

CONSTRUCTABILITY REVIEW

PPC IL BEAM DELIVERY AND ERECTION

IDOT DISTRICT 3, PTB 191-007 FAI ROUTE 57 (I-57) SECTION [(139)VB,HB-3]BR,139R KANKAKEE COUNTY CONTRACT NO. 66F74 JOB NO. D-93-045-19

I-57 OVER NS RAILWAY AND DRAINAGE DITCH (SN 046-0156/-0157) I-57 OVER GRINNELL ROAD (SN 046-0158/-0159)

> Prepared by: Dan Howell, PE Jonathan Williamson, EIT p 314.685.8025 dhowell@f-w.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Contact Information:
		Dan Howell, PE
		p 314.685.8025
		dhowell@f-w.com
		01/02/2020
	Table of Contents	1
	Table of Contents (Cont.)	2
	Exhibit Summary	3
1.0	Introduction	4
	1.1 Purpose of Review	
	1.2 Location of Review	
2.0	Feasibility Study	5
	2.1 Beam Erection	5
	2.1.1 Stage I	5
	2.1.2 Stage II	6
	2.2 Beam Delivery	7
	2.2.1 Stage I	7
	2.2.2 Stage II	
	-	
3.0	Design Recommendations	9
	3.1 Construction Sequencing	9
	3.1.1 Bridges Over NS (SN 046-0156/046-0157)	9
	3.1.2 Bridges Over Grinnell (SN 046-0158/046-0159)	9
	3.1.3 Bridges Constructed Simultaneously, with Two Cranes	9
	3.1.4 Bridges Constructed Sequentially, with One Crane	
	3.1.5 Bridges Constructed Simultaneously, with One Crane	
	3.2 Traffic Control and Protection	
	3.2.1 Stage I	
	3.2.2 Stage II	
	3.2.3 Bridges Constructed Simultaneously, with Two Cranes	
	3.2.4 Bridges Constructed Sequentially, with One Crane	
	3.2.5 Bridges Constructed Simultaneously, with One Crane	
	3.3 Estimate of Time	
	3.3.1 Bridges over NS (SN 046-0156/046-0157)	
	3.3.2 Bridges Constructed Simultaneously, with Two Cranes	
	3.3.3 Bridges Constructed Sequentially, with One Crane	
	3.3.4 Bridges Constructed Simultaneously, with One Crane	
	3.4 Estimate of Cost	
	3.4.1 Bridges Constructed Simultaneously, with Two Cranes	
	3.4.2 Bridges Constructed Sequentially, with One Crane	
	3.4.3 Bridges Constructed Simultaneously, with One Crane	
	3.5 Preferred Option for Design Recommendations	
	3.5 Preferred Option for Design Recommendations	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

Contact Information: Dan Howell, PE p 314.685.8025 dhowell@f-w.com 01/02/2020

4.0	Conclusions	13
	4.1 Feasibility Study	13
	4.1.1 Beam Erection	13
	4.1.2 Beam Delivery	13
	4.2 Design Recommendations	13
	4.2.1 Construction Sequencing	
	4.2.2 Traffic Control and Protection	
	4.2.3 Estimate of Time	14
	4.2.4 Estimate of Cost	14

Exhibit Summary

- Exhibit #1 Location Map
- Exhibit #2 Type, Size, and Location Plans
- Exhibit #3 Beam Section Properties
- Exhibit #4 Crane Load Chart
- Exhibit #5 Stage I Crane Placement Plan
- Exhibit #6 Stage II Crane Placement Plan
- Exhibit #7 Beam Delivery Vehicle Detail
- Exhibit #8 Beam Delivery Routes
- Exhibit #9 Turning Movements at Intersections
- Exhibit #10 Delivery Route Structures: Locations and Reports

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF REVIEW

The purpose of this review is to identify feasible methods of erection and delivery for the beams for four bridges that are being reconstructed as a part of Section [(139)VB,HB-3]BR,139R in Kankakee County. The purpose of this report is not to mandate means and methods, but rather to verify the feasibility of construction. This study will also cover a series of recommendations for design based on the methods of beam delivery and erection considered here. These will be recommendations for construction sequencing, traffic control and protection, estimate of construction time, and estimate of construction cost.

