STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

ILLINOIS ROUTE 141 OVER UNMARKED STREAM FAP ROUTE 877, SECTION 101B-4 EXISTING STRUCTURE 097-0029 PROPOSED STRUCTURE 097-2017 WHITE COUNTY, ILLINOIS JOB NO. D-99-041-11 PTB 154-056

Prepared For: MODJESKI AND MASTERS #4 Sunset Hills Professional Center Edwardsville, Illinois 62025 618-659-9102

Prepared By:



1340 North Price Road St. Louis, Missouri 63132 314-373-4000

Authored by William J, Graham, PE 314-373-4044 bgraham@tsigeotech.com TSi Project Number 20111018.06

April 12, 2013 Revised October 26, 2016



STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT (FAP 877) Illinois Route 141 Over Unmarked Stream White County, Illinois

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED STRUCTURE INFORMATION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of a geotechnical investigation performed for the design of a replacement structure for an existing bridge on Illinois Route 141 over an unnamed stream 2 miles west of New Haven, White County, Illinois. The purpose of this study was to provide a geotechnical assessment of the planned replacement structure, based on subsurface conditions encountered at two borings performed by the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) at the existing structure. This report describes the exploration procedures used, presents the field and laboratory data, includes an assessment of the subsurface conditions in the area, and provides geotechnical recommendations for the construction.

1.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project consists of the removal and replacement of the existing bridge on Illinois Route 141 over an unmarked stream in White County, Illinois. Route 141 is located essentially along the boundary between White and Gallatin Counties. The existing bridge is a 28-foot long, single span concrete slab bridge supported by spread footings on timber piles. It is to be replaced with a new double box culvert with new guardrails and terminals, along with minor earthwork and some resurfacing of existing pavements near the structure. The existing bridge will be removed in two stages, with one lane being open to traffic at all times. The bridge is located about 2 miles west of New Haven, at Station 384+52. The general site area is shown on the attached Vicinity Map, Figure 1 in Appendix A. A plan that shows the locations of the borings performed for this study is presented as the Site and Boring Location Plan, Figure 2 in Appendix A.

1.3 PROPOSED STRUCTURE INFORMATION

The proposed structure will be a double box culvert. The new culvert will be approximately 35 feet long, providing 12-foot driving lanes and 4-foot shoulders on each side. It will be approximately 30.5 feet wide. The proposed culvert centerline station will be 384+52. The culvert will have two barrels that measure 14 feet in width and 8 feet in height, with 10-inch thick vertical walls, a 19-inch thick base slab, and a 12-inch thick deck slab. Wing walls at 45 degrees to the bridge alignment will be approximately 16 feet in length, and will be cantilevered off the culvert. The dead loads imposed on the base of the slab are understood to total approximately 1,450 kips. A copy of the TS&L sheet is included in Appendix D. Present plans are to leave the existing pile-supported bridge abutment footings in place, where they will underlie the new box culvert. The footing segments supporting the existing wing walls will be removed.

2.0 SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

The field exploration for this project was conducted by IDOT. The exploration consisted of completing two soil borings within the roadway pavement, with one boring on the east side and one on the west side of the existing bridge. The borings were designated as Borings 1-S and 2-S. The approximate locations of the borings are shown on the Site and Boring Location Plan, Figure 2 in Appendix A.

The two borings for this study were completed on August 17 and 22, 2011. Boring 1-S was located just east of the existing bridge at Station 384+77 and offset 10 feet right of the roadway centerline. Boring 2-S was located just west of the existing bridge at Station 384+27 and offset 10 feet left of the centerline. Each boring was augered through the pavement section and base rock, and then advanced into intact bedrock at depths ranging from 59.4 to 59.6 feet. Split-spoon (SPT) samples were obtained on 2.5-foot centers in the overburden soils. Boring 1-S was advanced into the underlying bedrock using rock coring equipment, extending to a termination depth of 69.4 feet. The sampling sequence for each boring is summarized on the Boring Logs in Appendix B of this report. A Subsurface Profile is provided as Figure 3 in Appendix A.

3.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Details of the subsurface conditions encountered at the borings are shown on the Boring Logs provided in Appendix B. The general subsurface conditions encountered and their pertinent engineering characteristics are described in the following paragraphs. Conditions represented by the borings should be considered applicable only at the boring locations on the dates shown; the reported conditions may be different at other locations at other times.

