Prepared for: Illinois Department of Transportation, District 2 819 Depot Avenue Dixon, Illinois 61021

Structure Designer: Modjeski and Masters, Inc.

Prepared By:

LINO

11/2011

Hanson Professional Services Inc. 1525 South Sixth Street Springfield, Illinois 62703 (217) 788-2450

Structure Geotechnical Report

F.A.I. Route 74 Section 81-1HVB Rock Island County Job No. P-92-032-01 Contract No. 64C08 PTB No. N/A Retaining Wall IL-RW3 Structure Number 081-6012

March 2011



Table of Contents

1. Project Description	
2. Location	
3. Proposed Structure	
4. Site Investigation	
5. Laboratory Investigation	4
6. Subsurface Profile	4
7. Design Recommendations	
8. Construction Considerations	7
References	8
Appendix	
Tables	0
Table 6.1. Groundwater Elevations	

Copyright © 2011 by Hanson Professional Services Inc. All rights reserved. This document is intended solely for the use of the individual or the entity to which it is addressed. The information contained in this document shall not be duplicated, stored electronically, or distributed, in whole or in part, without the express written permission of Hanson Professional Services Inc., 1525 S. Sixth Street, Springfield, IL 62703-2886 (217)788-2450, www.hanson-inc.com. Unauthorized reproduction or transmission of any part of this document is a violation of federal law.



1. Project Description

This report provides geotechnical data and recommendations for the proposed Retaining Wall IL-RW03, which is part of the Central Section of the I-74 over the Mississippi River Project. The project includes reconstruction of I-74 between 14th Avenue in Moline, Illinois and Lincoln Road in Bettendorf, Iowa. The retaining wall covered by this structure geotechnical report will be a new structure, constructed to retain fill for the proposed Ramp 6th-D roadway.

Nearby project features that have an impact on the design or construction of the proposed retaining wall include the Ramp 6th-D Bridge (S.N. 081-0187), the Ramp 6th-D roadway, and the 21st Street roadway. Geotechnical recommendations for the bridge are presented in a structure geotechnical report prepared by Jacobs Civil Inc. in June 2008. Geotechnical recommendations for the ramp and street will be contained in soil survey reports currently being prepared by Hanson Professional Services Inc. (Hanson).

This report supersedes the structure geotechnical report prepared by CH2M HILL in September 2009.

2. Location

The proposed Retaining Wall IL-RW03 is located in the north central portion of Rock Island County, within Section 32 of Township 18 North, Range 1 West. The wall is adjacent to and parallel to the right shoulder of Ramp 6^{th} -D. The wall separates the ramp on the high side from 21^{st} Street on the low side. The wall begins at Ramp 6^{th} -D Sta. 422+75.00 and traverses northward to Sta. 426+00.75.

3. Proposed Structure

The proposed structure will be a mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) wall, as determined by a previous value engineering study. A wall using precast panels with the minimum reinforced soil mass width is preferred for cost and construction schedule. The wall will have a height, measured from the theoretical top of leveling pad to the finished grade line, between 3.5 and 27.8 feet. With this range of heights a typical MSE wall section would have an equivalent uniform bearing pressure varying from 500 to 5,200 psf along the length of the wall.

The cross-section of the wall is typical for an Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) structure. A parapet and anchorage slab bears on the reinforced soil mass. This wall has an unusual configuration at the Ramp 6^{th} -D Bridge. The wall continues in a straight line past the bridge abutment, terminating at the toe of the abutment spill slope. Piles for the bridge pass through the reinforced soil mass.

Construction of the wall will be governed by a performance specification. The MSE wall supplier will be responsible for the internal stability of the reinforced soil mass. This report provides geotechnical recommendations for external stability and global stability, which are the responsibility of the wall designer.

4. Site Investigation

The field exploration completed for this structure was completed in three phases. The first two phases were completed in November 2005 and September 2007 by another consultant. IDOT provided the data collected from those two phases. The third phase was completed in July 2010 by Hanson. The primary purpose of the third phase was to collect additional soil samples for strength and consolidation testing. A representative from Hanson logged the borings and performed a general site reconnaissance during the third phase.

The alignment for the proposed retaining wall cuts through two city blocks that are currently occupied by several one-story and two-story homes, a parking lot, two alleys, and 5th Avenue. The existing parking lot and 5th



Avenue are hot mix asphalt pavement with thickness ranging from 2 to 6 inches. The topography slopes gradually down toward the north (riverward direction) between 6th Avenue and 4th Avenue, with a change of elevation from 580 feet to 574 feet.

Six borings were drilled in the first two phases and three borings were drilled in the third phase. Locations of the borings were selected to avoid the numerous obstructions currently occupying the site. The maximum spacing between borings was approximately 100 feet. Standard Penetration Test samples were collected at 2.5 ft. to 5.0 ft. intervals in all borings between the ground surface and bedrock. Several Shelby tube samples were collected at representative locations in cohesive strata. A 25 ft. long core sample of the bedrock was collected in Boring PRMPD-05 and a 10 ft. long core sample was collected in Boring ILR0302. The boring depths ranged from 13.0 ft. to 42.5 ft.

The boring locations are shown on the Boring Location Plan included in the Appendix. Boring logs are included in the Appendix.

5. Laboratory Investigation

Soil samples from the first and second phase borings were tested by others. The testing generally was limited to index testing of representative samples. One unconfined compression test of a rock core sample was completed.

The soil samples obtained from the third phase borings were delivered to Hanson's soils laboratory and subjected to a testing program. Natural moisture content and visual classification tests were competed on all samples. Unconfined compressive strength tests, using a Rimac spring tester, were also completed when possible. Six unconfined compression tests, one consolidated undrained triaxial test envelope, one unconsolidated undrained triaxial test envelope, and one consolidation test were performed on Shelby tube samples. Index testing was completed on two samples to help correlate the strength and consolidation testing data with the other borings drilled for the project.

The strength and consolidation properties of the soils were rather unusual. Although the cohesive soils had low shear strengths, they were highly overconsolidated. Samples collected for other portions of the I-74 project have had similar test results.

The locations of the index tests, triaxial tests, and consolidation tests are indicated on the subsurface data profile. All laboratory test data is included in the Appendix.

6. Subsurface Profile

A subsurface data profile has been developed from the boring logs. It is presented in the Appendix for use by the structure designer.

The subsurface profile consists of upper fill materials of varying depths overlying natural soil and bedrock strata. The fill consists of mostly cohesive and sometimes granular materials with variable thicknesses ranging from less than 1.0 ft to as much as 9.0 ft. The fill materials are underlain by natural cohesive soils that extend to depths of 8.5 to 13.5 ft below ground surface. Underlying the cohesive soils is a somewhat continuous stratum of waterbearing granular soils that extends to depths of 13 to 18 ft below the ground surface. Shale, siltstone, sandstone and limestone bedrock was encountered beginning at depths of 13.0 to 18.0 ft. below the ground surface. The bedrock stratigraphy is very erratic, with no apparent sequencing pattern.

