

#### **Abbreviated Structure Geotechnical Report**

Original Report Date: 6/30/22	Proposed SN:		Route:	US Route 14
Revised Date:	Existing SN:	056-0263	Section:	2018-118-I
Geotechnical Engineer: GSG Consul	tants, Inc.		County:	McHenry
Structural Engineer: ABNA Engineer	ing, Inc.		Contract:	P-91-029-19

Indicate the proposed structure type, substructure types, and foundation locations (attach plan and elevation drawing):

According to the proposed preliminary plan drawings and information provided by ABNA, the existing 5.5 ft X12 ft concrete box culvert will be removed and replaced with a new 7 ft X 12 ft concrete box culvert. The upstream invert elevation will be 936.6 feet and the downstream invert elevation will be 936.1 feet. The preliminary plans are attached.

Discuss the existing boring data, existing plans foundation information, new subsurface exploration and need for any additional exploration to be provided with SGR Technical Memo (attach all data and subsurface profile plot):

The exploration program included advancing two (2) standard penetration test (SPT) borings at locations on either end of the proposed culvert.

The soil borings were drilled using truck-mounted Diedrich D-50 (hammer efficiency 98%) drill rig using 3¼-inch I.D. hollow stem augers and an automatic hammer. Soil sampling was performed according to AASHTO T 206, "Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils." Soil samples were obtained at 2.5-foot intervals to the boring termination depths of 40 feet below grade.

The borings initially noted 8 inches of asphalt. Beneath the asphalt, the borings encountered brown silty clay fill to a depth of 8.5 to 9 feet below grade (elevation of 937.5 feet). The borings then encountered gray sand fill to a depth of 10.5 feet below grade (elevation of 935.7). The borings then noted soft to medium stiff gray silty clay to a depth of 16 feet (elevation of 930.3 feet) followed by stiff to very stiff gray silty clay loam to the boring termination depths of 40 feet below grade (elevation of 906.2 feet). Boring CB-01 noted a sand seam at 13.5 feet and at 27 feet below grade and cobbles at 31 feet below grade.

The unconfined compressive strength values of the silty clay fill ranged between 0.3 tsf and 1.0 tsf. The unconfined compressive strength values of the gray silty clay ranged between 0.4 tsf and 0.8 tsf. The unconfined compressive strength values of the gray silty clay loam ranged between 0.4 tsf and 2.3 tsf. The SPT blow count 'N' values of the sand fill ranged between 6 and 13 bpf.

Groundwater was encountered in the each borings at a depths of 8.5 feet below grade (elevation of 937.7 feet) during drilling. Water was not encountered during drilling at any of the other soil boring locations. Perched water may also be present within the confined existing fill materials.

Provide the location and maximum height of any new soil fill or magnitude of footing bearing pressure. Estimate the amount and time of the expected settlement. Indicate if further testing, analysis, and/or ground improvement/treatment is necessary:

Due to the presence of unsuitable low strength materials at the invert elevations of the culvert, undercuts to reach suitable soil will be required. Following undercutting to suitable native soils, the over-excavations should be backfilled to the design bearing grade with structural fill. The structural fill should be placed in accordance with the Construction Considerations section of this report. It is anticipated that 3 feet of undercut is necessary below the proposed invert elevations based on the Table 8.9-1 of the IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2020). Soil should be tested to a depth 3 feet below the bottom of the culvert as per section 8.9. The undercut values shall be field verified during construction.

Anticipated Bearing Elevation (feet)=936.6 to 936.1 Estimated Settlement at Culvert Inlet (inches) = less than 1.0 inch Estimated Settlement at Culvert Outlet (inches) = less than 1.0 inch Differential Settlement (inches) = less than 0.5 inch Identify any new cuts or fill slope angles and heights. Estimate the factor of safety against slope failure. Indicate if further testing, analysis or ground improvement/treatment is necessary:

IDOT requires that slope stability analysis be performed in areas where the cut or fill heights will exceed 15 feet in height. Based on the preliminary design plan, the maximum cut height will be less than 15 feet; therefore, no slope stability analysis was required for this report.