3.1 GEOLOGY

The site lies in the Saline Watershed, within the Mt. Vernon Hill Country portion of the Till Plains Section of the Central Lowland Physiographic Province. The watershed encompasses the Saline River which flows in a southeastern direction toward the Wabash River. The general geology at the project site appears to be Quaternary sand, silt, loam, and clay till and outwash deposits left by the glaciers from the Illinois Glacial Episode, underlain by Pennsylvanian and Permian sedimentary bedrock including shale, sandstone, and limestone. Thin coal deposits are also possible across Gallatin and White Counties. Geologic mapping by Illinois State Geologic Survey (ISGS) indicates the site is underlain by lakebed deposits of silt and clay laid down in glacial and early post-glacial time. These deposits would have formed an essentially level surface that has been subsequently crossed with erosion channels.

3.2 GENERALIZED SUBSURFACE PROFILE

The soils in the area are mainly lean and fat clays with occasional silt layers (A-6, A-7-6, and A-4 as defined by the AASHTO Classification System) that are typically soft to stiff throughout the soil profile. Standard penetration test values (N) in the soil range from 2 to 12 blows per foot (bpf). Unconfined compression tests were performed with a Rimac machine on the soils at each boring. The Rimac tests resulted in values ranging from 0.3 to 1.9 tons per square foot (tsf). Moisture contents in the soils vary from 17 to 33%.

Shale bedrock was encountered beneath the soils at a depth of approximately 57.5 feet in Boring 1-S. The shale is gray, dry, and hard. The shale is underlain by gray, very dense sandstone with traces of clay seams. This sandstone bedrock was encountered below the shale in Boring 1-S at a depth of 59.5 feet, and below the clay at 58.5 feet in Boring 2-S. Core recoveries in the sandstone range from 93 to 100% with Rock Quality Designation (RQD) values of 8 to 27%. Compressive strength tests on the sandstone resulted in values between 485 and 6,963 pounds per square inch (psi).

3.3 GROUNDWATER

Groundwater was encountered at 17.0 feet in Boring 1-S and 19.5 feet in Boring 2-S. The presence or absence of groundwater at a particular location does not necessarily mean that groundwater will be present or absent at that location at other times. Seasonal variations, the water level in the adjacent stream, and other unknown considerations could cause fluctuations in water levels and the presence of water in the soils. The elevation of the surface water in the stream was recorded at 381.1 on the boring logs during the time of drilling.

4.0 GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATIONS

4.1 SETTLEMENT

The clay soils at subgrade level and below appear to be compressible. A settlement analysis was made in general accordance with the IDOT January 1999 Geotechnical Manual, for the 1,500 kip loading imposed by the completed box culvert, the backfill over the culvert, and the pavement. Accounting for the original ground surface level in the site area, the calculated settlement was less than 2 inches. Initially it was considered that since the existing pile-supported abutment footings will be left in place beneath the culvert, any significant settlement of the foundation soils would result in the partial transfer of the load from the subgrade to the existing footings. reducing but not eliminating the settlement. This settlement would result in the need for the floor slab to be designed to span between the footings. However, the increase in weight of the redesigned structure could substantially overload the pile-supported footings, causing calculated settlement of the same magnitude as the settlement that does not account for the presence of the footings. In either case, the settlement analyses indicate that most of the settlement is the result of recompression rather than virgin consolidation. This should occur relatively rapidly. It is recommended that the placement of the final pavement should be delayed for at least 30 days after completion of construction. Benchmarks could be installed on the completed floor slab during the first stage of construction, to permit monitoring of settlement during construction of the first stage. The data from this monitoring could enable this delay period to be verified or modified.

The results of the borings indicate that the existing soil below the base of the box culvert should be suitable for support of the structure, so that a working mat of granular soil should not be required, provided that care is exercised by the contractor not to disrupt these soils.

4.2 SLOPE STABILITY

A slope stability analysis was performed for the new wing walls and the 2 Horizontal to 1 Vertical (2H:1V) side slopes of the roadway utilizing the SLOPE/W 2007 program. In accordance with the IDOT Geotechnical Manual, Section 3.2.3.2, the minimum factor of safety (FOS) required is 1.5 for end-of-construction (undrained strength) and long term (drained strength) stability. Analyses of these conditions indicate the slopes and wing walls as designed are within the required minimums, as shown in Table 4.1 below. The output sheets for these analyses are given in Appendix C. Note that the geometry of the wing wall analysis is taken perpendicular to the wall, not down the slope.