The upper fill materials and cohesive natural soils exhibit decreasing unconfined compressive strengths with depth ranging from a high of 1.5 tsf in the upper profile to less than 0.5 tsf at the base of the natural cohesive



stratum. The N-values exhibit a similar trend ranging from a high of 10 to less than 5 in the lower portions of the natural cohesive stratum. Some penetration values are recorded as the weight of hammer (WOH), indicating very soft materials. The N-values in the underlying natural granular stratum are higher, generally exceeding 8 and much higher. Penetration refusal was encountered in the bedrock strata and rock coring techniques were required to obtain samples.

Groundwater was encountered in most of the borings. The groundwater elevation measured at first encounter in the borings varied between Elevation 561.4 and Elevation 566.7 as shown in Table 6.1. Stabilized readings were not taken in any of the borings. The depths at which groundwater was encountered correlate fairly well with the depths at which the granular stratum is present. For comparison, the water level in the Mississippi River, approximately 1,500 ft to the north of the site, is usually about Elevation 561.0.

Table 6.1 Groundwater Elevations

Boring No.	During Drilling	At End of Boring	24-hour Reading
ILR0301	564.8	-	-
ILR0302	566.7	-	-
ILR0303	565.8	-	•
PRMPD02	-	-	-
PRMPD03	561.4	-	X
PRMPD-05	564.1	-	-
PRMP6thD-03	562.4	-	
RW03-1	-	-	
RW03-2	566.7	-	-

The Illinois State Geological Survey Directory of Coal Mines does not list any mines in the immediate vicinity of the site.

7. Design Recommendations

Considering the proposed maximum height of the wall and the existing ground configuration, the most feasible wall type will be an MSE wall. Although MSE wall systems are extremely flexible and can tolerate significant total and differential settlements without undue distress, they require good foundation soils to provide acceptable factors of safety against bearing capacity or global stability failures. The native cohesive soils found at this site are relatively weak and will not support the weight of a conventional MSE wall. This is not an insurmountable problem. Typically, the alternative solutions are to either reduce the wall's bearing pressure or to increase the foundation soils' strength.

When designing for the external stability of the MSE wall, it should be assumed that the reinforced soil mass will be composed of a granular select backfill and the fill behind the reinforced soil mass will be embankment material as defined by the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (IDOT Standard Specifications). Both materials should be assumed to have a total unit weight of 125 pcf. The active earth pressure coefficient of the embankment fill could vary greatly depending on the actual material used, but should be assumed to be 0.36 for design.

The native soils at the base of the wall have shear strengths of 650 to 1,500 psf under undrained loading or 0.60 times the effective vertical stress under drained loading. The native soils have an allowable bearing capacity between 900 and 1,850 psf when all soil layers within the zone of influence are considered. If a 0.70 length to



height ratio is assumed for the reinforced soil mass, the equivalent uniform bearing pressure of the wall will vary between 500 and 5,200 psf. The equivalent uniform bearing pressure can be reduced to 4,500 psf, if a 0.90 length to height ration is specified. A shear strength of 100 to 1,550 psf would be needed to satisfy a 1.50 factor of safety for sliding. The proposed wall would not meet the Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges (AASHTO) requirements for bearing pressure and sliding stability.

Most of the native soils exhibit low compressibility. The estimated total settlement under the weight of the proposed wall ranges from 0.3 to 1 inch. Miscellaneous fill with high relative moisture content was encountered in Boring PRMP6THD-03. This material has the potential for much higher settlement, perhaps as much as 4.5 inches based on assumed parameters. The settlement is expected to occur quickly, with 90 percent complete within three weeks of completion. The magnitude of settlement is not of concern; however, differential settlement has the potential to cause distress to the concrete facing panels.

A slope stability analysis of the wall's highest point was completed to determine the overall stability of the wall. Results of that analysis are included in the Appendix. The 0.88 factor of safety is much lower than the minimum 1.50 value required by AASHTO.

Several potential treatment options were considered. Widening the reinforced soil mass, using lightweight fill, and raising the wall in stages are not feasible for this wall. Removal and replacement of the foundation soils and ground improvement with aggregate columns are viable solutions. Removal and replacement would require excavation below the water table, underwater placement of granular backfill, and shoring along the northeast corner of the excavation. These factors increase the complexity and cost. Aggregate column ground improvement is the recommended treatment option. The lump sum cost of the treatment is expected to be \$100,000 to \$200,000.

Our analyses indicate that stone column ground improvement of an area bounded by a line 4 ft. beyond the perimeter of the reinforced soil mass would satisfy the AASHTO requirements for bearing capacity, sliding resistance, and overall stability. The stone columns would also reduce the total settlement and shorten the settlement period. The stone columns would extend from the base of the reinforced soil mass to bedrock or dense granular material at approximately Elevation 561. An area replacement ratio between 21 and 31 percent would be required. Our analyses used a 2.0 factor of safety against bearing capacity failure, because the use of a 2.5 factor of safety requires very high replacement ratios. It should be noted that a factor of safety of 2.0 is commonly used with stone columns supporting other types of structures and is explicitly allowed by AASHTO when "justified by a geotechnical analysis".

Although ground improvement with tamper compacted aggregate columns was not expressly investigated, it is expected that the wall could be successfully constructed using that technology. Stone column (vibrator compacted aggregate columns) and tamper compacted aggregate columns may be collectively referred to as aggregate column ground improvement. With either type of construction, the results are highly dependent upon the equipment and techniques used to install the aggregate columns. The contractors that perform this type of work routinely design the improvement to specific geotechnical performance requirements.

We recommend that the approximate horizontal limits of the aggregate column ground improvement be defined as an area bounded by a line 4 ft. beyond the perimeter of the reinforced soil mass. The contractor should be required to satisfy the following performance requirements:

- 1. Minimum factor of safety of 1.5 against global slope stability failure.
- 2. Minimum factor of safety of 2.0 against equivalent uniform service bearing pressure failure if a load test is performed.



- 3. Minimum factor of safety of 2.5 against equivalent uniform service bearing pressure failure if a load test is not performed.
- 4. Total settlement measured at the base of the wall not to exceed 4.0 inches.
- 5. Total settlement measured on the pavement not to exceed 1.0 inch.
- 6. Differential settlement measured along the base of the wall not to exceed 1/100.