Indicate at each substructure, the 100-year and 200-year total scour depths in the Hydraulics report, the nongranular scour depth reduction, the proposed ground surface, and the recommended foundation design scour elevations:

Scour analysis is not warranted for closed bottom box culvert per All Bridge Designers memo 14.2, dated November 7, 2014. Therefore, no additional scour analysis is warranted.

The design scour elevation should be taken at the bottom of the cutoff walls. To help prevent local erosion, it is recommended to place stone riprap at the end of the culverts. This will help prevent sediments from entering and accumulating in the culvert, reduce long term maintenance, and provide protection to the streambed at the interface.

Unsuitable materials are generally replaced with aggregate when soil strength and groundwater conditions dictate. A special provision for Aggregate Subgrade Improvement or Rockfill should be included in the plans to indicate the replacement material properties and capping requirements.

# Determining the seismic soil site class, the seismic performance zone, the 0.2 and 1.0 second design spectral accelerations and indicate if that the soils are liquefiable:

As per section 7.4.5.4 "Geotechnical Evaluations and Recommendations" in the IDOT Geotechnical Manual 2020, "Box culverts and retaining walls are not typically designed for seismic loading, and as such, seismic design parameters should on not be provided in SGRs for these types of structures."

Confirm feasibility of the proposed foundation or wall type and provide design parameters. Attach a pile design table indicating feasible pile types, various nominal required bearings, factored resistances available and corresponding estimated lengths at locations where piles will be used. Provide factored bearing resistance and unit sliding resistance at various elevations and confirm no ground improvement/treatment is necessary where spread footings are proposed. Estimated top of rock elevations as well as preliminary factored unit side and tip resistance values shall be indicated when drilled shafts are proposed: Due to the presence of unsuitable low strength materials at the invert elevations of the culvert, undercuts to reach suitable soil will be required at the proposed culvert location. Following undercutting to suitable native soils, the over-excavations should be backfilled to the design bearing grade with structural fill. The structural fill should be placed in accordance with the Construction Considerations based on the Table 8.9-1 of the IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2020). Soil should be tested to a depth 3 feet below the bottom of the culvert as per section 8.9. The undercut values shall be field verified during construction.

The subgrade soils at bearing grade should be evaluated per the guidelines provided in Section 8.9 of IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2020) for suitability/workability prior to placing any portion of the proposed culvert structure. Loose granular fill soils and soft silty clay soils were noted at the invert depths of the proposed culverts. These materials may not provide sufficient subgrade stability for the proposed construction of the culvert. However, if a precast box culvert is considered, according to Section 540, IDOT SSRBC (2016) a minimum of 6-inches of porous granular material should be provided as bedding material, which will serve as a working platform for box culverts.

Calculate the estimated water surface elevation and determine the need for cofferdams (type 1 or 2), and seal coat: Not applicable

Assess the need for sheeting or soil retention or temporary construction slope and provide recommendation for other construction concerns:

If stage construction is used for the proposed improvement, temporary sheet piling is feasible because the existing soils strengths are less than 4.5 tsf. The Temporary Soil Retention System (TSRS) should be designed in accordance with the IDOT Bridge Design Manual, Section 3.13.1, Temporary Sheet Piling Design, Temporary Soil Retention Systems and Braced Excavations and the IDOT Design Guide. The design of the temporary earth retention system is the responsibility of the contractor. The contractor should submit the TSRS plans to the structural design team for review prior to commencing construction of the TSRS.