	SLOPE/W Calculated Factor of Safety								
	End-of-Construction	Long Term							
Wing Walls	2.3	1.6							
Roadway Side Slopes	2.4	1.6							

TABLE 4.1 Calculated Critical Factor of Safety

4.3 MINING ACTIVITY

A review of undermining was made using the Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) website for mapped coal mines in White and Gallatin Counties, Illinois. Based on this information, the project site is unlikely to be undermined. The nearest coal mines are more than 7 miles away near Maunie, Norris City, and Ridgeway, Illinois.

5.0 FOUNDATION EVALUATIONS AND DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 BOX CULVERT DESIGN

In accordance with the 2016 IDOT Culvert Manual, either a cast-in-place or a precast box culvert are viable options for the structure replacement. However, due to the stage construction of the culvert and the configuration of the culvert over a portion of the existing foundations, a precast alternate will not be allowed. TSi understands that the existing pile-supported bridge abutment footings will remain in place beneath the planned box culvert, but that the portion of the footings beneath the existing wing walls will be removed. As described in Section 4.1, the settlement of the foundation soils beneath the culvert could result in the structure being supported by the existing pile-supported footings. Consequently, it will be necessary to design the base slab to span between the two footings, and the completed culvert to be at least partially supported by the footings, spanning across the existing substructures. Because the portion of the footings beneath the existing bridge wing walls will be demolished, while the culvert itself will be supported at least partially on the footings, the new wing walls should be cantilevered from the culvert structure to avoid likely differential settlement.

Surface water flow and groundwater seepage into the footing excavation from the stream bed must be controlled so that the integrity of the footing bearing surface is maintained. The soils at the site appear to be moisture sensitive and will deteriorate rapidly when saturated. Surface flow control will require the installation of a diversion system, such as a temporary dam at each end of the construction area, with adequate pumping capacity or other means to transfer stream flow across the area.

5.2 LATERAL EARTH PRESSURES

According to the current drawings, the wing walls are approximately 16 feet in length and up to 14.8 feet in height. As noted in Section 5.1, the wing walls will be horizontally cantilevered from the box culvert structure. The following design parameters are recommended for walls retaining cohesive backfill materials.

TABLE 5.1

LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE PARAMETERS FOR WALLS WITH SURFACES INCLINED NO STEEPER THAN 2H:1V (2.8H:1V AS MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO THE WALL FACE)

Parameter	Cohesive Soil						
At-Rest Equivalent Fluid Pressure	Drained	90 pcf					
At-Rest Equivalent Fluid Flessure	Submerged	105 pcf					
Active Equivalent Fluid Pressure	Drained	65 pcf					
	Submerged	95 pcf					
Passive Equivalent Fluid Pressure	Drained	155 pcf					
Passive Equivalent Fluid Pressure	Submerged	75 pcf					
Soil Unit Weight		120 pcf					
Angle of Internal Friction							
Assumed Surcharge Condition	None						

No factor of safety has been applied to the values above. pcf = pounds per cubic foot

Submerged values should be used for the calculation of lateral earth pressures for those portions of the walls that extend below the highest level of anticipated groundwater. The values for submerged fluid pressure for active and at-rest conditions include hydrostatic pressures. The effects of vertical surcharge loads behind the walls are not included for the stated fluid pressures. Vertical surcharge effects can be accounted for by assuming an additional horizontal pressure equal to one-half the vertical surcharge pressure.

6.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 TEMPORARY SHEETING AND SOIL RETENTION

The construction activities should be performed in accordance with the current IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction. Trenching, excavating, and bracing should be performed in accordance with OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) regulations, and other applicable regulatory agencies. In accordance with the OSHA excavation standards, the soil at the site is considered to be Type C, which requires a side slope for excavations no steeper than 1.5H:1.0V. However, worker safety and classification of the excavation soil is the responsibility of the contractor. Because one lane of the roadway is to remain in service during construction, sloping back the sides of the excavation will likely not be feasible. This will require a temporary retention system such as a cantilever sheet pile wall. A cantilever sheet pile system appears feasible for the subsurface conditions encountered, and may be designed using IDOT Design Guide 3.13.1 – Temporary Sheet Piling Design.