With the ground improvement, a conventional precast panel MSE wall is feasible. The theoretical top of leveling pad or base of reinforced soil mass may be located at the minimum embedment required by IDOT (3'-6" below finished grade). Any removals or other excavation below the reinforced soil mass should be backfilled with either the select backfill used in the reinforced soil mass or the granular material used as a drainage layer or working platform for the aggregate column ground improvement design. Other material outside the limits of the reinforced soil mass may be embankment fill in accordance with the IDOT Standard Specifications. The external stability design should be completed using a unit weight of 125 pcf and an active earth pressure coefficient of 0.36. The calculated bearing pressures should not be compared to allowable bearing pressures of the native soils. Instead, the calculated bearing pressures will be given as a performance requirement for the aggregate column ground improvement. We recommend limiting the equivalent uniform bearing pressure to approximately 4,500 psf in order to keep the area replacement ratio reasonable. To accomplish this, a 0.90 length to height ratio should be specified from Sta. 425+00.00 to 425+64.75. The minimum ratio specified by AASHTO (0.70) will be acceptable for the remainder of the wall.

8. Construction Considerations

The construction of MSE walls and aggregate column ground improvement are not covered by the IDOT Standard Specifications. Guide Bridge Special Provisions No. 38, Mechanically Stabilized Earth Retaining Walls (Revised: January 18, 2011), and No. 71, Aggregate Column Ground Improvement (Revised: October 4, 2010), should be included in the construction documents. These special provisions require that the contractor take responsibility for the final design of much of the structure.

The general contractor will hire a specialty contractor to design and install the aggregate column ground improvement. He will also hire an MSE wall supplier to complete the MSE wall design and furnish the materials. The interdependence of the ground improvement and MSE wall designs must be considered when developing the plans. The MSE wall supplier will typically design a wall with a horizontal base with vertical steps at convenient locations. This results in a wall that is slightly taller and wider than the theoretical size shown on the construction plans. The wall supplier may also use different assumptions for unit weight and lateral earth pressure on the reinforced soil mass. Because of these factors, the target bearing pressure for the ground improvement contractor should be 5% to 10% higher than the theoretical value calculated during preliminary design.

The ground improvement contractor will need to assign strength and consolidation properties to the native soils in order to design the aggregate columns. All of the soils laboratory data in the appendix to this report should be included in the contract documents. Usually, this is accomplished by adding a "Geotechnical Investigation Laboratory Data" section to the special provisions.

Obstructions, such as old foundations, pavements, utilities, etc., that are within the area to be treated with aggregate column ground improvement should be removed. Although it is possible to predrill the columns through large obstructions or space the columns around smaller obstructions, this increases the cost and reduces the effectiveness of the ground improvement.

The piles for the Ramp 6^{th} -D Bridge (S.N. 081-0187), which are located within the reinforced soil mass for this wall, will interfere with the placement and compaction of the select backfill. The piles must either be driven prior to placing the select backfill or driven through sleeves after placing the select backfill.



References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (2002). *Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges*, 17th Edition.
- CH2M HILL (2009, September). Structure Geotechnical Report Ramp 6th-D Retaining Wall Structure Number 081-6012.

Illinois Department of Transportation (2009). Bridge Manual.

Illinois Department of Transportation (1999). Geotechnical Manual.

- Illinois Department of Transportation (2007). Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.
- Illinois State Geological Survey, Rock Island County coal data, Retrieved July 30, 2010 from http://www.isgs.illinois.edu/maps-data-pub/coal-maps/counties/rockisland.shtml.
- Jacobs Civil Inc. (2008, June). Structure Geotechnical Report Proposed Structure No. 081-0187.
- U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (1983, December). *Design and Construction of Stone Columns* (Report No. FHWA/RD-83/026).
- U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (1997, August). *Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls and Reinforced Soil Slopes Design and Construction Guidelines* (Publication No. FHWA-SA-96-071).



Appendix

Boring Location Plan Subsurface Data Profile Boring Logs Soils Laboratory Test Results Summary of Slope Stability Analysis



ILR03 Sta. 422+08	03 3. 9′ RT		ILRO Sta. 422+5	302 58. 14' 1	'T	
576.78	<u>N Qu w%</u>		576.65-	<u>N</u>	<u>Qu w%</u>	
		Concrete	575.65			Topsoil - dark brown
574.78 572.78	2 0.6	Silty Sand (SM) - gravish brown, slightly moist, very loose, fine to coarse grained, trace fines, Rimac: Pu = 30 lbs			4.5P	Silt (ML) - Dark brown to yellow orar fine sand
3/2:/0	2 1.0P	Sample 1: grain size analysis performed	570.05	10	4.5P	trace coarse sand
	2 0.9	Lean Clay (CL) - brown to dark brown, moist, very loose Sample 2: Atterberg limit (LL=36, PI=21) test performed Rimac: Pu = 48 lbs	570.65	7	1.5P	Lean Clay (ML-CL) - Little gravel, me streaks, dry to moist, very loose to h
566 70	5	Sample 3: Atterberg limit (LL=45, PI=24) test performed		4	1.5P	Sample 4 (8'-10'): Atterberg limit tes
566.78 565.78 DI	D ₂₃ 50/4"	Silty Sand (SM) – dark gray, moist to wet, medium dense, trace gravel	566.65 √ 565.65 -	,	1.07	Very Silty Fine to Coarse Sand (SM) gravel, wet, possible gumbo, tried to coarse grained soil at 12' prevented
56 3. 78⊥		Bottom of hole = 13.0 feet	562.65			coarse grained soil at 12' prevented
				18		Shelby tube recovery collected in bag cobbles from 12' to 13' (heavy grindin Sample 5 (13'-15'): grain size analysi
			560.45	50/4"	0.8	Clause Fina to Contras Cond (CC)
				50/5"		Clayey Fine to Coarse Sand (SC) - lin streaks, dry, trace fine sands, mediu Sample 6 (16'-18'): grain size analysi. tests performed
			555.75			Weathered Sandstone - Top 2" mediu
				Rec ROI	5. = 85% 5 = 50%	Weathered Sandstone - Top 2" mediu light gray rock fragments, possible lig clay, wet, some fine to coarse sands
						gráy streaks, dry, hard, impermeable, cementation, possible completely weat
			\mathbf{O}	Rec	c. = 82% D = 43%	Limestone -
				ROL) = 43%	MISSING ROCK CORE
			545.75-			Bottom of hole = 39.0 feet
			N i i i			





ange, dry, loose, little medium to

medium brown with yellowish orange o loose

test performed (LL=35, PI=20)