APPENDIX A

PRELIMINARY PLANS





																965
																960
																055
																955
																950
	-EXIS	T./ PRO	DP. NO	RTHBO	UND DI	тсн										950
1							/1	8" CUL	v.							
-		- <u></u>	· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>				/									945
						/	1					= 7 47				
_							i <b></b>									
-		!														940
			EXIST.	PROP	COUT	HBOUN										
			EXIST.	PROP	5001			Π								
																935
			943.03				944.04				944.68					0.20
			94				94				94					930
			6				4				ы					
			942.56				943.54				944.45					925
			ര്				ő				ര്					925
			37				32				2					
			946.37				946.32				946.27					
				+00			ص 23-	+00			م 24+	+00				
	& PR	OFILE						A.P. TE		SECTI			COL	JNTY	TOT# SHEE	AL SHEET TS NO.
	IILES			'E LIN	NE			56						ENRY		
s	STA.			TO S							ILLINOIS	FED. A		ITRAC	I NO.	





¢ R.O.W. R.O.W. 46'-49' 40'-50' 3′ 8′ 12.8′-13.2′12.8′-13.2′ 8′ ٦ ¥ 1.5% 1.5% 9 U  $\bigcirc$  $\mathbf{0}$ 向向介

U.S. ROUTE 14

PROPOSED TYPICAL SECTION STA. 17+00 TO STA. 17+50

USER NAME = jiangc	DESIGNED -	REVISED -				TYPICAL	L SECTIO	-
	DRAWN -	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS	1				
PLOT SCALE = 20.0000 ' / in.	CHECKED -	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		U.S. ROUTE	14, 3 IVI	I. SOUTH	
PLOT DATE = 10/14/2021	DATE -	REVISED -		SCALE:	SHEET 1	OF	SHEETS	0.

(1) EXISTING HMA PAVEMENT ② EXISTING HMA SHOULDER ③ EXISTING GUARDRAIL (4) EXISTING CULVERT (5) EXISTING TOPSOIL 6 PROPOSED 2" HMA SC ,MIX "D", N70 () PROPOSED 8' HMA SHOULDER (8) PROPOSED 3' AGG SHOULDER 9 PROPOSED GUARDRAIL **()** PROPOSED CULVERT ① PROPOSED TOPSOIL PROPOSED HMA FULL DEPTH PAVEMENT PATCH (3) PROPOSED STREAM GRADING

10	DNS			F.A.P. RTE	SECT	FION		COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.
т	4 OF	STATE	LINE	66				MCHENRY	3	3
_	1 01	JIAIL						CONTRACT	NO.	
S	STA.	15 + 00	TO STA. 19+00			ILLINOIS	FED. AI	D PROJECT		

			ORIGINAL SURVEY NOTE BOOK	SURVEYED PLOTTED K TEMPLATE		BY		DATE						I NOTE BOOK I	SURVEYED PLOTTED TEMPLATE		BY	DATE			
pw:\\ıld Default	90	<u>) 8</u> (	 7 7	AREASAREAS CHECKE	□ 50	50	40	3	0 2	20	10	<u> </u>	0 2		AREASAREAS CHECKED _	40 5	50	60	70 8	<u>809</u>	0
pw:\\ildot-pw																					
bentley.c																					
om:PWIDOT\Do																					
ocumer																					
Nts\IDOT																					
PLOT SC4	955					R.O.W.	-		4.0	47	U.S. R	OUTE 14		40.05		R.	<b>∲.₩.</b> ──				95
strict 1\F LE = 20.0	950								48.		=====	×		49.95					_		950
rojects\f 000 '/ ir										8%	0.0%	p t	9%								
۰.	945					-++~、	3.8:1		3.7:1				T1	<u> -                                   </u>	<u>\</u>		<u> </u>				94
ADData\\$	940						`\\`		5.111						4:1	4.8:1					94(
Offices\District 1\Projects\P102919\CADDate\\$4DBA4WN102919-sht-xssht.US14.dgn PL0T SCALE = 20.0000 // in. CHECKED -																			10.	+ 00.00	
02919-sht	j																				
-xssht_USI																					
4.dgn																					
문문	960																				960
REVISED						R.O.W.										R.	<b>o</b> .w.				
	955								48.4		U.S. R	QUTE 14	50								955
	950								3	, 8'  = 8'	12.9′	12.7	/ <u>8′</u>	02 3′ ><<			>				950
									9%	6 <u>%</u>	0.0%	0.0%	67	92							
	945					i	> <sup>2′</sup>	3:1/							4:1						945
	940					3.1:1															94(
DEPA																					
ST	935						_												18 -	+ 00.00	935
ATE																					
F TR																					
LINO	960						_														960
STATE OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION																					955
TION	955					R.O.W.			48.366	9	U.S. R	OUTE 14	50.	0536		R.(	Q.W.				955
	950								->->	′ <u>8</u> ′	12.9′	12.7	<sup>′</sup> >< <sup>8′</sup>	0536 							950
	-								9%	B 6%	0.5%	0.5%		9%							
	945						2′	2	1 Tes							2′			+		945
U <u>.S</u>	940					2.3		//							`````		, ·	`			940
ROUTE 1																					
U.S. ROUTE 14 OVER DITCH (3	935						_												<b>17</b> ∙	+ 72.00	935
/ER DI																					
TCH (3																					

