STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

Proposed SN 037-0177

Existing SN 037-0072

IL Route 78 over Indian Creek Route: FAP 22 (IL 78) Section: (14BR-1)BR Henry County

> PTB 146 - ITEM 26 P-94-004-01 Contract 68637

Prepared By: Adam D. Bohnhoff, P.E. Lin Engineering Ltd. 210 W. Chestnut Chatham, IL 62629 (217) 483-4168

Date: November 2010 (REVISED January 2011)

Prepared For: Michael Haley, P.E., S.E. Lin Engineering Ltd. 210 W. Chestnut Chatham, IL 62629 217-483-4168 Exhibits:A) Location Map

- B) Boring Locations
- C) Subsurface Data Profile
- D) Boring Logs
- E) Pile Design Table
- F) Slope Stability Graph

Project Description and Proposed Structure Information

The project consists of replacing an existing 113.5' long and 35.7' wide three-span bridge with a new 120.0' long and 39.2' wide three-span structure. The proposed bridge includes integral abutments and solid wall encased pile bent piers which are skewed left ahead 15 degrees.

The project site lies on the dividing line of Section 27 and 28, Range 5E, Township 14N, in the 4th Principal Meridian about 4 miles south of Kewanee. A *Location Map* is included in Exhibit A.

The new bridge abutments and piers will be located beyond the limits of the existing bridge footprint in order to avoid conflict between existing and proposed foundations. Traffic will be maintained utilizing staged construction. The proposed profile grade is slightly changed from existing conditions from -0.20% to -0.30%.

Existing Information

SN 037-0072 was originally constructed in 1958. Substructure elements include stub abutments on concrete piles and solid wall piers with a pile cap and untreated timber piles. Primary superstructure elements include five reinforced concrete T-beams that have a haunched web over the piers and a reinforced concrete deck. In 1984, repairs were made to the bridge which included: replacing deck joints, deck patching, new bituminous overlay, and new tubular steel thrie beam railing. Existing IL Route 78 over the structure has a horizontal tangent alignment and a constant -0.20% grade looking upstation.

The 1958 original design plans show that untreated timber piles with 20 ton capacity were used at the piers and the estimated pile length was 18 feet. Also, 32 ton capacity concrete piles approximately 37 feet long were used at the abutments. According to the boring logs provided in the same design plans the piles terminated in a layer of dense gray sand with a trace of gravel at an approximate elevation of \pm 700. A similarly described and located layer exists in the new boring logs.

Site Investigation, Subsurface Exploration and Generalized Subsurface Conditions

The site is located in a rural setting primarily surrounded by agricultural fields. The channel is a natural drainage way and has a brush/timber lining on either side. Flow in the channel is generally from west to east. There are no known utilities that would conflict with construction.

The subsurface investigation consisted of four borings (B-1 through B-4) drilled by Testing Service Corporation, in September 2010. B-1 and B-4 were taken near the proposed north and south abutment locations respectively; B-2 and B-3 were taken near the proposed pier locations. *Boring Locations* can be found in Exhibit B.

Boring B-1 was taken 29 feet right of the centerline at station 93+35, B-2 was taken 30 feet left of the centerline at the station 94+20, B-3 was drilled at station 94+65 and 35 feet right of centerline, and B-4 was taken 35 feet left of centerline at station 95+15.

Beginning at the ground surface, standard penetration tests (SPT) were conducted every 2.5 feet to a depth of 30 feet and at 5 foot intervals thereafter according to AASHTO T 206 and the IDOT Geotechnical Manual. All four borings were terminated in shale bedrock approximately 53 to 58 feet below the ground surface. The three borings from the original bridge design plans stopped about 36 to 41 feet below the surface; therefore, the shale bedrock layer was not originally encountered. The borings from the original bridge design plans and the new borings are relatively consistent.

The borings generally encountered about 8 to 16 feet of silty clay loam layers having Q_u values of less than 0.25 to 2.75 tsf, SPT (N) values ranging from 2 to 12 blows per foot, and moisture contents ranging between 13% and 33%. Then approximately 31 to 39 feet of sand and silty loam layers were met with N values ranging from 0 to 46 blows per foot. Lastly the borings encountered the shale layer with N values over 100 and moisture contents ranging between 8% and 15%.

Further descriptions of the soil conditions encountered in the borings are presented in the *Boring Logs* attached in Exhibit D and the *Subsurface Data Profile* in Exhibit C.

Geotechnical Evaluations

Settlement. There are no existing settlement issues at the site. Since there is only a miniscule profile grade change and given the use of driven pile foundations it is not expected that settlement issues will be present.

Approach Slab. Based on the available boring data, the approach slab will rest on adequate material with bearing capacities above the required 2 k.s.f.

Slope Stability. Stability analyses using Bishop's Method were performed for both the abutments using a 22 ft high 2H:1V end slope model which rendered a factor of safety of 3.1. No slope stability problems are expected.

Seismic Considerations. Based on the method described in the IDOT AGMU Memo 09.1 (LRFD Seismic Soil Site Class Definition), Soil Site Class D controls. The Design Spectral Acceleration at 1.0 sec (S_{D1}) is 0.098g and at 0.2 sec (S_{Ds}) is 0.151g. These values are based on a 1000 year design return period earthquake. According to AASHTO LRFD 3.10.6 the Seismic Performance Zone is 1 based on the 1.0 second design spectral acceleration.

Liquefaction. A liquefaction analysis was performed using the worksheet provided by IDOT BBS Central Geotechnical Unit. A design earthquake mean magnitude of 6.26 was realized using the USGS data and deaggregation methods provided at <u>http://eqint.cr.usgs.gov/deaggint/2008/</u>. The soil profiles were analyzed using the IDOT liquefaction spreadsheet and the results indicated that the factor of safety against liquefiable soil layers was adequate. As a result, there are no capacity reductions for pile design due to liquefaction.