6.2 SUBGRADE WATER PROTECTION

The need to provide a dry excavation for the box culvert is covered in Section 5.1 of this report. Additional shallow trenching and pumping from sumps may be needed to control local groundwater seepage within the construction area.

7.0 REPORT LIMITATIONS

This geotechnical report has been prepared for the exclusive use of **MODJESKI AND MASTERS**, INC. and THE ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION for the specific application to the subject project. The information and recommendations contained in this report have been made in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical and foundation engineering practices; no other warranties are implied or expressed.

The assessments and recommendations submitted in this report are based in part upon the data obtained from the borings. The nature and extent of variations between the borings may not be evident at this time. If variations appear evident at a later date, it may be necessary to re-evaluate the recommendations of this report.

We emphasize that this report was prepared for design purposes only and may not be sufficient to prepare an accurate construction bid. Contractors reviewing this report should acknowledge that the information and recommendations contained herein are for design purposes.

If conditions at the site have changed due to natural causes or other operations, this report should be reviewed by TSi to determine the applicability of the analysis and recommendations considering the changed conditions. The report should also be reviewed by TSi if changes occur in the structure location, size, and type, in the planned loads, elevations, grading and site development plans or the project concepts.

TSi requests the opportunity to review the final plans and specifications for the project prior to construction to verify that the recommendations in this report are properly interpreted and incorporated in the design and construction documents. If TSi is not accorded the opportunity to make this recommended review, we can assume no responsibility for the misinterpretation of our recommendations.

Appendix A







Appendix B

.

Illinois Department of Transportation

Memorandum

То:	Carrie Nelsen	Attn: Dave Piche
From:	Bruce Peebles	By: Rob Graeff
Subject:	*Boring Logs	
Date:	September 12, 2011	

FAP 877 (IL 141) over Stream Structure 097-0029 (Existing) White County

Foundation boring logs have been obtained for the above listed structure and are attached.

Supplemental compressive strength tests of the rock cores are included in the boring logs.

Liquefaction Analysis

Liquefaction calculations indicate no liquefiable soils at this structure location.

Slope Stability

At the time of this report, a preliminary TSL is not available. Therefore, we are unable to provide any slope stability calculations for the proposed endslope configuration. This office should be contacted to complete the slope stability calculations when a proposed endslope configuration is determined.

Structure Geotechnical Report

Due to a current shortage of staffing, the District Nine Geotechnical Unit is unable to complete the required Structure Geotechnical Report. Any additional foundation recommendations should be evaluated by a competent consultant.

Attachments RG:rg

cc: Soils File

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION District Nine Materials

·

Bridge Foundation Boring Log

Sheet 1 of 2

FAP 877 (IL 141) Over strea						1	Sheet 1	o£2	
Route: FAP 877 (IL 141 st	ructur	o Numbo	z: <u>097</u> -	-0029		Date		/17/20	11
Section 101	_					red By:		States of the second second second	
County: White	Loca	tion: 2	mi W (of New	Haven Cheo	ked By:	R Grae	ff	
Eoring No <u>1-S</u> Station <u>384+77</u> Offset <u>10' Rt CL</u>	D E P T	B L O W	Qu		Surf Wat Elev: 381.1 Ground Water Elevation when Drilling 374.6 At Completion	- D E - P T	B L O W	Qu	
Ground Surface 391.6Ft	- H	S	tsf	W%	At: Hrs:	н	S	tsf	W%
Asphalt over concrete 390.1		n an			Stiff, moist, grey, Clay A7-6		2 4	1.6B	24
Siff, moist, grey, Silty Clay A-6					364.6				
		1 2 2	1.3B	25	Medium, very moist to moist, grey, Clay A7-6		1 2 2	0.9B	29
					362.1				
Stiff, moîst, grey mottled brown, Clay A7-6		1 1 2	1.1B	27	Soft, very moist, grey, Silty Clay Loam A-6	30.0	1 1 1	0.3B	31
384.6	\neg				359.6				
Medium to soft, very moist, brown mottled grey, Silty Clay Loam A-6		12	0.5B	26	Stiff, moist to very moist, grey, Silty Clay Loam A-6		<u>1</u> 2	1.18	27
		2					4		
382.1	40.0	A			357.1		54.0.1		
Stiff, moist, grey mottled brown, Silty Clay A-6	<u> 10.0</u> —	1 2 3	1.1B	· 27	Medium to soft, very moist, grey, Clay A7-6	<u> </u>	<u>WH</u> 1 1	0.5B	28
379.6					354.6				
Medium, very moist, grey mottled		1			Medium, very moist, grey, Clay		WH		
brown, Silty Clay A-6		2 2	0.9B	29	A7-6		1	0.7B	24
377.1									
Soft, very moist, grey mottled	15.0	WH				40.0	1		
brown, Silty Clay Loam A-6		1	0.3B	29			2	0.7B	29
·'' 374.6									
Stiff, very molst, brown and tan, Silty Clay Loam A-6 with a sand layer		1 1 3	1.15	25		<u></u>			
l .]		
372.1					347.1				
Soft, very moist, brown, Sandy Clay Loam to Sand Loam A-4	20.0	<u>2</u> 4 4	0.4B	22	Medium, very moist, grey, Silty Clay A7-6	<u> 45.(</u>	1 2 2	0.9B	23
369.6								···· <u></u>	
Soft, very moist, grey, Clay A7-6		<u>WH</u> 1 1	0.3B	33					
							1		
367.1 Stiff, moist, grey, Clay A7-6	25.0	1			342.1	50.0) WH	<u> </u>	
IGUIL, IIIOISI, UICY, UIXY A/-O	20.U	I			_11	0.	<u>д үүг</u> і		