1.2 LOCATION OF REVIEW

The area of study for this review is within the City of Kankakee, Illinois. A location map of this project can be found in Exhibit #1. This review focuses on the construction areas of proposed Structures No. (SN) 046-0156, 046-0157, 046-0158, and 046-0159 on I-57 (existing SN 046-0008, 046-0009, 046-0010, and 046-0011). These structures carry I-57 over Norfolk Southern (NS) Railway and Grinnell Road in Kankakee. See Exhibit #2 for the Type, Size, and Location sheets for the proposed structures.

There were also three intersections in Kankakee that were evaluated for their ability to accommodate the turning movements of the beam delivery vehicles. These intersections are:

- I-57 Exit and IL Route 50
- IL Route 50 and Grinnell Road
- Eastgate Industrial Parkway and a private road that leads to the bridges over NS Railway

2.0 Feasibility Study

2.1 Beam Erection

This section investigates feasible crane locations for the beam erection of Stages I and II of this project. Due to the feasibility of and room for variation within the conventional crane erection strategy discussed below, options involving beam launching with a gantry crane or other advanced methods were not considered.

2.1.1 Stage I

Stage I of this project involves the reconstruction of the northbound lanes of I-57. Within this stage there are two structures that will require a crane to be constructed:

- SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway)
- SN 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway)

This structure consists of three separate spans, with all three spans including precast, prestressed concrete (PPC) IL36-3838 beams that will need to be put in place using a crane. See Exhibit #3 for an IDOT table of PPC IL Beam dimensions and weights. The North and South spans are both 52 feet, 4 inches. The center span is the governing condition for crane placement for this structure as it is the longest span at 97 feet, 10 inches, with its midpoint the farthest from any potential crane location.

The beams for span 2 are approximately 98 feet long (as stated above) and weigh approximately 82,320 pounds. For the sake of this evaluation we assumed the size of the beams to be 100 feet long with a weight of 85,000 pounds.

The first method considered was setting a crane at grade with I-57 and behind the new abutment locations and providing a swing to the midpoint of the center span. This approach required a crane swing range of approximately 140'. This swing radius is possible with typical rough terrain cranes; however, the allowable boom weight at this extension tends to fall between 10,000 pounds – 12,000 pounds depending on which crane is being used. This is drastically lower than what is required and is therefore not a feasible option.

The next option considered was a higher-capacity all-terrain crane with as many as 9 steering axles. One example of the higher end capacity/size range of the all-terrain cranes – a Demag AC700 – has a capacity of 770 tons and a boom swing of 197 feet. From the beam loading chart for this crane, the 140' swing radius has a capacity of approximately 55,000 lbs. While this is a much larger weight capacity, it is still significantly lower than the required weight for the crane, meaning a crane location outside of the limits of the new abutments is likely not a feasible option. For a table showing the capacity of the Demag AC 700 crane under various loading scenarios, see Exhibit #4.

Placement was then checked between the south abutment and new pier. Placing the crane within the flat area at grade with the NS Tracks and in front of the existing abutment slope will provide sufficient swing and capacity when assuming the Demag AC700 in the test configuration. The boom length for this case will be longer vertically, since the crane is not sitting at grade with I-57. The vertical offset coupled with the 60-degree takeoff angle resulted

in a vertical height of approximately 105 feet with a boom length of at least 130 feet. The inclination of the existing slope for the abutment was also considered. The natural ground line is inclined at approximately 24 degrees. The Demag AC700 has a maximum off-road slope incline of 40 degrees, so it is feasible for an all-terrain crane to traverse the slope from I-57 directly and access the area below the bridges.

Placing the crane either in front of the proposed abutment or adjacent to the bridge may provide limitations for construction sequence. The piers must be completed, and center span beams must be set prior to building the bridge embankment cone and then driving the H-piles for the abutment sections.