Scour. The design scour elevations are presented in the table below and are subject to refinement in the final design. Design scour elevation at the abutments is equal to the proposed bottom of abutment elevation. Pier scour was checked using the 100 year

condition considering a proposed ground elevation of 728.7 with a total pier scour of 7.26 feet, however, the controlling elevation is the bottom of encasement at 716.99. Streambed material consists of Silty Clay Loam with $Q_u < 0.50$ TSF and Sandy soils below. Following the IDOT BM Section 2.3.6.3.2 the scour depth should be taken as 100% and no reductions are recommended. Appropriately sized riprap end slope protection should be utilized.

Design Scour	North Abutment	Pier 1	Pier 2	South Abutment
Elevation (ft.)	736.4	716.99	716.99	736.0

Mining Activity. A review of The Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) "Directory of Coal Mines in Illinois" for Henry County indicates that no mining activity has been present at the project location.

Foundation Evaluations and Design Recommendations

At each substructure location the preliminary factored loads are estimated to be:

Abutments	Piers	
Vertical = 908 kips Longitudinal = 78 kips Lateral = 21 kips	Vertical = 1800 kips Longitudinal = 113 kips Lateral = 31 kips	

Abutments. It is planned that integral abutments will be used since they are highly desired in order to eliminate bridge joints. Driven pile foundations are required for integral abutments. Closed abutments are typically not cost effective, especially on stream crossing situations. Shallow foundations with closed or semi-integral abutments would be cost-prohibitive and undesirable due to the soil conditions. Drilled shafts would cause the integral abutment option to get replaced with stub abutments which would introduce unwanted expansion joints to the bridge.

Given the above loadings and the desire to have a jointless bridge, integral abutments with driven H-piles or Metal Shell Piles is the most appropriate foundation type for this structure. Section 2.3.6.2.1 of the IDOT Bridge Manual permits the use of 14" Metal Shell and H-piles for bridges between 90 and 200 feet long. Since it is not certain that friction piles can achieve adequate resistance prior to encountering rock it is recommended that H-Piles driven to rock be utilized. Pile types and estimated lengths are presented in Exhibit E. The estimated lengths include a 2 foot embedment into the abutment and are based on top of pile elevations of 738.4 at the north abutment, and 738.0 at the south abutment. Analyses have been performed using the Modified IDOT Static Method for estimating nominal pile resistance.

Pile response to lateral loads was examined using a fixed connection to the abutment and a range of lateral loads was applied with a maximum of 15 kips. The estimated maximum deflections are 0.38" and 0.22" at the north and south abutments respectively. See Exhibit C for the appropriate soil parameters to be applied in the soil-structure interaction model. P-multipliers were not used in the preliminary design; the designer may elect to perform a more detailed lateral load analysis as necessary. Liquefaction, and scour reductions are not considered and are not included in the pile capacities given in the Pile Design Table.

Piers. It is planned that solid wall pile bent piers will be used. Shallow foundations would be cost-prohibitive and undesirable due to the soil conditions. Drilled shafts were not considered because the amount of drilling and construction makes them uneconomical when compared to driven piles. Driven H-piles or Metal Shell Piles are the most appropriate foundation type for this type of pier. Since it is not certain that friction piles can achieve adequate resistance prior to encountering rock it is recommended that H-Piles driven to rock be utilized. Pile types and estimated lengths are presented in Exhibit E. The estimated lengths include a 1 foot embedment into the pier cap and are based on top of pile elevations of 738.2. Analyses have been performed using the Modified IDOT Static Method for estimating nominal pile resistance.

Pile response to lateral loads was examined using a range of lateral loads with a maximum of 15 kips. The estimated maximum deflections are 0.68" at the piers. See Exhibit C for the appropriate soil parameters to be applied in the soil-structure interaction model. P-multipliers were not used in the preliminary design; the designer may elect to perform a more detailed lateral load analysis as necessary. Liquefaction, and scour reductions are not considered and are not included in the pile capacities given in the Pile Design Table.

Construction Considerations

Stage Construction. Traffic is expected to be maintained using stage construction. The new structure will be constructed beyond the limits of the existing bridge. Temporary sheet piling is determined to be feasible, IDOT Design Guide 3.13.1 shall be used to compute the minimum required section modulus and embedment depth. The estimated retained height is 7 feet with an approximate dredge line elevation of 736.

Cofferdams and Underwater Structure Excavation Protection. While drilling, groundwater was encountered near elevation 718 at the pier borings, and about 721 at the abutment borings. The local water surface elevation was recorded as elevation 720.8. Following Section 2.3.6.4.2 of 2009 IDOT Bridge Manual the estimated water surface elevation (EWSE) is computed to be around 723.38 for the assumed construction season. It is recommended that underwater structure excavation protection be utilized at the pier locations to divert water during high flow conditions.

Foundation Construction. It is anticipated that the solid wall encased bent piers will require underwater structure excavation protection systems. Each substructure 'unit should utilize pile encasements. Bottom of abutment elevations are estimated to be 736.4 for the north abutment and 736.0 for the south abutment, and bottom of pier wall elevations are around 720 for each pier. The rock line is pretty well defined in the boring logs so only one test pile at one abutment and one test pile at one pier is recommended. To prevent the risk of damage from hard driving pile shoes are recommended.

Limitations

The recommendations provided herein are for the exclusive use of IDOT and Maurer-Stutz Inc. They are specific only to the project described, and are based on subsurface information obtained at boring locations within the bridge area, our understanding of the project as described herein, and geotechnical engineering practice consistent with the standard of care. No other warranty is expressed or implied. Lin Engineering should be contacted if conditions encountered during construction are not consistent with those described.





IL 78 over Indian Creek SN 037-0177

Lin Engineering, Ltd.