1) - Gray with mingled brown, little to obtain ST from 11' to 13' but ad from push a full sample ag sample, coarse gravels and fine nding) ysis performed

little gravel, greenish gray with gray dium dense, possible weathered rock ysis and Atterberg limit (LL=30, PI=19)

dium to fine gravel sized very angular lightly weathered rock with silt and ds: remainder: light gray with greenish ole, silt with fine sands, very strong eathered sandstone

<u>LEGEND</u>

Ν Standard Penetration Test N (blows/ft)

Qu Unconfined Strength (tsf)

w% Natural Moisture Content (%)

Q Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test

R Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test

С Consolidation Test

DD Water Surface Elevation Encountered in Boring

 $558.10 \frac{1}{24h} = 24 \text{ hours after completion}$

T NO.1	F.A.I RTE.			SI	EC1	ION			CO	UNTY	TOT / SHEE		SHEET NO.
	74			8	1-11	ΗVB			ROCK	ISLAND	-		
HEETS									CON	TRACT	NO.	6	4C08
	FED. F	ROAD	DIST.	N0.	-	ILLINOIS	FED.	AI	D PROJ	ECT			





PROFESSIONAL DESIGN FIRM LICENSE #184-001084



FILL - Very dark brown, moist to wet, medium stiff to stiff, silty, lean CLAY

FILL - Brown, iron staining visible, moist, medium stiff, sandy, clayey SILT with trace gravel, and small metal scraps, debris

Brown, moist, medium stiff, silty CLAY

Brown, wet, GRAVEL, limestone fragments

Gray, WEATHERED LIMESTONE, clayey shale filled voids Bottom of hole = 18.1 feet

<u>LEGEND</u>

- N Standard Penetration Test N (blows/ft)
- Qu Unconfined Strength (tsf)
- w% Natural Moisture Content (%)
- [] Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- R Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- C Consolidation Test
- DD Water Surface Elevation Encountered in Boring

DD = during drilling 24h = 24 hours after completion

NO.2	F.A.I RTE.			S	EC1	ION			CO	UNTY	TOT# SHEE		SHEET NO.
	74			8	1-1	ΗVB			ROCK	ISLAND	-		
HEETS									CON	TRACT	NO.	6	4C08
	FED. F	ROAD	DIST.	N0.	-	ILLINOIS	FED.	AI	D PROJ	ECT			





<u>)u</u>	<u>w%</u>		
	7	~	ASPHALT FILL - Dark brown, SILT with fine-grained sand and gravel
50P 32S 60P 00P	19 23 22		FILL - Brown and gray, moist, loose, very-fine to medium-grained SAND and SILT with gravel FILL - Gray, moist, loose, silty, medium-grained SAND with clay, wood debris
37S 29S 55S	31		Gray with brown mottles, silty, lean CLAY with fine-grained sand (LL=36, PI=17)
	62		Gray, wet, very soft, clayey SILT INTACT ROCK
			Bottom of hole = 13.5 feet

LEGEND

- N Standard Penetration Test N (blows/ft)
- Qu Unconfined Strength (tsf)
- w% Natural Moisture Content (%)
- [] Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- R Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- C Consolidation Test
- DD Water Surface Elevation Encountered in Boring

558.10

DD = during drilling 24h = 24 hours after completion

NO.3	F.A.I RTE.			SE	СТ	ION			CO	UNTY	TOT SHE		SHEET NO.
1.0.0	74			81-	·1⊦	IVB			ROCK	ISLAND	-		
HEETS									CON	TRACT	NO.	6	4C08
	FED.	ROAD	DIST.	NO		ILLINOIS	FED.	ΑI	D PROJ	ECT			

PRMF Sta. 425+7		
574.20 T	<u><u>N</u><u>Qu</u><u>w%</u></u>	
	0 / 70	Clay (CL) - Clay, few gravel, trace sand, dark brown, dry to moist, homogeneous.
	8 1.3P	Clay, few gravel and sand, dark brown, dry to moist, homogeneous.
	3 0.9P	Clay, trace sand and gravel, dark brown, dry to moist, homogeneous.
	3 0.6P 13.0	No Sample.
565.20-	24	Silty Clay (CL) - Silty Clay trace sand and arayel aray mottled orange brown and
	1 0.3P 37.0 50/3" 0.8P	Silty Clay (CL) - Silty Clay, trace sand and gravel, gray mottled orange brown and dark brown, moist, homogeneous. Shelby tube sample T-1 from 9'-11' from adjacent location having mc: 28%, dry density: 84.5pcf and UC: 920psf
560.70-	50/2"	Silty Clay, trace sand and gravel, gray mottled orange brown and dark brown, moist, homogeneous.
500.70	Rec. = 82% RQD = 13%	
		rock, laminated to thin beds, vugs present. Auger refusal at 13.5'; begin rock core at 13.5' at 10:27, horizontal and vertical fractures, extremely fractured to slightly
	Rec - 100%	Limestone - Limestone, gray, fine to coarse grained, moderately weathered, weak rock, laminated to thin beds, vugs present. Auger refusal at 13.5'; begin rock core at 13.5' at 10:27, horizontal and vertical fractures, extremely fractured to slightly fractured, extremely close to close discontinuity, rough to smooth (undulating and planar) joints, tightly healed to sandy particles in joints with no rock wall separation, stylolites present. Coring rate smooth, slow beginning, but overall fast; no rod drops.
	Rec. = 100% RQD = 58%	stylolites present. Coring rate smooth, slow beginning, but overall rast; no rod arops. Limestone, arav, fine to course arained, moderately weathered, strong to very strong
		Limestone, gray, fine to course grained, moderately weathered, strong to very strong rock, laminated to thin beds, vugs present. Horizontal fractures, extremely fractured to slightly fractured, extremely close to close discontinuity, rough to smooth (undulating and planar) joints, tightly healed to slightly altered with sandy particles
	Rec. = 100% RQD = 100%	
	RuD = 100%	Limestone, gray, fine to medium grained, slightly weathered, medium strength, thin to medium beds, vugs present. At 23.5' changed bit to one for limestone coring. Horizontal fractures, sound, moderate to wide discontinuity, rough to smooth (planar) joints, tightly healed to unaltered joints with hard dark mineral on joints walls,
		Horizontal fractures, sound, moderate to wide discontinuity, rough to smooth (planar) joints, tightly healed to unaltered joints with hard dark mineral on joints walls,
	Rec. = 97% RQD = 97%	stylolites present.
542.70	<u> </u>	Limestone, gray, fine to medium grained, slightly weathered, medium strength, medium beds. Ran out of water; stopped at 18' of rock core. Horizontal fractures, sound, moderated discontinuity, rough undulating joints, slightly altered joints with sandy particles and <1/4" thick rock wall separation, stylolites present.
	١	
		Bottom of hole = 31.5 feet