As the North and South spans are shorter and lighter, both spans could be set with the crane at the North and South ends of the bridge respectively. For a crane to access the North end of the structure, it will need to drive on the Stage I NB lane across the existing SB structure and then cross over the median area to the North end of the proposed NB structure. It was assumed infeasible for a crane to access the north end of the bridge by crossing the NS tracks. A summary of the Stage I crane placement can be found in Exhibit #5.

SN 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

The beam lengths for the bridges over Grinnell Road are approximately 45', 70', and 45'. For a beam unit weight of 840 lb/ft, the weights would be 37.8 kips and 58.8 kips respectively. The outrigger distance for the crane is approximately 21' from the center swing radius. Assume the crane will be placed 5' behind the fill face of the abutment. Thus, the total swing radius to reach the south span if the crane is mobilized at grade with I-57 and on the northern approach roadway would be:

 $L_{swing} = 21' + 5' + 45' + 70' + 45'/2 = 163.5'$

The exterior beams will be slightly farther than this distance. Using Bentley MicroStation, the exterior beam distance was measured to be 164.5 feet. Utilizing the same crane as the previous structure – the Demag AC700 - for a horizontal swing of 168', the crane capacity is 38.5 kip. The capacity for this crane is therefore sufficient for the proposed 37.8 kip beam weight with a swing of 164.5 feet. This sufficiency means that a Demag AC700 (or equivalent) can be placed at the South end of the bridge and used to place all the beams for the structure, as shown in Exhibit #5.

2.1.2 Stage II

Stage II of this project involves the reconstruction of the southbound lanes of I-57. Within this stage there are two structures that will require a crane to be constructed:

- SN 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway)
- SN 046-0159 (SB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

SN 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway)

Once the Stage I bridges have been completed, traffic will be shifted to the new bridges on the northbound side and construction of the new substructure units will begin.

The presence of the completed slope wall for Stage I, located in front of the new NB abutment will restrict crane access to the center span during Stage II. Due to this restriction, the crane will

need to traverse the existing slope for the abutment down to the area below the bridges, similar to the south span placement for SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway). Temporary shoring at the centerline of I-57 will be used to maintain the existing slope under Structure 046-0157 during this crane placement.

Similar to SN 046-0156, the North and South spans of the bridge could be constructed with the crane at grade with I-57 and at the North and South ends of the bridge, respectively. A summary for the Stage II crane placement can be found in Exhibit #6.

SN 046-0159 (SB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

SN 046-0159 requires the same weight capacity and horizontal swing that was discussed previously for SN 046-0158. Therefore, placing a crane equivalent to the one discussed previously at the South end of the structure will be sufficient for beam placement.

2.2 Beam Delivery

This section investigates a potential strategy for the transportation of the bridge beams to the crane locations in the two separate stages specified in the preceding sections.

2.2.1 Stage I

During this stage, two structures will have beams delivered to them. They are:

- SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway)
- SN 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

The primary consideration when choosing delivery routes was avoiding the need for any private access agreements. It is important to note that there is a lack of laydown space without any private access agreements. Alternative routes that require private access agreements include:

- The private access road between Grinnell Road and the NS Railway and east of I-57
- Delivery of beams by rail to the NS siding tracks under I-57
- Eastgate Industrial Parkway to the private access road that leads to the NS Railway
- The access road along the property Southwest of the bridges over the NS Railway

To evaluate potential delivery strategies, turning movements were modeled using the dimensions for the design vehicle shown in Exhibit #7. The dimensions for this vehicle were based off typical delivery vehicle specifications provided by County Materials. A layout plan of the potential delivery paths can be found in Exhibit #8.

SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway)

This structure has three separate crane placements. Due to turning restrictions created as a result of the delivery vehicle's size, delivery to the center crane placement from the private road leading to the project limits from Eastgate Industrial Parkway is infeasible without additional construction at the intersection, as shown in Exhibit #9. Therefore, a more realistic delivery method within the current scope of the project would be to deliver the beams to the central and north crane placements on the Stage I northbound traffic lane of SN 046-0009. This will require a temporary "rolling" closure of the lane, which will almost certainly require the work to be done at night, and with the assistance of flaggers. The south crane placement will be able to receive beams directly south of it on the closed road. This will require flaggers to open and close the traffic control at the southern median crossover to let the delivery vehicles in.

SN 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

Due to the closing of Grinnell Road during bridge construction, the least disruptive way to deliver the beams would be directly to Grinnell Road, adjacent to the proposed bridge. A delivery vehicle would exit I-57 onto Illinois Route 50, then drive South to Grinnell Road, and then East along Grinnell Road to the bridge crossing.

According to the IDOT Interactive Map of Obstructions and Restrictions, there are no size or weight restrictions on the route proposed. Exhibit #9 shows modeled turning movements of the delivery vehicle at each of the intersections in this delivery method. This path directs the delivery vehicles over or under three structures. No size or weight restrictions were identified with these structures, and a map of their location along with their IDOT Structure reports can be found in Exhibit #10.

2.2.2 Stage II

During this stage, two structures will have beams delivered to them. They are:

- SN 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway)
- SN 046-0159 (SB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

The analysis for this stage assumed the same delivery vehicle as the previous stage, shown in Exhibit #7. A layout plan for the delivery paths discussed in this section can be found in Exhibit #8.

SN 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway)

Similar to the delivery method for SN 046-0156, the most feasible delivery method is limited to a "rolling traffic stop" that would require the beams to be pulled off trailers in the Stage II southbound traffic lane of SN 046-0156. This will require stopping southbound traffic on the bridge while the beam is being removed from the trailer or using flaggers to conduct traffic through a single lane. Since this is an interstate, this would almost certainly require that beam deliveries are made during the night for this structure.

SN 046-0159 (SB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

This structure's proximity to the nearby overhead electric lines makes delivery from Grinnell Road infeasible. Therefore, it is recommended that the beams be delivered using a "rolling" road closure similar to SN 046-0156/-0157, as shown in Exhibit #8.

3.0 Design Recommendations

The following are design items that can be affected by the beam delivery and erection strategies that have been considered.

- Sequence of Construction
- Traffic Control
- Estimate of Time
- Estimate of Cost

There are many feasible ways to organize labor and equipment for beam erections on this project. For the sake of this report, three distinct construction options will be evaluated for their impacts on the above design items:

- Bridges constructed simultaneously, with two cranes
- Bridges constructed sequentially, with one crane
- Bridges constructed simultaneously, with one crane

Within this section of the report, SN 046-0156 and 046-0157 will be referred to as the "Bridges over NS" and SN 046-0158 and 046-0159 will be referred to as the "Bridges over Grinnell". It will be assumed that all beam deliveries that require temporary road closure on I-57 will be completed at night to limit risks and traffic impact.

3.1 Construction Sequencing

This section of the report will discuss how beam delivery and erection might affect the construction sequence of each of the structures in each stage.

3.1.1 Bridges over NS (SN 046-0156/046-0157)

Within each stage, the Bridge over NS of that stage will have the center span beams erected first, followed by the beams for each of the outside spans.

The center span will need to be erected first because the crane placement prevents the construction of the south bridge embankment cone as well as the erection of the south span beams. The north embankment and abutment could be constructed along with the piers, leaving the north span ready for beams before the south span.

3.1.2 Bridges over Grinnell (SN 046-0158/046-0159)

The Bridges over Grinnell do not have any limitations regarding the order of span delivery and erection.

3.1.3 Bridges constructed simultaneously, with two cranes

If there are two cranes erecting the beams, then both structures in each stage can be constructed simultaneously. During Stage I, the structures could be constructed in separate shifts. Beams for SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway) would be delivered during the night, while beams for SN 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road) could be delivered during the day. During Stage II, the limitations on Stage II delivery would require both cranes to work at night for delivery and erection.