EXHIBIT B – BORING LOCATIONS



7 of 24

IL 78 over Indian Creek SN 037-0177

EXHIBIT C – SUBSTRUCTURE DATA PROFILE

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Testing Service Corporation Page 1 of 2 STRUCTURE BORING LOG Date <u>9/8/10</u>						
ROUTE IL 78 DESCRIPT	ION Bridge Over Indian Creek					
SECT. (14-BR-1)BR ST	RUCT. NO. 037-0177 DRILLED BY <u>B. V</u>	Villiamson				
COUNTY Henry LOCATIO	NS2 <u>7/2</u> 8, TWP14	N, RNG <u>5E</u>				
Boring No. B-1 N. Abutment D Station 93+35 E Offset 29.00ft RT P Surface Elev. 742.10 ft	B Surface Water Elev. 720.8 L Groundwater Elev.: E O when drilling 720.1 W Qu W S tsf	L O W Qu W				
Stiff brown SILTY CLAY	S tsf % after Hrs. Medium dense gray fine to	S tsf %				
LOAM, moist	medium SAND, saturated	4				
	2 P 21 2 1.25 2					
· · · _		-				
· · _	2 B 25 2 1.35	6 5				
	-					
	2 B 21 2 1.56 3 Loose gray SILT very moist					
734.10	3 Loose gray SILT, very moist					
Stiff dark brown SILTY CLAY LOAM, moist to very	2 P 19 -	4 24				
moist	3 1.0 3	2				
	0 P 33 2 1.0 3 Stiff gray SILTY LOAM					
	3 Stiff gray SILTY LOAM,					
	1 B 20					
	2 1.37	3 P 20 4 1.25				
<u>-15</u>		5				
Very loose dark brown	1 24	r				
	1					
Very loose gray SANDY						
LOÁM, very moist to wet		3 P 20 6 1.75				
		10				
	1 28					
gray fine to medium SAND,	0 2	4 P 11				
-25	2	4 1.5 4				
SPT. (N) = Sum of last two blow values in <u>Stations. Depths. Offset. and Elevations a</u>	sample. (Qu) B=Bulge S=Shear P=Penetration Test	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Testing Service Corporation STRUCTURE BORING LOG Page 2 of 2 Date <u>9/8</u>/10 STRUCTURE NO. <u>037-0177</u> ROUTE <u>IL 78</u>_____ SECTION (14-BR-1)BR COUNTY Henry B-1 N. Abutment Boring No. D В 93+35 29.00ft RT Station Ē P T L O W Offset W Qu Elevation 692.10 ft Н S tsf % Stiff gray SILTY LOAM, moisť 689.10 Medium dense gray fine to medium SAND, saturated 4 10 10 687.10 54 Very dense gray SHALE with limestone fragments 33 100/3" 684.10 End of Boring at 58.0' -Auger Refusal -60 -75 SPT. (N) = Sum of last two blow values in sample. (Qu) B=Bulge S=Shear P=Penetration Test Stations. Depths. Offset, and Elevations are in Feet

IL 78 over Indian Creek SN 037-0177

ILLI		Testing \$	Servi	OF TRANSPORTATION ce Corporation BORING LOG		Page 1 of 2 Date9/7/10
ROUTE <u>IL 78</u> DESCR	IPTION	Bridge O	ver Ind	dian Creek		
SECT. (14-BR-1)BR	STRUCT	г. NO. <u>03</u>	7-017	7 DRILLED BY	B. Wil	liamson
COUNTY <u>Henry</u> LOCA				S <u>27/28,</u> TWP.	14N	_ , RNG. <u>5E</u>
Boring No. B-2 N. Pier Station 94+20 Offset 30.00ft LT Surface Elev. 730.50 ft	D E E L P C T W H S	D V Qu	W %	Surface Water Elev. <u>720.8</u> Groundwater Elev.: when drilling <u>717.5</u> at Completion <u></u> after Hrs. <u></u>	D E P T H	B L O W Qu W S tsf %
Medium stiff dark brown			70	Very stiff gray SILTY LOAM,		3 ISI 70
SILTY CLAY LOAM, moist		1.0	24	moist		3 S 13 7 2.64 10
727.50 Soft dark brown SILTY CLAY LOAM, very moist		<0.25	29	702.50 Medium dense to loose gray fine to medium SAND, saturated		3 6 11
724.50 Very soft brown-gray SILTY CLAY LOAM, moist to very moist		2 <0.25	25			
-	1 1 2	<0.25	27		-35	3 6 9
Tree root in Sample 5. 717.50		>	24			
Medium dense brown fine to medium SAND, saturated	2 7 6	•				3 1 4
12" Blow-in sand at 16' - Washed out.				11' Blow-in sand at 43.5' - Washed out.		ł
12" Blow-in sand at 18.5' - Washed out. -		0		686.50 Very dense gray SHALE with limestone fragments		23 10 100/3"
709.50 Very stiff gray SILTY LOAM, moist			11	N. Contraction of the second sec		
	3 3 3	2.56	10		-50	100/5" 15
SPT. (N) = Sum of last two blow values in sample. (Qu) B=Bulge S=Shear P=Penetration Test Stations, Depths, Offset, and Elevations are in Feet						