<u>LEGEND</u>

- N Standard Penetration Test N (blows/ft)
- Unconfined Strength (tsf) Qu
- Natural Moisture Content (%) w%
- Q Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- R Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- С Consolidation Test
- DD Water Surface Elevation Encountered in Boring
- DD = during drilling 24h = 24 hours after completion

「 NO.4	F.A.I RTE.			S	EC ⁻	FION			CO	UNTY	TOT A		SHEET NO.
	74			8	1-1	HVB			ROCK	ISLAND	-		
HEETS									CON	TRACT	NO.	6	4C08
	FED. RO	OAD	DIST.	N0.	-	ILLINOIS	FED.	AII) PROJ	ECT			

R	Illinois Dep of Transpo	oartme	nt		sc	DIL BORIN	IG LOG	Page	<u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
ROUTE	Division of Highways CH2M HILL			Ne	w I-74	Bridge Over Mississip	pi River - Illinois		9/19/07 KB
	I-74 Bridge over Miss River	sissippi							
	Rock Island DI								
Station _ BORING N Station _ Offset _ Ground S	IOILR0301 Gurface Elev575.78 And Silty Sand	— P — T — H	O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion After Hrs.	ft ft		3
wet deeper plasticity, t Sample 4:	r, loose, moderate	569.78	5 3 3 2 5 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 0						
yellowish b Sample 6 analysis pe	arse Sand (SP) n, wet, dense to very ce silt	561.78	3 4 4 30 5 50/2"						
		-2							

\frown						
Illinois Depar	tme	nt		~		<u>1</u> of
of Transporta	ation	1		SC	DIL BORING LOG	
Division of Highways CH2M HILL					Date _	9/26/0
ROUTEI-74	DESCR	IPTION	Nev	w I-74	Bridge Over Mississippi River - Illinois Approach LOGGED BY	F. Abre
I-74 Bridge over Mississi ECTION River	ррі І	LOCAT		(N=56	3752.136, E=2459609.413), SEC. 32, TWP. 18N, RNG. 1	W, 4 th F
COUNTY Rock Island DRILL	ING ME	THOD		ł	HSA, CME 55 HAMMER TYPECME AUTO	OMATIC
	D	в	υ	м	Surface Water Elev. ft D B	UN
STRUCT. NO Station	E	L	c	0	Stream Bod Flow # E L	CC
	P	0	S	1	P O	S I
	Т			S	Groundwater Elev.:	5
ORING NOILR0302 Station	н		Qu	Т		Qu 1
Offset				1.152	Upon Completion ft	
Ground Surface Elev. 576.65	ft (ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion ft ft (ft) (/6") (ft	tsf) (%
					Weathered Sandstone	
opsoil ark brown	73 - 4	-				
5/5	.65				Top 2" medium to fine gravel 555.75	
ilt (ML)	_	3			fragments, possible lightly	
bark brown to yellow orange, dry, bose, little medium to fine sand		3	4.5		weathered rok with silt and clay,	
ose, indie medium to ime sand	1.1	4	P		wet, some coarse to fine sands	
		4			remainder: light gray with greenish	
ace coarse sand	-	5			gray streaks, dry, hard,	
	-	4	4.5		impermeable, silt with fine sands,	
		5	P		very strong cementation, possible	
	-	5	-		completely weathered sandstone (continued) -25	
					Borehole continued with rock	
	-	-			coring:	
.ean Clay > (ML-CL)	.65	4				
ittle gravel, medium brown with	-	4	1.5			
ellowish orange streaks, dry to			P.S			
noist, very loose to loose	_	3	P			
		4				
Sample 4 (8'-10'): Atterberg limit		2		1		
est performed (LL=35, PI=20)		1	1.5			
		2	P			
	▼-10	2			30	
	-					
564	5.65	1				
Very Silty Fine to Coarse Sand		1				
SM)	-		-		1 - 1	
Bray with mingled brown, little		-		-	1	
ravel, wet, possible gumbo, tried	-	-				
o obtain ST from 11' to 13' but	-	5				
coarse grained soil at 12'		-	-	-	4 - 1	
revented from push a full sample 562	2.65	7				
ag sample, coarse gravels and	<u> </u>	4				
ine cobbles from 12' to 13' (heavy	-18	5 14				
(inding)						
Sample 5 (13'-15'): grain size	. 45					
inalysis performed	0.45	36				
Clayey Fine to Coarse Sand (SC)	_	45	0.8		1 - 1	
ittle gravel, greenish gray with		50/4	1.0.0			
gray streaks, dry, trace fine sands,	-					
medium dense, possible	s 	50/5	-			
weathered rock Sample 6 (16'-18'): grain size	-	00/0		-	1	
analysis and Atterberg limit		-	1			
(LL=30, PI=19) tests performed	-	-	1			
Le oo, He to) tosto performed	-20	0			-40	

Illinois Depa	rtme	nt			Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
of Transport	ation	1		SC	DIL BORING LOG
ROUTE I-74	DESCR	IPTION	Nev	w I-74	Bridge Over Mississippi River - Illinois Approach LOGGED BY KB
I-74 Bridge over Mississi	ppi				3709.105, E=2459644.732), SEC. 32, TWP. 18N, RNG. 1W, 4 th PM
COUNTY Rock Island DRILL	ING ME	THOD		ŀ	HSA, CME 55 HAMMER TYPE CME AUTOMATIC
STRUCT. NO	P	L O W	U C S Qu	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev ft Stream Bed Elev ft Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter565.8 ft V
Offset		(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion ft
Ground Surface Elev. 576.78 Concrete	π [00	(/0)	(151)	(70)	After Hrs ft
Silty Sand (SM) grayish brown, slightly moist, very	1.78	1	0.6		
Campio il grani cizo antal joio	2.78	1			
performed Lean Clay (CL) brown to dark brown, moist, very loose	5	-	1.0 P		
Sample 2: Atterberg limit (LL=36, PI=21) test performed Rimac: Pu = 48 lbs		0	0.9		
Sample 3: Atterberg limit (LL=45, PI=24) test performed	_	0			
56	3.78 -10	23			
Silty Sand (SM) dark gray, moist to wet, medium dense, trace gravel	<u> </u>	2			
dense, lidee graver		12 50/5"			
563		00/0			
End of Boring		-			
		-			
	_				
	_				
	-20	0			