3.1.4 Bridges constructed sequentially, with one crane

If only one crane is used for delivery and erection, one option would be to erect the beams for each structure sequentially. This would involve one crane constructing each span for each structure, and completing one structure before moving to the other. Doing this would allow for beam deliveries to each bridge using the closed traffic lanes for I-57, rather than the active stage traffic lanes with temporary lane closures.

3.1.5 Bridges constructed simultaneously, with one crane

Another feasible method for delivery and erection with a single crane would be to move the crane back and forth between structures while the bridges are constructed simultaneously.

3.2 Traffic Control and Protection

This section of the report will discuss the impact that beam delivery and erection will have on traffic control and protection.

3.2.1 Stage I

During this stage traffic control will need to be considered for beam delivery and erection for both SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway) and SN 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road).

SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway)

Sufficient flaggers and warning signs for a temporary lane closure on I-57 will be necessary for beam delivery and erection for this structure. Changeable message boards would also be recommended to keep drivers updated on the changing roadway conditions. Assuming beam delivery and erection are completed during the night, it will also require night work PPE and proper lighting for the roadway and the beam erection site. There will be an alternate detour route in place for any traffic that is diverted during any temporary lane closure on I-57.

SN 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

It is assumed that Grinnell Road will be closed during the delivery of the beams. This closure will require proper barricades to prevent the public from traveling into the job site. However, this closure should still allow access to businesses during daytime delivery. Therefore, flaggers will be necessary to allow access to businesses within the closure. It is recommended to have changeable message boards to alert the public of any changes with the roadway conditions.

Temporary traffic control will be necessary at each of the intersections the delivery vehicles will turn on during their delivery to the structure. While Exhibit #9 shows that the vehicle can travel through these intersections, it will need to travel slowly and with enough space to properly maneuver. This will require flaggers to stop traffic and spotters to conduct the vehicle through the intersections.

3.2.2 Stage II

During this stage traffic control will need to be considered for beam delivery and erection for both SN 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway) and SN 046-0159 (SB I-57 over Grinnell Road).

SN 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway)

Similar to SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway), beam delivery and erection for this structure will require a temporary lane closure on I-57 with all necessary flaggers and warning signs. It is recommended to have changeable message boards to keep drivers updated on the changing roadway conditions. It is assumed that this structure's beam delivery and erection will be completed during the night, which will require night work PPE and proper lighting for the roadway and beam erection site.

SN 046-0159 (SB I-57 over Grinnell Road)

This structure's beam delivery and erection requires a temporary lane closure on I-57. Therefore, it will require flaggers, warning signs, and night protection similar to SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway) and SN 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway). It is recommended to have changeable message boards to keep drivers updated on the changing roadway conditions.

3.2.3 Bridges constructed simultaneously, with two cranes

Using two cranes could provide an opportunity to deliver beams to two bridges at the same time. This could reduce the number of lane closures on I-57.

3.2.4 Bridges constructed sequentially, with one crane

Sequential construction could allow for delivery vehicles to use either an existing or a recently completed bridge to access the other bridge in a given stage of construction. Because of this, a sequential construction method would have the smallest impact to traffic on I-57 out of the three options examined in this report.

3.2.5 Bridges constructed simultaneously, with one crane

One factor to consider with this method is the additional traffic control required to move the crane between bridges, using an I-57 traffic lane. This may require additional temporary lane closures on I-57 as the crane moves between structures.

3.3 Estimate of Time

This section will examine how beam delivery and erection might influence the estimate of time.

3.3.1 Bridges over NS (SN 046-0156/046-0157)

A special consideration for time should be taken for the Bridges over the NS Railway. As described above, the center span of these structures will need to be placed before the outer spans. To do this, the contractor will need to switch from substructure construction to beam erection and then back to substructure construction. These switches between operation will create inefficiencies for the contractor which will result in a longer construction duration for these bridges.

3.3.2 Bridges constructed simultaneously, with two cranes

This method of construction would be the fastest of the three proposed in this document. Both structures within each stage could be constructed simultaneously.