U.		-1	2018-118-	66		STATE LINE)	S SO	MILES
TOTAL SHEET SHEETS NO.		z	SECTION	F.A.P. RTE.		-		TIONS
C		935	940	945	950	955	960	965
90 0								
80								
70 6			PROP.					
50 5	PROP.		D.S. STRE		R			
0 4	D.S. INV.		AMBED. 9		.0.W.			
10 3	936.1 —	14.4:1	37.1 —					
0 2				4%	48.35 3'			
0		V		4%	<<			
0		VITH 1' EM	ROP.7H >	1.5%	13.0'			
D 1	1' 6	BEDMENT 	BOX CULV	1.57.	12.7′	UTE 14		
0 2	EMBEDMEI			4%.				
20 3	NT				07 3′			
30 4	STF		PROI					
0 5	EAMBED		P. U.S. ST		R.(			
<u>50 6</u>	APPROXIM		REAMBED.		).W. 			
50 7	ATELY 11	ADING TO	. 937.6					
0 80	<sup>геет</sup> <b>17 +</b> 2	EXISTING						
90		9	94	9.			90	96
		35	40	45	50	55	60	65

E-4.2

APPENDIX B SOIL BORING LOCATION PLAN AND SUBSURFACE PROFILES

LLOLIND       LLOLIND				
		STATE OF ILLINOIS	IDOT 202–016 US–14. HARVARD. ILLINOIS	





–01 D, I T B	125.00	5												
6 LLIN ORIP STA.														
OIS Ig P														
ROFIL														
. <b>Е</b> то s														
TA	150.00	0												
F														
A RTE														
SEC	175.00'	0,												
FED. A														
МС														
TOT SHEE 2 NO. PT														
			890	 900	 910	 920	 930	 940	 950	900	960	970	970	

**APPENDIX C** 

SOIL BORING LOGS

## SOIL BORING LOG

Illinois Department of Transportation

Division of Highways GSG Consultants Inc

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date \_\_\_\_\_\_5/31/22\_\_\_