Illinois Depart of Transporta	me	nt		sc	Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
			No		Date <u>11/1/05</u>
ROUTE I-74 D	ESCR	IPTION	1	W 1-7-4	Approach LOGGED BY L. Hunt
I-74 Bridge over Mississip	oi I	LOCAT		(N=56	4067.532, E=2459567.858), SEC . 32, TWP . 18N, RNG . 1W, 4 th PM
COUNTY Rock Island DRILLI	NG ME	THOD		ŀ	HSA, CME 55 HAMMER TYPE CME AUTOMATIC
STRUCT. NO Station BORING NO Station Offset Ground Surface Elev574.20 ff	P T H	B L O W S (/6")	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. ft Stream Bed Elev. ft Groundwater Elev.: ft First Encounter ft Upon Completion ft After Hrs. ft
Clay (CL) little gravel, trace sand, dark brown, dry to moist, firm to					
stiff	-	6			
		4	1.3 P		
	_	4	P		
		WOH			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	_	1	0.9		
*****	-	1	P		
	5	2			
		1	0.6	13.0	
		1	P	15.0	
		2			
		WOH			
		WOH			
	-	8			
565.: Silty Clay (CL) trace sand and	20	16		-	-
gravel, gray mottled orange brown	-10	WOH WOH	0.3	37.0	
and dark brown, moist, soft to firm. Shelby tube sample T-1 from		WOH			
9'-11' from adjacent location					
having mc: 28%, dry density: 84.5pcf and UC: 920psf	-	WOH	0.8	-	-
64.5pci and OC. 920psi	-	50/3	0.8		
	-				
560.	70	50/2			
Borehole continued with rock coring.	_				
	1	5			
	-	-			
		1			
		1			
		1			
		-			
	-	-			
		-			
	-20	D			

Illinois Dep of Transpo	oartme	ent		sc	DIL BORING LO	G		Page	1	of <u>1</u>
Division of Highways									11/	
ROUTEI-74	DESC	RIPTION	N		Approach	L	OGGE	D BY	_L. F	lunt
I-74 Bridge over Miss SECTION River	sissippi	LOCAT		(N=56	3955.586, E=2459599.114), SEC .	32, TWP	. 18N,	RNG.	1W, 4	th PM
COUNTY Rock Island DF		ETHOD	_	1	HSA, CME 55 HAMME	R TYPE	CN	IE AU	TOMA	TIC
STRUCT. NO	— E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S	Surface Water Elev Stream Bed Elev Groundwater Elev.:	ft ft	D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S
Station Offset	H	S	Qu	Т	First Encounter 561.4	ft		S	Qu	Т
Ground Surface Elev. 573.45	ft (ff	:) (/6")	(tsf)	(%)		ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
Fill Clay (CL) trace gravel, sand, and brick, dark brown, dry to moist, homogeneous.	_	5			Shale Shale, gray, moist, homogeneous. (continued)		_			
1' of concrete, pavement, and		6	1.5				-			
gravel on top of sediment.	-	6	P				_			
Clay (CL)trace to little silt, trace		2								
sand, dark brown, dry to moist, stiff		23	1.6 P		No Sample.		_	50/0		
	-	-5 4					-25			
		23	1.0 P	Ň	0	547.45	5			
Silty Clay (CL, CL-ML) gray brown, mottled orange brown and dark brown, dry to moist, soft to firm	566.45	3 WOH 2 2	0.6 P			544.95				
	564.45	3			Auger refusal at 28.5'; end of borehole. End of Boring		_			
		10 1	0.4 P				30			
Sandy Clay to Sand (SC) Sandy Clay to Sand, gray, moist to wet		3	-		-		_			
Siltstone Siltstone, little sand,	560.45	13		_	-		-			
gray, moist, homogeneous.	_	7 9			-					
		16 15 30					-35			
							_			
	_						_			
Shale Shale, gray, moist,	554.45	50/4								
homogeneous.		20			-		-40			

Illinois Dep of Transpo Division of Highways CH2M HILL	rtati	on			SC	DIL BORING LOG
	DE	CO	DTION	Nev	n I-74	Bridge Over Mississippi River - Illinois Approach LOGGED BY SL
I-74 Bridge over Miss	sissippi					
SECTION River		_ L	OCAT	ION _	(N=56	4029.213, E=2459513.152), SEC. 32, TWP. 18N, RNG. 1W, 4 th F
COUNTY Rock Island DI	RILLING	ME	THOD		ŀ	HSA, CME 55 HAMMER TYPE CME AUTOMATIC
STRUCT. NO.		D	в	U	м	Surface Water Elev ft
STRUCT. NO		EP	L O	C S	0	Stream Bed Elev ft
BORING NO. PRMPD-05		Т	w		S	Groundwater Elev.:
Station		н	S	Qu	Т	First Encounter564.1_ft V
Offset Ground Surface Elev. 575.10	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion ft After Hrs ft
PAVEMENT - asphalt and base		. ,				
course	574.10	_				
SILT - black, with rubble (FILL)		_	4			
			6 5			
		-				
	571.60	_				
CLAY - medium gray to orange brown, slightly to medium plastic,			2			
medium stiff, moist		-	1	0.5 B	24.9	
		5	2	Б		
		-				
			1			
			2	0.7	38.9	
[Attempted Shelby tube at		-	1	В		
8.5'-10.5'; no recovery]	566.60	-				
SAND - red brown, fine grained,	000.00				_	
loose, wet						
		-10				
		<u> </u>			-	
[Attempted Shelby tube at 11'-13';		<u> </u>	1			
no recovery; followed up with SPT]		_	1			
SF1]	*	-	1		-	
	561.10	-	1			
SHALE - green gray, clayey,	501.10		3	1.4	23.6	
severely weathered		-15	13	В		
		_				
			12 41	1.4		
Borehole continued with rock	558.40		50/1"			
coring.			1	-		
			-			
		-				
		-20	1			

Division of Highways CH2M HILL	New I-74 Bridge C	Over Mississippi F	River - II	linois			ate	1.5
ROUTEI-74 I-74 Bridge over Missi	_ DESCRIPTION	Approach	_	_	_ LO	GGED	BY	SL
SECTION River	LOCATION (N=564029.21	3, E=2459513.15	2), SEC	. 32,	TWP.	18N, F	NG. 1W	, 4 th PM
COUNTY Rock Island CO	RING METHOD NQ Core				R E	R	CORE	S T
STRUCT. NO.	CORING BARREL TYPE & SIZE	NQ Wireline	D	C	C	i	T	R E
STRUCT. NO			— D E	C O	0 V	Q	M	N
			P	R	E	D	E	G
BORING NO. PRMPD-05 Station			T	E	R		. 11	Т
Offset	_		Н		Y			н
Ground Surface Elev. 575.10	ft		(ft)	(#)	(%)	(%)	(min/ft)	(tsf)
partings, conglomeratic at 17.5'-18. LIMESTONE - gray, fine grained, w and seams, locally stylolitic, hard, ti	ith occasional to some thin green shal hin to medium bedded, predominantly	557. e partings horizontal to	40	Run 1	82	23	1	
very low angle fractures, planar to s	slightly irregular, smooth to slightly roug	gh, fresh	-20		100	05	1.2	
					100	95	1.2	
	<u>s</u>			2	100	95	1.2	
				2	100	95	1.2	
				2	97	87	1.2	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty	Nolites at 28.3'-30.6'			Run 3				1081.2
				Run 3				1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites -minor pitting with some "birdseye"	at 30.6'-35.6'			Run Run	97	87	1	1081.2
-thick bedded, occasional stylolites	at 30.6'-35.6'			Run 3 Run 4	97	87	1	1081.2