ILL.	INOIS DEF Te ST	PARTI sting : RUCT	MEN Servi TURE	OF TRANSPORTATION ce Corporation BORING LOG	Date	Page 2 of 2 9/7/10
STRUCTURE NO. <u>037-0177</u> ROUTE <u>IL 78</u> SECTION <u>(14-BR-1)BR</u> COUNTY <u>Henry</u>						
Boring No. B-2 N. Pier Station 94+20 Offset 30.00ft LT Elevation 680.50 ft	D B E L P O T W H S	Qu tsf	W %			
Very dense gray SHALE with limestone fragments		<u> </u>				
End of Boring at 53.0' - Auger Refusal	40 					
SPT. (N) = Sum of last two blow valu				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	NOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Testing Service Corporation STRUCTURE BORING LOG	Page 1 of 2 Date9/9/10
ROUTE <u>IL 78</u> DESCF	IPTION Bridge Over Indian Creek	
SECT. (14-BR-1)BR	STRUCT. NO. 037-0177 DRILLED BY _E	3. Williamson
COUNTY Henry LOCA	TION S2 <u>7/28,</u> TWP	<u>14N</u> , RNG. <u>5E</u>
Boring No. B-3 S. Pier Station 94+65 Offset 35.00ft RT Surface Elev. 729.80 ft	D B Surface Water Elev. 720.8 E L Groundwater Elev.: 717.8 P O when drilling 717.8 T W Qu W at Completion H S tsf % after	D B E L P O T W Qu W H S tsf %
Soft dark brown SILTY	H S tsf % after Hrs. Hrs.	H S tsf %
CLAY LOAM, moist		
	1 0.5 12" Blow-in sand at 26' - Washed out.	3 P 14 9 2.5 10
	Medium dense gray fine to 3 P 23 2 0.5 36" Blow-in sand at 28.5' - -5 3 Washed out.	5 6 -30 14
723.80 Very soft brown-gray SILTY CLAY LOAM, moist	0 P 24 2 <0.25697.80 1	
	SAND, saturated	
718.80 Loose brown fine to medium SAND, saturated		
9" Blow-in sand at 16.0' - Washed out. 712.80	$ \begin{array}{c} $	13 P 16 20 4.5+ 26
Medium dense to dense brown fine to coarse SAND, saturated 12" Blow-in sand at 18.5' - Washed out.	12686.80 Very dense gray SHALE 13 20 15	
12" Blow-in sand at 21' - Washed out. 706.80	<u>-20</u> 15 <u>-</u> 15 <u>-</u> 15 <u>-</u> 17 <u>-</u> 17	
Very stiff gray SILTY LOAM, moist	$ \begin{array}{c} - & 5 & P & 10 \\ - & 7 & 2.0 \\ - & 7 & 7 \\ - & 7 & 7 \\ \end{array} $	<u></u>
SPT. (N) = Sum of last two blow valu Stations, Depths, Offset, and Elevation	es in sample. (Qu) B=Bulge S=Shear P=Penetration Test	

	NOIS DEI Te ST	PARTN esting { RUCT	/EN Servi URE	COF TRANS ce Corporati BORING LO	SPORTA ion OG	TION	Date	Page 2 9/9/	of 2 10
STRUCTURE NO. <u>037-0177</u> ROUTE <u>IL 78</u> SECTION <u>(14-BR-1)BR</u> COUNTY <u>Henry</u>									
Boring No. B-3 S. Pier Station 94+65 Offset 35.00ft RT Elevation 679.80 ft	D B E L P O T W H S	Qu tsf	W %						
Very dense gray SHALE			70						
Very dense gray of IALL									
674.80	21 100/3" 55 		8					·	
End of Boring at 55.0' - Auger Refusal									
-	60 								
		-							
· · · ·								r	
-	 	•							
				×					
	<u>-75</u> es in sample	e. (Qu)	B=Bu	l Ige S=Shear F	>=Penetrati	on Test			

IL 78 over Indian Creek SN 037-0177

IL	LINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Testing Service Corporation STRUCTURE BORING LOG	Page 1 of 2 Date <u>9/8/10</u>		
ROUTE <u>IL 78</u> DESC	RIPTION Bridge Over Indian Creek			
SECT. (14-BR-1)BR	STRUCT. NO. 037-0177 DRILLED BY B. W	illiamson		
COUNTY <u>Henry</u> LOC	ATION	L_, RNG. <u>5E</u>		
Boring No. B-4 S. Abutment Station 95+15 Offset 35.00ft LT	DBSurface Water Elev.720.8DELGroundwater Elev.:EPOwhen drilling721.7PTWQuWat CompletionT	B L O W Qu W		
Surface Elev. 732.70 ft	H S tsf % after Hrs H	S tsf %		
Very stiff brown SILTY CLAY LOAM, moist	Very stiff gray SILTY LOAM, moist			
729.7(4 P 16 4 2.0	7 P 13 7 2.0 8		
Very stiff to stiff dark brown SILTY CLAY LOAM, moist	3 P 26 12" Blow-in sand at 28.5' -	6 S 11 6 2.52 7		
	2 P 25 3 1.75 4 Very loose gray fine to			
724.70 Very loose brown-gray SANDY LOAM, moist	medium SAND, saturated 1 17 12" Blow-in sand at 33.5' - 1 Washed out.	0		
Loose to very loose gray fine to medium SAND, saturated	-10 2 -35 696.70 - 1 Dense gray fine to coarse - 3 SAND, saturated -			
		7 11 15 16		
716.70 Very soft gray SILTY CLAY LOAM, very moist	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	r		
· · ·	2 P 30 2 <0.25 	3 18 100/5"		
711.70 Medium dense gray fine to medium SAND, saturated	6 10 12			
709.70 Stiff to very stiff gray SILTY LOAM, moist		100/5"		
SPT. (N) = Sum of last two blow values in sample. (Qu) B=Bulge S=Shear P=Penetration Test Stations. Depths. Offset, and Elevations are in Feet				

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **Testing Service Corporation** Page 2 of 2 STRUČTURE BORING LOG Date _____9/8/10 STRUCTURE NO. <u>037-0177</u> ROUTE <u>IL 78</u> SECTION <u>(14-BR-1)BR</u> COUNTY <u>Henry</u> B-4 S. Abutment Boring No. DEPT В 95+15 Station _ L Offset 35.00ft LT ō Ŵ W Qu Elevation 682.70 ft Н s tsf % Very dense gray SHALE 33 100/4" 13 679.20 End of Boring at 53.5' -Auger Refusal -75 SPT. (N) = Sum of last two blow values in sample. (Qu) B=Bulge S=Shear P=Penetration Test Stations. Depths. Offset, and Elevations are in Feet

