF, root Do ======= 30.90 r ft T T T NORT LOUSER FOR DO ======= 20.43 KIPS T T T Prox. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 3 ft. Cts ==== 76.65 KIPS S.800 FT. T T Steel HP 12:X 53 Ligged Pile End Bearing Area==== 0.907 TT. Unplugged Pile End Bearing Area==== 0.108 SOFT. Visged Pile Comment Forming the search area 3.907 TT. Unplugged Pile End Bearing Area=== 0.108 SOFT. Visged Pile End Bearing Area==== 0.108 SOFT. Steer Row Brief To T Normal Life Low Soft Area Steer Row Brief To T Low Soft Area Steer To Row Law R Resstr. Resstr	Bit Network Diff Network Setting Diff Network Diff Network <thdiff network<="" th=""> <thdiff network<="" td="" th<=""></thdiff></thdiff>

IDOT STATIC METHOD OF ESTIMATING PILE LENGTH

I.D.O.T. BBS FOUNDATIONS AND GEOTECHNICAL UNIT

Modified 10/18/2011

ER LAYER COMPR. N OR ROCK LAYER SIDE FOTAL SIDE FOD BRG. (FAL) RESUT.	D or ASD or CUTOFF E	r SEISMIC = ELEV. =====		T PILE DURING DRI	LRFD 448.79	ft		Req'd Be	m Nominal aring of <u>Pile</u> KIPS	Req.d Bea	m Nominal Iring of <u>Boring</u> KIPS	Maximum Resistance Ava 216		Maxim Driveable Le 56	
IP Fugged Pile End Bearing Area Side IPP 12 A33 Plugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.983 SQFT. Unplugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.108 SQFT. Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.983 SQFT. Unplugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.108 SQFT. Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.983 SQFT. SUE Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.108 SQFT. Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.983 SQFT. SUE Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.108 SQFT. Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.983 SQFT. SUE SUE End Bearing Area 0.108 SQFT. Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.983 SQFT. SUE End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.108 SQFT. Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.983 SQFT. SUE End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area 0.98 It A SUE End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area SUE End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Implugged Pile End Bearing Area Imp	TOM ELEV	. OF SCOU	R, LÍQUEF	, or DD =======	439.90	ft								4	
IP France Oster introl Oster intro Oster introl Oster introl <th>AL LENGTH</th> <th>H OF SUBS OWS OF PI</th> <th>TRUCTUF</th> <th>RE (along skew)==== SUBSTRUCTURE =</th> <th>28.00 1</th> <th>ft</th> <th>KIDS</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	AL LENGTH	H OF SUBS OWS OF PI	TRUCTUF	RE (along skew)==== SUBSTRUCTURE =	28.00 1	ft	KIDS								
Plugged Pile End Bearing Area==== 0.983 SQFT. Unplugged Pile End Bearing Area=== 0.108 SQFT. r v VICONF: S.P.T. GRANULAR VALUE NOMINAL PLUGGED NOMINAL UNPLUG'D NOMINAL RESTREE FACTORED (RSST) FACTOR	Approx.	Factored L	bading Ap	plied per pile at 3 ft.	Cts =====	: 76.71									
E UNCOMP. S.P.T. GRANULAR DRAMMAL PUSEL NOMINAL PUSEL NOMINAL PUSEL GETTECH. FACTORED ESTIMU (PR) V THICK. STREMGTH VALUE DESCRIPTION Side NO BR TOTAL GEOTECH. GEOTECH. FACTORED ESTIMU (PR) V(PR) (VPS)														•	
V. VICK STREMOTH VALUE DESCRIPTION RESIST. RES	:											GEOTECH.	GEOTECH.		ESTIMA
00 280 0.00 0.00 1.4 0.00 3.0 0.0 1 10 00 2.50 0.60 7 155 00 2.50 0.10 0.66 Medium Sand 1.1 14.7 15.9 1.6 1.6 21.3 16 0 0 7 18 0.0 3.00 0.10 0.8 1.4 17.70 1.7 0.2 24.2 18 0 0 9 22 0.0 4.00 0.10 1.3 1.4 21.5 1.9 0.2 27.8 22 0 0 11 38 0.0 3.00 0.10 1.7 1.4 24.7 1.2 1.3 1.4 21.5 3.1 1.3 3.1 22.5 1.3 3.1 3.1	V. THICK.	STRENGTH	VALUE		RESIST.	RESIST.	RESIST.	RESIST.	RESIST.	RESIST.	BEARING	SCOUR or DD	FROM DD	AVAILABLE	LENG (FT.)