3.3.3 Bridges constructed sequentially, with one crane

This construction method would be the slowest out of the three choices. The sequential construction pattern would restrict beam erection to one span and one bridge at a time.

3.3.4 Bridges constructed simultaneously, with one crane

The estimate of time for this method would be between the two-crane and the sequential methods. The crane could move between structures when feasible. This would lead to a reduction of time compared to the sequential method. It would also be slower than the two-crane method as each crane movement would have a time cost.

3.4 Estimate of Cost

This section will examine the influence that beam delivery and erection will have on the estimate of cost.

3.4.1 Bridges constructed simultaneously, with two cranes

One clear consideration of cost in this method is the cost for the mobilization and use of a second crane. This method would also require two separate crews of laborers, operators, etc. This method would also include the costs associated with night work for the beam deliveries and crane movements that require a temporary lane closure on I-57.

3.4.2 Bridges constructed sequentially, with one crane

This method would only need one crane mobilized to the project. It would also only require one crew of workers. It would also avoid the additional traffic control costs of repeatedly moving the crane. However, being the slowest construction method recommended, it would require the crane to be on-site for a longer amount of time than either of the other methods, which creates an opportunity cost for the contractor. This method would have the lowest night work costs, limited to the crane movements that will need to be completed at night.

3.4.3 Bridges constructed simultaneously, with one crane

This strategy would only need one crane mobilized to the project. The more efficient use of the crane would reduce the time required relative to the sequential strategy. A second crew of labor might be required to keep both bridges ready for simultaneous construction. There will also be an increased cost in traffic control as the crane will require multiple movements back and forth between structures. This method would require all the night work costs associated with the two-crane method, as well as night work costs for additional movements of the crane between bridges.

3.5 Preferred Option for Design Recommendations

Considering the high traffic volumes and importance of I-57, we assume that completing this construction project within no more than two construction seasons would be a high priority. It is our opinion that bridges constructed simultaneously, with two cranes, is the construction method that will most likely deliver the shortest construction duration. Therefore, we recommend the District use the two-crane construction method to develop estimates of cost and time. Additionally, we recommend the construction sequencing and traffic control factors related to the two crane construction method be incorporated into the plans and specifications.

4.0 Conclusions

4.1 Feasibility Study

The Feasibility Study investigated the potential for the contractor to deliver and erect the bridge beams for SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway), 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway), 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road), and 046-0159 (SB I-57 over Grinnell Road).

4.1.1 Beam Erection

During this examination of the project, it was determined that a Demag AC700 or equivalent crane could feasibly place the beams for all three spans of each of the four structures.

4.1.2 Beam Delivery

This section of the report determined that it was feasible to deliver the beams to both structures.

SN 046-0156, 046-0157, and 046-0159 will have the beams pulled directly from an I-57 traffic lane and will require a temporary road closure to do so. It is recommended to do this work at night to limit impact to traffic.

There are feasible alternative delivery routes. However, these routes would require private access agreements and were therefore not considered further in this report

SN 046-0158 will have the beams delivered to the structure via Grinnell Road. To complete this delivery, the delivery vehicles will need to turn south onto IL 50 from I-57, and then from IL 50 East onto Grinnell Road. Exhibit #9 demonstrates that a delivery vehicle similar in size to the one shown in Exhibit #7 could navigate those intersections.

Neither IL 50 nor Grinnell Road have any posted weight restrictions. There are three structures that the delivery vehicles will have to navigate over or under get to the job site. No size or weight restrictions were identified with any of the structures. Their location and IDOT Structure Reports can be found in Exhibit #10.

4.2 Design Recommendations

This section investigated the potential impact that beam delivery and erection has on design. The strategies suggested were:

- Bridges constructed simultaneously, with two cranes
- Bridges constructed sequentially, with one crane
- Bridges constructed simultaneously, with one crane

We concluded that Bridges constructed simultaneously, with two cranes is the preferred option for design recommendations.

4.2.1 Construction Sequencing

For the Bridges over NS the center span will need to be erected first as the crane placement will prevent work on the south abutment and the south span. Then the outer spans can be placed.