MODIFIED IDOT STATIC METHOD OF ESTIMATING PILE LENGTH Modified 5/3/2010

I.D.O.T. BBS FOUNDATIONS AND GEOTECHNICAL UNIT

MAX. REQUIRED BEARING & RESISTANCE for Selected Pile, Soil Profile, & Losses

Maximum Nominal	Maximum Nominal	Maximum Factored	Maximum Pile
Reo'd Bearing of Pile	Req.d Bearing of Boring	Resistance Available in Boring	Driveable Length in Boring
454 KIPS	454 KIPS	250 KIPS	53 Below Boring

SUBSTRUCTURE====================================
GROUND SURFACE ELEV. AT BORING =======742.10 FT.
PILE CUTOFF ELEV. ====================================
GROUND SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRIV 733340 FT. GROUND WATER ELEVATION====================================
HAMMER EFFICIENCY====================================
LRFD or ASD or SEISMIC

 10 JAL FACTORED SUBSTRUCTURE LOAD
 9008 KIPS

 TOTAL WIDTH OF SUBSTRUCTURE
 40.655 FT.

 NUMBER OF ROWS OF PILES PER SUBSTRUCTURE =
 40.655 FT.

 Approx. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 8 ft. Cts =====
 179.14 KIPS

 Approx. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 3 ft. Cts =====
 67.18 KIPS

 PILE TYPE AND SIZE
 Steel HP 10:X 57

 Plugged Pile Perimeter
 3.365
 FT.
 Unplugged Pile Perimeter
 4.883
 FT.

 Plugged Pile End Bearing Area
 0.708
 SQFT.
 Unplugged Pile End Bearing Area
 0.117
 SQFT.

BOT. OF		UNCONF;	S.P.T.	GRANULÄR	NOMINAL PLUGGED		NOMINAL UNPLUGID			NOMINAL	FACTORED NOMINAL GEOTECH.		FACTORED GEOTECH. FACTORED	ESTIMATED	
LAYER ELEV. (FT.)	LAYER THICK. (FT.)	COMPR. STRENGTH (TSF.)	N VALUE (BLOWS)	OR ROCK LAYER DESCRIPTION	SIDE RESIST. (KIPS)	END BRG. RESIST. (KIPS)	TOTAL RESIST. (KIPS)	SIDE RESIST. (KIPS)	END BRG. RESIST. (KIPS)	TOTAL RESIST. (KIPS)	REQ'D BEARING (KIPS)	LOSS FROM SCOUR or DD (KIPS)	LOSS LOAD FROM DD	RESISTANCE AVAILABLE	PILE LENGTH
730.90 722.40 722.90 722.90 717.50 717.50 640 701.40 696.40 688.40 688.40 688.40 688.40	2.50 3.50 2.00 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 4.00	125 125 125 175 150	1149 m 4 12 0 A	Very The Sity Sand Very The Sity Sand Very The Sity Sand Medium Sand Medium Sand Very The Sity Sand Shale Shale	(XIP3) 12:0 21:3 0.4 0.5 0.8 1.2 4.0 5.4 2:1 28:5 38:9 4.4 42:0 42:0	9.1 2.6 4.0 7.1 23.0 19.4 5.3 8.3 88.3 88.3 88.3 88.3	(1273) 21.1 35.9 36.4 38.2 42.1 59.2 59.7 50.9 56.0 87.8 56.0 87.8 722.2 182.9 243.9 243.9 243.9 243.9 243.9 243.9	(NCS) 17.4 30.9 0.6 0.8 1.1 1.8 5.3 7.8 3.0 41.3 52.2 56.4 60.9 60.9	1.5 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4	18.9 48.7 49.4 50.3 52.0 56.4 61.6 67.1 70.7 112.5 124.5 224.6 240.3 301.2 362.2	(707-57) 19. 36. 36. 38. 42. 56. 60. 51. 56. 88. 122. 183. 285. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 328. 329. 32. 32. 32. 32. 32. 32. 32. 32		(KIPS) C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	(KUPS) 10 20 21 23 31 33 28 31 48 67 101 152 157 180	(FT.) 8 11 13 16 18 21 23 27 32 37 42 48 50 51 52 51
·										ì			. •		
	10/22/20	10				' Pile I	Length vs.	Capacity	y Analysis				B-1_Modified	IDOT Pile Leng	th.xls

MODIFIED IDOT STATIC METHOD OF ESTIMATING PILE LENGTH Modified 5/3/2010

I.D.O.T. BBS FOUNDATIONS AND GEOTECHNICAL UNIT SUBSTRUCTURE Pier1 REFERENCE BORING B21 GROUND SURFACE ELEV. AT BORING 730:50 FT. GROUND SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRIV GROUND SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRIV 716:99 FT. GROUND WATER ELEVATION FT. HAMMER EFFICIENCY 722:10 FT. HAMMER SEFFICIENCY 73%

MAX. REQUIRED BEARING &	RESISTANCE for Selected Pile, Soil Profile, & Losses

Maximum Nominal	Maximum Nominal	Maximum Factored	Maximum Pile.
Req'd Bearing of Pile	Req.d Bearing of Boring	Resistance Available in Boring	Driveable Length in Boring
454 KIPS	447 KIPS	246 KIPS	57 FT.