N-Std Pentr Test: 2" OD Sampler, 140\$ Hammer, 30" Fall (Type Fail. B-Bulge S-Shear E-Estimated P-Penetrometer)

Route: <u>FAP 877 (IL 141)</u> Section: 101							3heet 2 :	-	17/2011
County: White									
Boring No: 1-5 Station: 384+77 Offset: 10' Rt CL Ground Surface: 391.6Ft	D E P T H	B L O W S	Qu taf	W%		D E P T H	B L O W S	Qu	W%
Loose to medium, very moist,		4		23					
grey and brown, Sand with Silty layers 83% Silt		8			Wash-out procedures used from	ATHINATURA			
10% Sand					51.0 to 54.5 feet				
7% Clay					Borehole advanced with hollow stem auger (8" O.D, 3.25" 1.D.)				
337.1	ta	10/	ashed	5'	To convert "N" values to "N60"				
Stiff, moist, grey, Clay A7-6	55.0		1.8B	25	multiply by 1.25	80.0			
-		4							
334.1 Hard, dry, grey, Clay Shale									
332.6									
Very dense, dry, grey, Sand: 332.1		100/0.5°				·			
Test @ 6,963 psi	60.0		,			85.0			
Cored 59.4 to 64.4 feet	•								
93% Recovery; 8% RQD							:		
Very dense, dry, grey and brown, Sandstone with clay seams									9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
327.1	65.0					90.0			
Cored 64.4 to 69.4 feet									
100% Recovery; 27% RQD	•								
Very dense, dry, grey, Sandstone with clay seams									
Test @ 485 psi 322.1	70.0					95.0			
-									
Bottom of hole = 69.4 feet		-							
Free water observed at 17.0 feet						·			
Elevation referenced to BM 109 at SW corner; Elev.= 391.4 feet					-				
	75.0					100.0			

•

.

.

N-Std Pentr Test: 2" OD Sampler, 140# Hammer, 30" Fall (Type Fail. B-Bulge S-Shear E-Estimated P-Penetromater)