Color pictures of the cores Yes

Cores will be stored for examination until

The "Strength" column represents the uniaxial compressive strength of the core sample (ASTM D-2938)

OUTE I-74 Bridge over Mississippi I-74 Bridge over Mississippi				D	ate g	7/07
OUTE I-74 DESCRIPTION Approach	ver - III	linois				
I-74 Bridde over Mississippi			_ LO	GGED	BY	SL
ECTION River LOCATION (N=564029.213, E=2459513.152), SEC	. 32,	TWP.	18N, R	NG. 1W	, 4 th PM
OUNTY Rock Island CORING METHOD NQ Core			R E	R	CORE	S T
TRUCT. NO. CORING BARREL TYPE & SIZE NQ Wireline	- D	с	C O	â		RE
TRUCT. NO. CORING BARREL TYPE & SIZE NQ Wireline Station 437+80.7 Core Diameter 1.8 in	E	õ	v		M	N
	P	R	E	D	E	G
	T	E	R			Т
Station Begin Core Elev. 558.40 π Offset	H		Y			н
Ground Surface Elev. 575.10 ft	(ft)	(#)	(%)	(%)	(min/ft)	(tsf)
IMESTONE - gray, fine grained, with occasional to some thin green shale partings		,			. ,	
nd seams, locally stylolitic, hard, thin to medium bedded, predominantly horizontal to ery low angle fractures, planar to slightly irregular, smooth to slightly rough, fresh continued)						
occasional soft rock-like green shale partings and clasts in limestone with fractures long shale, occasional pitting, at 38.9'-40.3'						
green rock-like shale seam with 85° fracture at 40.3'-40.8'	40					
medium gray, fine to medium grained, occasional shale partings						
and of Boring						
	45					
(())						
	_					
	_					
	_					
	-50					
	_					
	_					
	-55					
	-55					

Color pictures of the cores Yes
Cores will be stored for examination until

The "Strength" column represents the uniaxial compressive strength of the core sample (ASTM D-2938)



SOIL BORING LOG

									Date 7/1/10
ROUTE	F.A.I. 74	DES	SCRI	PTION	۱		I-74 Over Mississippi I	River LO	GGED BY JMB
SECTION	81B / 81-HVB		_ L	OCA1		NE 1/4	4, SEC. 32, TWP. 18N,	RNG. 1W, 4th P.M.	
	Rock Island D	RILLING	ME	THOD		Hol	low Stem Auger	_ HAMMER TYPE _	Auto
Station BORING NO. Station Offset Ground Surf	PRMP 6th D-03 424+49 16 Lt. ace Elev. 576.4	ft	D E T H	B L O W S (/6")	U C S Qu (tsf)		Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion After Hrs.	ft ft ⊥	
	rk brown, moist to o stiff, silty, lean	572.00	 2	3 4 4	0.65B	39			
moist, medium	iron staining visible, n, sandy, clayey e gravel, iron and		4	7333	C	19			
	medium, silty CLAY		10 	333		50			
Brown, wet, LI fragments Gray, weather clayey shale fi End of Boring	ed LIMESTONE,		14 — — 16 — — 18 —	7 13 10					



SOIL BORING LOG

								Date7/1/10
ROUTE	F.A.I. 74	DESC	riptio	N		I-74 Over Mississippi	River LO	GGED BY JMB
	81-1HVB		LOCA		NE¼ (of SEC. 32, TWP. 18N,	, RNG. 1W, 4th P.M.	
COUNTY	Rock Island D		IETHOD)	Ho	llow Stem Auger	HAMMER TYPE	Auto
Station BORING NO. Station Offset	081-6012 RW 03-1 425+60 9' Lt.	F 1 H	E L 9 0 W	U C S Qu	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter		$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$
Ground Surf	ace Elev. 574.2	ft(f	t) (/6")	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion After Hrs.		
ASPHALT		573.70						
FILL - Dark br	own, SILT with		-					
fine-grained s	and and gravel	2	11 6 6		7			
		570.70						
loose, very-fi	and gray, moist, ne to ed SAND and SILT	4	4 4 2	3.50P	19			
		6 567.20		0.32S 0.60P		0		
FILL - Gray, n medium-grain wood debris	noist, loose, silty, ed SAND with clay,			1.00P	22			
	wn mottles, silty,			0.37S	-	-		
lean CLAY wit	th fine-grained sand	10	Ð	0.29S 0.55S				
Gray, wet, ver	y soft, clayey SILT	563.20	1		62			
		12	- 4 - 7					
INTACT ROC		561.20 560.70						
End of Boring	0		50/0'					



CHANSON SOIL BORING LOG

						Date 7/1/10
ROUTE F.A.I. 74	_ DESCI	RIPTIO	N		I-74 Over Mississippi I	River LOGGED BY JMB
SECTION81-1HVB		LOCA		NE¼ (of SEC. 32, TWP. 18N,	RNG. 1W, 4th P.M.
COUNTY Rock Island DRI	LLING M	ETHOD		Ho	llow Stem Auger	HAMMER TYPE Auto
STRUCT. NO. 081-6012 Station	DE	L	U C	M O	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	
BORING NO. RW 03-2 Station 423+60	- P - T	w	S	I S	Groundwater Elev.:	
Offset 14' Rt.	H	S	Qu	Т	First Encounter	ft ft
Ground Surface Elev. 575.2	ft (ft) (/6")	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion After Hrs.	566.7 ft ⊻ ft
TOPSOIL /5	574.95	_				
medium stiff, silty, lean CLAY	-	3		26		
	2-	2				
	2	3				
ξ	571.70	_				
Dark gray, moist, soft to medium stiff, silty, lean CLAY with trace	4-	3	1.50P	21		
very-fine grained SAND		3				
	569.70					
Gray, wet, stiff, silty CLAY	6-		0.895	22		
	_			23		
			0.90B	24		
	8-			24		
	<u>-</u>			25		
	C(· ·	26		
	10-					
		7		9	-	
Brown, wet, dense, silty, fine- to	<u>563.70</u>	8				
medium-grained SAND and gravel with limestone fragments	12-	10				
	-	_				
	14-	10		13		
<u> </u>	14	18 23				
	-					
X	16-					
		20 23				
	-	24				
ع Very dark gray, WEATHERED	<u>557.20</u> 18-					
SHALE		25	2.23S	17		
Ę	555.70	50/5"				
End of Boring						



.