LRFD LRFD or ASD or SEISMIC === TOTAL FACTORED SUBSTRUCTURE LOAD 1800 KIPS TOTAL WIDTH OF SUBSTRUCTURE ======40.55 FT. NUMBER OF ROWS OF PILES PER SUBSTRUCTURE ==______1

Approx. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 8 ft. Cts ===== 355.13 KIPS Approx. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 3 ft. Cts ===== 133.17 KIPS

PILE TYPE AND SIZE =====

 YPE AND SIZE
 Steel HP 10 X 57

 Plugged Pile Perimeter===========
 3.385 FT,
 Unplugged Pile Perimeter=========

 Plugged Pile End Bearing Area=====
 0.708 SQFT,
 Unplugged Pile End Bearing Area====

BOT. OF LAYER ELEV. (FT.)	LAYER THICK. (FT.)	UNCONF. COMPR. STRENGTH (TSF.)	S.P.T. N VALUE (BLOWS)	GRANULAR DR ROCK LAYER DESCRIPTION		MINAL PLU END BRG. RESIST. (KIPS)	GGED TOTAL RESIST. (KIPS)	NOM SIDE RESIST. (KIPS)	MINAL UNPLU END BRG. RESIST. (KIPS)	JG'D TOTAL RESIST. (KIPS)	NOMINAL REQ'D BEARING (KIPS)	FACTORED GEOTECH. LOSS FROM SCOUR or DD (KIPS)	FACTORED GEOTECH. LOSS LOAD FROM DD (KIPS)	FACTORED RESISTANCE AVAILABLE (KIPS)	ESTIMATED PILE LENGTH (FT.)	
(***) 714.49 710.80 705.80 705.80 693.80 693.80 693.80 693.80 684.80 684.80 684.80 684.80 684.80 684.80 684.80 684.80 684.80 684.80 684.80		(184)	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	Fine Sand Fine Sand Fine Sand Fine Sand Shale Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha Sha	(10/2) 8.9 11.1 22.1 18.9 11.0 9.2 3.5 42.0 4	(KPS) 34.1 16.6 28.3 88.3 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3 28.3	(705) 44.0 57.7 87.3 176.2 121.9 171.7 194.6 320.6 320.6 320.6 320.6 320.6 320.6 352.6 404.5 404.5 404.5	(10-5) 10-1 16-1 32-9 33.5 27.4 15.9 33.5 13.4 5.0 9 60.9 60.9 60.9 60.9 60.9 60.9 60.9	(1,3-5) 5.6 2.7 1.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5 14.5	(KH-S) 15.7 28.9 61.9 95.5 120.5 140.5 150.7 140.5 150.7 140.5 150.7 151.7 122.8 229.8 229.8 229.7 351.7 412.6 473.6 534.5 595.5 656.4	(IUSS) 16 29 58 81 115 122 112 230 279 321 363 405 534			(KP/S) 9 16 32 45 64 61 93 126 153 126 153 176 199 223 245 292	(P17) 24 28 30 33 35 40 45 51,5 52,5 52,5 52,5 54,5 55,5 56,5 56,5 56,5 56,5 56,5 56	
	10/22/20	10				'Pile I	Length vs.	Capacitj	y Analysis				B-2_Modified	IDOT Pile Leng	jth.xls	

EXHIBIT E - PILE DESIGN TABLE

	JRE======= BORING ===			Pier 2 B-3			MAX. F	EQUIRED	BEARIN	IG & RES	STANCE for	Selected Pil	e, Soil Profil	e, & Losses
OUTOFF IND SUR IND WAT	ELEV. ==== RFACE ELEV TER ELEVA ICIENCY===	/. AGAIN TION===	RING	722.10 7:	FT. FT. FT. %		Req'd Be	m Nominal anng of <u>Pile</u> KIPS	Req.d Be	um Nominal aning of <u>Borin</u> KIPS	Resistance Av	I Factored ailable in <u>Borinc</u> KIPS	Driveable Le	rum Pile ingth in <u>Borinc</u> FT.
L WIDTH ER OF F Approx Approx TYPE AN Plugge Plugge ECHNIC	I OF SUBST ROWS OF P C Factored L C Factored L D SIZE ==== ad Pile Perím ad Pile End E AL LOSS TO	RUCTUR ILES PEF oading A oading A eter==== Bearing A	rea====== 0.708	40.55 1 2ts 2ts FT. SQFT.	FT. = 355.13 = 133.17 Unplugg	KIPS ed Pile Pe		Area===						
		R, LIQUE	e, Scour, Liquef., DD) EF., or DD ======== ibove apply DD) ==== GRANULAR OR ROCK LAYER DESCRIPTION	0.00	FT.		NOJ SIDE RES/ST. (KIPS)	MINAL UNPLI END BRG. RESIST. (KIPS)	JG'D TOTAL RESIST. (KIPS)	NOMINAL REQ'D BEARING (KIPS)	FACTORED GEOTECH, LCSS FROM SCOUR or DD (KIPS)	FACTORED GEOTECH, LOSS LOAD FROM DD (KIPS)	FACTORED RESISTANCE AVAILABLE (KIPS)	ESTIMATED PILE LENGTH (FT.)

.

10/22/2010

Pile Length vs. Capacity Analysis

B-3_Modified IDOT Pile Length.xls

ł

MODIFIED IDOT STATIC METHOD OF ESTIMATING PILE LENGTH Modified 5/3/2010

I.D.O.T. BBS FOUNDATIONS AND GEOTECHNICAL UNIT

South Abutment

73 %

MAX: REQUIRED BEARING	RESISTANCE for Selected Pile	. Soil Profile. & Losses

Maximum Nominal	Maximum Nominal	Maximum Factored	Maximum Pile
Req'd Bearing of Pile	Req.d Bearing of Boring	Resistance Available in Boring	Driveable Length in Boring
454 KIPS	454 KIPS	250 KIPS	54 FT.

LRFD or ASD or SEISMIC -----================= TOTAL FACTORED SUBSTRUCTURE LOAD === 908 KIPS

 SUBSTRUCTORE
 SOURADUM

 REFERENCE BORING
 B-4

 GROUND SURFACE ELEV. AT BORING
 732:70 FT.

 PILE CUTOFF ELEV.
 738:00 FT.

 GROUND SURFACE ELEV. AGAINST PILE DURING DRIV
 733:00 FT.

 GROUND WATER ELEVATION
 722:10 FT.