	00 2.50 00 2.50 00 2.50 00 2.50 00 2.50 00 2.50 00 2.50 00 2.50 00 2.50 00 3.50 00 3.50 00 3.50 00 6.50 00 0.50 00	0.60 0.50 0.30 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.20 0.10	6	Shale Shale	4.6 3.9 2.4 0.8 1.1 1.2 0.8 1.0 1.3 2.3 1.7 2.2 24.7 24.7 24.7 24.7 24.7 24.7 24.	$\begin{array}{c} 8.3 \\ 6.9 \\ 4.1 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.2 \\ 5 \\ 122.5 \\ 1$	13.2 14.3 14.0 28.1 15.9 17.0 17.9 18.8 21.5 22.5 24.1 147.4 172.1 196.8 221.5 24.6 270.9 295.6 320.3 345.0 369.7 394.4 419.1 443.9 468.6 493.3 518.0 542.7 567.4 592.1	6.7 5.7 3.5 1.2 1.6 1.7 1.2 1.5 1.9 3.3 2.4 3.1 36.1	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9\\ 0.8\\ 0.5\\ 0.2\\ 1.6\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.2\\ 0.3\\ 0.2\\ 0.3\\ 0.2\\ 13.4\\ 13$	10.0 15.3 18.5 21.2 21.3 23.0 24.2 25.7 27.8 31.0 33.4 49.8 85.9 122.0 158.2 194.3 230.4 266.5 302.7 33.8 83.9 122.0 158.2 194.3 230.4 266.5 302.7 27.8 31.0 532.5 51.2 51.2 27.8 33.4 49.8 85.9 122.0 158.2 194.3 230.4 266.5 302.7 27.8 37.9 41.0 23.0 47.2 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.2 27.8 31.0 27.9 27.8 30.4 26.5 30.4 26.5 30.7 27.8 37.4 27.8 37.4 27.9 41.0 27.9 27.8 37.4 27.9 27.8 37.4 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8 27.9 27.8	10 14 21 16 17 18 19 22 24 50 86 122 158 194 230 267 303 339 370 394 449 444 469 493 548 543 567 592	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 7 11 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 27 47 67 87 106 126 146 186 186 186 186 203 216 239 244 284 299 342 284 284 299 342 225	10 13 15 18 20 23 26 29 32 36 39 44 51 31 51.8 52.8 53.3 54.8 55.3 54.8 55.3 54.8 54.8 54.8 54.8 54.8 55.3 54.8 55.3 55.8 54.8 54.8 54.8 54.8 55.3 55.8 54.8 54.8 55.3 55.8 54.8 54.8 55.3 55.8 54.8 54.8 55.3 55.8 54.8 54.8 55.3 55.8 54.8 55.3 55.8 55.3 55.8

EXHIBIT G

ISGS MINE MAP

FULTON COUNTY, ILLINOIS





Map Explanation

This map accompanies the coal mines directory for this county. Please consult the directory for an explanation of the coal mine information shown on this map. Buffer regions for industrial mineral mines were incorporated into this map due to limited information regarding these mines. The size of the buffer region is dependent on the uncertainty or inaccuracy of the mine location. For more information regarding industrial mineral mines please contact the ISGS Industrial Minerals Section.

The maps and digital files used for this study were compiled from data obtained from a variety of public and private sources and have varying degrees of completeness and accuracy. They present reasonable interpretations of the geology of the area and are based on available data. These data were compiled and digitized at a scale of 1:62,500. Locations of some features may be offset by 500 feet or more due to errors in the original source maps, the compilation process, digitizing, or a combination of these factors.

These data are not intended for use in site-specific screening or decision-making. Data included in this map are suitable for use at a scale of 1:100,000.



The Illinois State Geological Survey and the University of Illinois make no guarantee, expressed or implied, regarding the correctness of the interpretations presented in this data set and accept no liability for the consequences of decisions made by others on the basis of the information presented here.

© 2016 Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. All rights reserved.