·











UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF INTACT ROCK CORE

CLIENT: CH2M HILL

JOB NO.: 07045052

PROJECT: INTERSTATE I-74 IMPROVEMENTS BETTENDORF, IOWA

DATE:

2/22/06

TEST NO.	5	6	7	8						
BORING NO,	PRMPD2	PRMPA1	RW1401	RW1401						
RUN NO.	1	2	5	5						
DEPTH (FT.)	171/2 –18	25 – 25 1/2	38 1/2 – 39	39 – 39 ½						
PREPARED CORE (IN.)	4.50	4.52	3.06	3.07						
ROCK DESCRIPITION (Note 1)	LIMESTONE	LIMESTONE	SHALE SANDSTONE	SHALE SANDSTONE						
MOISTURE CONTENT %	0.2	0.1	2.2	7.0						
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TESTS										
DIAMETER (IN.)	1.87	1.86	1.87	1.88						
AREA (SQ.IN.)	2.74	2.72	2.74	2.77						
L/D RATIO	2.4	2.4	1.6	1.6						
TOTAL LOAD (LBS.)	18,420	25,830	7,540	11,300						
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) (Note 2)	6,720	9,500	2,750	4,080						
TYPE FRACTURE	VERTICAL FRACTURE	VERTICAL FRACTURE	VERTICAL FRACTURE	VERTICAL FRACTURE						
DATE TESTED	2/21/06	2/21/06	2/21/06	2/21/06						
DENSITY (PCF)	157	162	130	122						

Note 1: Note 2: Rock type based on visual and tactile observation of core.

Tests No. 7 and 8 are below the L/D ratio of 2.0 to 2.5 stated in the ASTM 4543 Standard, compressive strength values may not be representative.



Checked By: GS






Unconfined Compression Test - Results









Phase calculations based on start of test.



* Saturation is set to 100% for phase calculations.



Thu, 16-DEC-2010 14:51:20

* Saturation is set to 100% for phase calculations.

CONSOLIDATION TEST DATA

SUMMARY REPORT



		*		*	Before Test	After Test
Overburden Pressure: 0 tsf				Water Content, %	24.59	21.82
Preconsolidation Pressure: 0 tsf				Dry Unit Weight, pcf	101.3	106.2
Compression Index: 2.54639e-313				Saturation, %	103.06	103.78
Diameter: 2.499 in Height: 0		Height: 0.9	196 in	Void Ratio	0.63	0.56
LL: 0	PL: 0	PI: 0	GS: 2.65			

	Project: 174	Location: Quad Cities	Project No.: 08H0120E				
	Boring No.: RW03-2	Tested By: Rin	Checked By: JCC				
~	Sample No.: 4-3	Test Date: 8/3/10	Depth: 9.0-9.2				
HANSON	Test No.: 1	Sample Type: Tube	Elevation:				
\mathbf{v}	Description: Red. & gray vf. sandy silty clay.						
	Remarks:						

Project: I74 Boring No.: RW03-2 Sample No.: 4-3 Test No.: 1 Location: Quad Cities Tested By: Rin Test Date: 8/3/10 Sample Type: Tube Project No.: 08H0120E Checked By: JCC Depth: 9.0-9.2 Elevation:

Soil Description: Red. & gray vf. sandy silty clay. Remarks:

	Applied	Final	Void	Strain	T50	Fitting	Coeff	cient of Cons	solidation
	Stress	Displacement	Ratio	at End	Sq.Rt.	Log	Sq.Rt.	Log	Ave.
	tsf	in		oto	min	min	in^2/sec	in^2/sec	in^2/sec
1	0.064	-0.0004536	0.633	-0.05	0.0	1.4	0.00e+000	5.83e-004	5.83e-004
2	0.125	-0.0005591	0.633	-0.06	14.4	0.0	5.67e-005	0.00e+000	5.67e-005
3	0.25	-0.0001238	0.633	-0.01	1.9	0.0	4.25e-004	0.00e+000	4.25e-004
4	0.5	0.001302	0.630	0.13	1.8	1.3	4.53e-004	6.38e-004	5.30e-004
5	1	0.004732	0.625	0.48	0.9	0.5	8.87e-004	1.61e-003	1.14e-003
6	2	0.01146	0.614	1.15	0.5	0.5	1.76e-003	1.66e-003	1.71e-003
7	4	0.01949	0.600	1.96	0.4	0.3	2.15e-003	2.46e-003	2.30e-003
8	1	0.01957	0.600	1.97	0.1	0.1	6.76e-003	1.50e-002	9.32e-003
9	0.25	0.01744	0.604	1.75	1.8	0.5	4.46e-004	1.70e-003	7.07e-004
10	0.064	0.01493	0.608	1.50	3.5	3.2	2.23e-004	2.46e-004	2.34e-004
11	0.125	0.01432	0.609	1.44	0.2	0.0	4.84e-003	0.00e+000	4.84e-003
12	0.25	0.01451	0.609	1.46	0.4	0.0	2.21e-003	0.00e+000	2.21e-003
13	0.5	0.01543	0.607	1.55	0.3	0.5	2.31e-003	1.64e-003	1.92e-003
14	1	0.01642	0.605	1.65	0.5	0.3	1.58e-003	2.55e-003	1.95e-003
15	2	0.01816	0.603	1.82	0.3	0.0	2.43e-003	0.00e+000	2.43e-003
16	4	0.02103	0.598	2.11	0.3	0.1	2.30e-003	8.23e-003	3.59e-003
17	8	0.02978	0.584	2.99	0.5	0.3	1.70e-003	2.39e-003	1.99e-003
18	16	0.04281	0.562	4.30	0.3	0.3	2.19e-003	2.82e-003	2.47e-003
19	32	0.05842	0.537	5.87	0.2	0.2	3.17e-003	4.02e-003	3.55e-003
20	8	0.05805	0.537	5.83	0.0	0.0	3.65e-002	8.64e-002	5.13e-002
21	2	0.05587	0.541	5.61	0.2	0.2	3.19e-003	4.48e-003	3.73e-003
22	0.5	0.05185	0.547	5.21	1.8	1.4	4.01e-004	5.31e-004	4.57e-004
23	0.125	0.04762	0.554	4.78	6.5	0.0	1.14e-004	0.00e+000	1.14e-004
24	0.064	0.04585	0.557	4.60	36.4	28.1	2.04e-005	2.64e-005	2.30e-005



Engineering | Architecture | Planning | Allied Services