HAMMER EFFICIENCY

Approx. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 8 ft. Cts ===== 179.14 KIPS Approx. Factored Loading Applied per pile at 3 ft. Cts ===== 67.18 KIPS

SUBSTRUCTURE==

 PILE TYPE AND SIZE
 Stee(HP/10/X)57

 Plugged Pile Perimeter
 3.365

 FT.
 Unplugged Pile Perimeter

 Plugged Pile End Bearing Area
 0.708

 SQFT.
 Unplugged Pile End Bearing Area

TOP ELEV. OF LIQUEF. (so layers above apply DD) ===== 0.00 FT.

BOT. OF		UNCONF.	S.P.T.	GRANULAR	NOI	WINAL PLU	GGED	NOI	WINAL UNPLU	JGD	NOMINAL	FACTORED GEOTECH.	FACTORED GEOTECH.	FACTORED	ESTIMATED
LAYER ELEV. (FT.)	LAYER THICK. (FT.)	COMPR. STRENGTH (TSF.)	N VALUE (BLOWS)	OR ROCK LAYER DESCRIPTION	SIDE RESIST. (KIPS)	END BRG. RESIST. (KIPS)	TOTAL RESIST. (KIPS)	SIDE RESIST. (KIPS)	END BRG. RESIST. (KIPS)	TOTAL RESIST. (KIPS)	REQ'D BEARING (KIPS)	LOSS FROM SCOUR or DD (KIPS)	LOSS LOAD FROM DD (KIPS)	RESISTANCE AVAILABLE (KIPS)	PILE LENGTH (FT.)
731.00 728.50 726.00 724.00 721.50 718.00 711.00 708.00 702.00 689.50 688.50 688.50 688.50 688.50 688.50 688.50 688.50 688.50 688.50 685.50		200 275 275 275 275 275 275 275 275 275 275	ομοφη - 0-13 	Ven Frie Sky Sand Frie Sand Tind Sand Med um Sand Frie Sant Shale Shale Shale Shale Shale	15.7 24.2 18.0 0.8 2.3 1.6 3.4 6.7 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 26.5 0.0 33.5 42.0 42.0 42.0 42.0 42.0 42.0 42.0 42.0	18.2 11.6 5.3 14.1 7.1 1.7 47.7 9.9 13.2 16.7 0.0 58.3 88.3 88.3 88.3 88.3 88.3 88.3 88.3	33,9 51,5 63,2 72,9 68,1 64,4 67,8 64,4 67,8 64,4 67,8 71,3 86,2 105,7 122,8 142,6 270,4 312,4 356,4 356,4 438,4 438,4 438,4 438,4 438,4 456,4 556,4	22.8 35.2 28.1 1.2 3.4 2.3 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 23.5 5.0 9.7 5.0 9.5 5.0 9.7 5.0 9.5 5.0 9.5 5.0 9.5 5.0 9.5 5.0 9.5 5.0 9.5 5.0 9.5 9.5 5.0 9.5 9.5 5.0 9.5 9.5 5.0 9.5 9.5 5.0 9.5 9.5 5.0 9.5 9.5 5.0 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5	3.0 1.9 0.9 2.3 1.2 0.3 7.9 1.6 2.2 2.8 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6	25.6 59.9 84.9 87.6 89.8 91.3 96.2 708.8 112.3 136.4 165.4 165.4 278.8 339.8 400.7 451.6 522.6 583.5 644.5 705.4 705.4 786.4	28 52 63 73 64 68 109 86 109 86 129 149 270 270 314 356 438 438 438 438 564 566			14 28 35 40 37 35 37 60 47 58 71 82 195 218 241 284 284 284 370 330 333	7 10 12 14 14 17 20 23 25 27 30 32 35 40 49 49.5 50.5 51.5 52.5 58.5 55.5 55.5 55.5 55.5 55.5 55

10/22/2010

' Pile Length vs. Capacity Analysis

B-4_Modified IDOT Pile Length.xls

EXHIBIT E – PILE DESIGN TABLE

	F	Pile Desig	n Table f	or North	Abutment u	tilizing	Boring #E	3-1	
	Nominal	Factored	Estimated	Estimated		Nominal	Factored	Estimated	Estimated
	Required	Resistance	Pile	Pile Tip		•	Resistance	Pile	Pile Tip
	Bearing	Available	Length	Elevation		Bearing	Available	Length	Elevation
	(Kips)	(Kips)	(Ft.)			(Kips)	(Kips)	(Ft.)	
Steel HP	10 X 42				Steel HP	14 X 73			
	120	66	53	685.4		83	46	53	685.4
	179	98	53	685.4		129	71	53	685.4
	235	129	53	685.4		176	97	53	685.4
	335	184	53	685.4		273	150	53	685.4
Steel HP	10 X 57					341	188	53	685.4
	122	67	53	685.4		578	318	53	685.4
	183	101	53	685.4	Steel HP	14 X 89			
	240	132	53	685.4		84	46	53	685.4
	454	250	53	685.4		131	72	53	685.4
Steel HP	12 X 53					178	98	53	685.4
	106	58	53	685.4		277	152	53	685.4
	146	80	53	685.4		348	191	. 53	685.4
	222	122	53	685.4		705	388	53	685.4
	282	155	53	685.4	Steel HP	14 X 102			
	418	230	53	685.4		85	47	53	685.4
Steel HP	12 X 63					132	73	53	685.4
	107	60	53	685.4		180	99	53	685.4
	147	82	53	685.4		280	154	53	685.4
	225	124	53	685.4		352	194	53	685.4
	288	158	53	685.4		810	445	53	685.4
	497	273	53	685.4	Steel HP				
Steel HP	12 X 74					86	47	53	685.4
	109	60	53	685.4		134	74	53	685.4
	149	82	53	685.4		182	100	53	685.4
	228	125	53	685.4		284	156	53	685.4
	292	161	53	685.4		359	197	53	685.4
	589	324	53	685.4		929	511	53	685.4
Steel HP									
	110	61	53	685.4					
	151	83	53	685.4				. –	
	231	127	53	685.4					
	296	163	53	685.4	ì				
	664	365	53	685.4					