ROUTE	14	DE	SCRI	PTION		F	Proposed Culvert Repla	acement	LC	OGGE	ED BY	C	D
SECTION	2018-118-I		_ เ		'ION _	, SEC.	, TWP. , RNG. ,						
	McHenry Di	DRII RILLING		g rig Thod		Diedri	de , Longitude ch D-50 HSA	HAMMER <sup>-</sup> HAMMERI	TYPE EFF (%)			uto 98	
STRUCT. NO. Station			D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.:	N/A	ft	D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M 0   s
Offset			Н	S	Qu	Т	First Encounter Upon Completion	937.6 N/A	_ft ⊻_ ft	н	S	Qu	т
	<b>ce Elev.</b> 946.09	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs.	N/A	_ ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
8 inches of Asp Dark Brown, Dr	y to Moist	945.42		4			Stiff		925.09		3		
FILL: SILTY CL gravel	AY, with sand and			4 3 3	1.0 P	15	Gray, Very Moist SILTY CLAY, trace sa	and and gravel			3 3 4	1.3 B	21
							(CL/ML)		922.59				
				3 2 3	0.3 P	11	Soft to Stiff Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY LOAM (	(ML/CL)			2 3 4	0.6	15
			<u>-5</u>	3	Р					-25	4	В	
1 inch of concre recovery	ete at 6.0 feet, low			5		12					9 9	0.4	12
				2			Sand seam at 27.0 fe	eet			7	В	
		937.59	<b>T</b>								_		
Brown and Gra FILL: SAND, tra			-10	5 3 3		13				-30	5 5 7	1.5 B	14
		935.59								-50			
Soft to Medium Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, tr	Stiff ace sand and gravel			4		14	Cobbles at 31.0 feet				4		15
(CL/ML) Low recovery at	-			4		14					6		15
Sand seam at 1	3.5 feet		_	10							6		
			- <u>15</u>	12 10		16				-35	6 5	1.7 B	13
Stiff		930.09		2							3		
Gray, Moist to \ SILTY CLAY LO	/ery Moist DAM, trace gravel			2 3 4	1.0 B	23					5 5	1.0 B	13
(CL)													
				3	1.8	17					6 7	2.1	15
			-20	3	В		End of Boring		906.09	-40	8	В	

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

## Illinois Department of Transportation SOIL BORING LOG

Division of Highways GSG Consultants Inc Page  $\underline{1}$  of  $\underline{1}$ 

Date 5/31/22

ROUTE	14	DE	SCRI	PTION		F	Proposed Culvert Repla	acement	LC	OGGE	ED BY	C	D
SECTION	2018-118-I		_ L		10N _	, SEC.	, TWP. , RNG. ,						
	IcHenry DI	DRI RILLING	LLIN ME	g rig Thod		Diedri	de , Longitude ch D-50 HSA	HAMMER 1				<u>uto</u> 98	
STRUCT. NO			D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter	N/A	_ft _ft	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T
Offset			(5)	((0))		(0())	Upon Completion	N/A	ft	(5)	((0))		(0())
	Elev. 946.31	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs.	N/A	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
8 inches of Aspha Dark Brown, Mois FILL: SILTY CLAY gravel	t	945.64		5 6 7	0.3 P	9	Soft to Very Stiff Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY LOAM, (ML/CL) <i>(continued)</i>	trace gravel			3 6 4	2.3 B	26
				4							2	4 7	0.1
			5	2	NR	NR				-25	3 2	1.7 B	24
Light Brown, Mois	t	939.81		3	0.4	16					5 3	1.9	13
FILL: SILTY CLAY				2	B						5	В	
		937.31	<b>▼</b>	3							3		
Gray, Moist FILL: SAND, trace	e gravel		-10	5 8		14				-30	6 6	1.5 P	12
Soft to Medium St Gray, Moist		935.81		2							4		
SILTY CLAY, trace	e sand (CL-ML)			3 3	0.4 B	12					5 4	1.7 B	14
				3							3		
			- <u>15</u>	6	0.8 B	13				-35	6 5	0.5 P	14
Soft to Very Stiff Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY LOA (ML/CL)	M, trace gravel	930.31		2 2 2 2	0.4 B	29					4 5 6	2.1 B	14
				2 2 3	0.8 B	18			906.31	-40	6 6 7	2.3 B	14

End of Boring

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) APPENDIX D

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



735 Remington Road Schaumburg, IL 60173 Tel: 630.994.2600 www.gsg-consultants.com

## Table D-1 – Atterberg Limits

Boring ID	Sample Depth (ft)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Soil Classification
CB-01	16-17.5	29.0	19.0	10.0	CL
CB-02	11-12.5	18.0	11.0	7.0	CL-ML

## Table D-2 – Dry Unit Weight

Boring ID	Sample Depth (ft)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Wet Unit Weight (pcf)
CB-01	11-12.5	110.5	136.0