 ILLINOIS	DEPARTA	ÆNT (OF	TRANSPORTATION
Di	istrict	Nine	Ma	terials

٠

N Bridge Foundation Boring Log

Sheet 1 of 2

FAP 877 (IL 141) Over strea	m						Bheat 1	of 2	
Route: FAP 877 (IL 141 St	ructur	e Numbe	r: 097	-0029		Date	:8	/22/20	11
Section 101					Во	red By:	R Mobe	rly	
County: White	_ Loca	tion: 2	mi W	of New	Raven Chec	ked By:	R Grae	ff	
Boring No 2-S Station <u>384+27</u> Offset 10' Lt CL		B L O	Qu		Surf Wat Elev: 381.1 Ground Water Elevation when Drilling 372.1 At Completion		B L O	Qu	
Ground Surface 391.6Ft	T	W S	tef	W%	At: Hrs:	— Т Н	W S	tsf	W%
Asphalt 390.1					Stiff, moist, grey, Clay A7-6		4 5	1.9B	23
Stiff, moist, grey, Clay to Silty					364.6				
Clay A7-6		1			Medium, very moist, grey, Silty		1		
		2	1.3B	24	Clay Loam A-4		2	0.7B	24
-		2					3		
									-
					362.1				
-	5.0	1			Medium, very moist, grey, Silty	30.0	1		
		2	1.2B	26	Clay Loam A-6		2	0.6B	30
-		2					2		
									1
384.6									
Stiff, moist to very moist, grey		<u>1</u> 1	1.1B	24			1	0.5B	25
mottled brown, Silty Clay A7-6		2	1,10	<u> 4</u> 44			1	0.00	20
		<u> </u>							
382.1					357.1				
Stiff, moist, grey mottled brown,	10.0	1			Medium, very moist, grey, Clay	35.0	WH		
Clay A7-6		2	1.4B	26	A7-6		1	0.7B	23
		2					2		
-									
379.6							ļ		
Medium, very moist, brown		1					1		
mottled grey, Silty Clay A7-6		1	0.6B	28		<u></u>	1	0.9B	24
		2				*********	2		
377.1					352.1				
	15.0	4			Stiff, molst, grey, Clay A7-6	40.0	1	<u></u>	
Medium to soft, very moist, brown mottled grey, Silt Loam to Silty	10.0	1	0.5B	24	joun, molat, grey, olay Ar-o		1	1.2B	25
Clay Loam A-4		2	0.02				2		
374.6				•			· ·		
Stiff, moist, brown mottled grey,		1							
Silty Clay Loam A-4 with Clay		2	1.4B	23			1		
layers		2				<u></u>	ļ		
						·	{		
372.1	00.0				347.1	46.0	10/1		
Stiff, moist, grey, Clay A7-6	20.0	<u>1</u> 3	1.1B	30	Medium, very moist, grey, Clay to Clay Loam A7-6	45.0	<u>WH</u> 1	0.8B	17
with Sand layers		3	1.10	30	lo Ciay Luain A7-0		2	V.0D	17
							<u> </u>		
369.6							1		İ
Medium, very moist, grey, Clay		WH			1		1		
A7-6		1	0.8B	21			1		Ì
•		1					J		
							1		
367.1					342.1		<u> </u>		
Stiff, moist, grey, Clay A7-6	25.0	1			Medium, very moist, grey, Clay	50.0	<u>) 1</u>		

N-Std Fentr Test: 2" OD Sampler,140# Hammar, 30" Wall (Type Fail. B-Bulge S-Shear E-Estimated P-Penetrometer)

See. 575 037 171 1411				•			heet 2		
Route: FAP 877 (IL 141) Section: 101						Date:			22/201
County: White			ć						
						r T		r	1
Boring No: 2-S		BL				D E	BL	ł)
Station: 384+27	P	ō				P	õ		
Offset: 10' Lt CL] т	W	Qu			Т	W	Qu	
Ground Surface: 391.61	rt H	<u> </u>	tsf	W%		H	S	taf	W%
A7-6	3	2	0.8B	23					
		2			-				
					-				
					_				
	<u> </u>			-					
	55.0	1				80.0			
		3	0.9B	21					
		3			-				
					-				
		-							
333.1									
Very dense, dry, grey, Sandstone with Clay Shale seams					-				
331.6	60.0	100/1"				85.0			
					-				
					-				
Bottom of hole = 59.6 feet									
Free water observed at 19.5 feet					-				
					_				
Elevation referenced to BM 109					-				
at SW comer; Elev.= 391.4 feet					-				
Borehole advanced with hollow	65.0					90.0			
stem auger (8° O.D, 3.25° I.D.)					-	0			
					_]			
To convert "N" values to "N60"		2 2 4							
multiply by 1.25					-				
					-				
]			
	70.0				and a second	000			
	/U.U				-	95.0			
					-				
					-				
					-				
]				
	75.0	L			I	100.0			

-

•

.

N-Std Pentr Test: 2" OD Sampler, 140# Hammar, 30" Fall (Type Fail. B-Bulge S-Shear E-Estimated P-Penetrometer)

Sheet 2 of 2

Appendix C













LEVATION	F.A.P. RTE.	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.				
	877	101B-4	WHITE	1	1				
-2017			CONTRACT		8264				
ETS	ILLINOIS FED. AID PROJECT								