		Pile D	esign Ta	able for Pi	er 1 utilizir	ig Borin	g #B-2		
	Nominal	Factored		Estimated		Nominal	Factored		Estimated
	•	Resistance	Pile	Pile Tip		•	Resistance		Pile Tip
	Bearing (Kips)	Available (Kips)	Length (Ft.)	Elevation		Bearing (Kips)	Available (Kips)	Length (Ft.)	Elevation
Steel HP	10 X 42				Steel HP	14 X 89			
	313	172	60	678.2		163	90	60	678.2
Steel HP	10 X 57					245	135	60	678.2
	447	246	60	678.2		705	388	60	678.2
Steel HP	12 X 53				Steel HP	14 X 102	2		
	397	218	60	678.2		165	91	60	678.2
Steel HP	12 X 63					249	137	60	678.2
	451	248	60	678.2		810	445	60	678.2
Steel HP	12 X 74				Steel HP	14 X 117	,		
	559	308	60	678.2		167	92	60	678.2
Steel HP	12 X 84					255	140	60	678.2
	619	340	60	678.2		929	511	60	678.2
Steel HP	14 X 73								
	555	305	60	678.2					

		Pile D	esign T	able for Pi	er 2 utilizir	ig Borin	g #B-3		
	Nominal		Estimated	Estimated		Nominal	Factored	Estimated	Estimated
	-	Resistance	Pile	Pile Tip		•	Resistance		Pile Tip
	Bearing	Available	Length	Elevation			Available	Length	Elevation
	(Kips)	(Kips)	(Ft.)			(Kips)	(Kips)	(Ft.)	
Steel HP	10 X 42				Steel HP	14 X 73			
	308	169	60	678.2		224	123	60	678.2
Steel HP	10 X 57					263	144	60	678.2
	441	243	60	678.2		315	173	60	678.2
Steel HP	12 X 53					578	318	60	678.2
	217	119	60	678.2	Steel HP	14 X 89			
	260	143	60	678.2		228	125	60	678.2
	418	230	60	678.2		267	147	60	678.2
Steel HP	12 X 63					322	177	60	678.2
	222	122	60	678.2		705	388	60	678.2
	266	146	60	678.2	Steel HP	14 X 102			
	497	273	60	678.2		230	127	60	678.2
Steel HP	12 X 74					271	149	60	678.2
	225	124	60	678.2		326	179	60	678.2
	270	149	60	678.2		810	445	60	678.2
	589	324	60	678.2	Steel HP	14 X 117	,		
Steel HP	12 X 84					234	129	60	678.2
	227	125	60	678.2		275	151	60	678.2
	274	151	60	678.2		333	183	60	678.2
	664	365	60	678.2		929	511	60	678.2

					butment u		-		
		Factored					Factored		
		Resistance		Pile Tip		•	Resistance		Pile Tip
	learing (Kips)	A∨ailable (Kips)	Length (Ft.)	Elevation		Bearing (Kips)	Available (Kips)	Length (Ft.)	Elevatio
	(Tupo)	(1000)	(1.0)			(raps)	(1005)	(1.)	
Steel HP 10) X 42				Steel HP	12 X 84			
	103	57	57	681.0		108	59	57	681.0
	126	69	57	681.0		132	73	57	681.0
	146	80	57	681.0		161	89	57	681.0
	202	111	57	681.0		181	100	57	681.0
	263	145	57	681.0		268	147	57	681.0
	335	184	57	681.0		343	189	57	681.0
Steel HP 10) X 57					664	365	57	681.0
	106	58	57	681.0	Steel HP	14 X 73			
	129	71	57	681.0		96	53	57	681.0
	149	82	57	681.0		126	69	57	681.0
	207	114	57	681.0		155	85	57	681.0
	270	149	57	681.0		189	104	57	681.0
	454	250	57	681.0		208	114	57	681.0
Steel HP 12	2 X 53					320	176	57	681.0
	104	57	57	681.0		396	218	57	681.0
	127	70	57	681.0		578	318	57	681.0
	155	85	57	681.0	Steel HP	14 X 89			
	175	96	57	681.0		97	53	57	681.0
	256	1 41	57	681.0		127	70	57	681.0
	327	18Ò	57	681.0		157	86	57	681.0
	418	230	57	681.0		191	105	57	681.0
Steel HP 12	2 X 63					210	115	57	681.0
	105	58	57	681.0		326	179	57	681.0
	129	71	57	681.0		403	222	57	681.0
	157	86	57	681.0		705	388	57	681.0
	177	97	57	681.0	Steel HP	14 X 102			
	259	142	57	681.0		98	54	57	681.0
	334	184	57	681.0		129	71	57	681.0
	497	273	57	681.0		159	87	57	681.0
Steel HP 12			~.	~~1.4		194	107	57	681.0
	106	58	57	681.0		212	117	57	681.0
	130	58 72	57	681.0		329	181	57 57	681.0
	159	- 88	57 57	681.0		329 408	224	57 57	681.0
	179	98	57 57	681.0 681.0		408 810	445	57 57	681.0 681.0
	263	90 145	57 57	681.0	Steel HD	14 X 117		51	001.0
•		145		681.0		99	54	57	694 0
	339		57 57					57 57	681.0
	589	324	57	681.0		131	72	57	681.0
						161	88	57	681.0
						196	108	57 57	681.0
						214 334	118 194	57 57	681.0
						334 415	184 228	57	681.0 681.0
						415 929	228 511	57 57	681.0 681.0



Lin Engineering, Ltd.

EXHIBIT F – SLOPE STABILITY GRAPH