For the Bridges over Grinnell Road there is no necessary order of span placement.

Both bridges could potentially be constructed simultaneously. The two-crane construction method fully utilizes this capability.

4.2.2 Traffic Control and Protection

A traffic control concern is the additional signage, flaggers, and PPE for the temporary lane closure on I-57 and night work for SN 046-0156 (NB I-57 over NS Railway), 046-0157 (SB I-57 over NS Railway), and 046-0159 (SB I-57 over Grinnell Road). SN 046-0158 (NB I-57 over Grinnell Road) would only require a road closure on Grinnell Road and additional temporary traffic control for intersections while the delivery vehicles are driving through them. The two-crane simultaneous method could provide an opportunity to deliver beams to two bridges at the same time, reducing the number of temporary lane closures on I-57.

4.2.3 Estimate of Time

The bridges over NS will need the beams for the center span erected first. This will require the contractor to switch from substructure construction to beam delivery and erection, and then back to substructure construction. This interruption of the substructure process will add construction time to the bridges over NS.

4.2.4 Estimate of Cost

The discussion of this section found that the two-crane simultaneous method would require an additional mobilization fee and cost of an additional crane. It would also require a second crew of laborers, operators, and all other positions necessary for beam delivery and erection.

EXHIBIT #1

PROJECT LOCATION MAP



USER NAME = jwilliamson	DESIGNED - DRAWN -	JLW DRR	REVISED - REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS		CONS			Y REVIE	w	F.A.I. RTE	SECTION	COUNTY KANKAKEE	TOTAL SHEET SHEETS NO.
PLOT SCALE = 17370.7319 ' / in.	CHECKED -	JCZ	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	LOCATION MAP						[(159)/0,1055]01,1591	CONTRACT	NO. 66F74	
PLOT DATE = 12/30/2019	DATE - 1	2/30/2019	REVISED -		SCALE:	SHEET	OF	SHEETS	STA.	TO STA.		ILLINOIS FED. A		

*NOT TO SCALE

EXHIBIT #2

TYPE, SIZE, AND LOCATION PLANS



	F.A.I. RTE	SECTION		COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.			
	57	[(139)VB]ES		KANKAKEE					
				CONTRACT NO. 66F74					
2 SHEETS	ILLINOIS FED. AD PROJECT								



^{10/3/2019 8:21:59} AM



LOADING HL-93

Allow 50#/sq. ft. for future wearing surface.

DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS

2017 AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, 8th Edition

DESIGN STRESSES

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{FIELD \ UNITS} \\ f'c = 3,500 \ psi \\ f'c = 4,000 \ psi \ (Superstructure \ Concrete) \\ fy = 60,000 \ psi \ (Reinforcement) \\ \underline{PRECAST \ PRESTRESSED \ UNITS} \\ f'c = 8,500 \ psi \\ f'ci = 7,000 \ psi \\ fpu = 270,000 \ psi \ (0.6'' \ O \ low \ lax. \ strands) \\ fpbt = 202,300 \ psi \ (0.6'' \ O \ low \ lax. \ strands) \end{array}$

SEISMIC DATA

Seismic Performance Zone (SPZ) = 1 Design Spectral Acceleration at 1.0 sec. (SD1) = 0.072 g Design Spectral Acceleration at 0.2 sec. (SDS) = 0.125 g Soil Site Class = C

HIGHWAY CLASSIFICATION

F.A.U. Rte. 6221 (Grinnell Rd.) Functional Class: Minor Arterial ADT: 3,120 (2021); 3,720 (2041) ADTT: 250 (2021); 298 (2041) DHV: 312 (2021); 372 (2041) Design Speed: 30 m.p.h. Posted Speed: 30 m.p.h. Two-Way Traffic Directional Distribution: 50:50 F.A.I. Rte. 57 Functional Class: Interstate ADT: 31,392 (2021); 37,152 (2041) ADTT: 7,409 (2021); 8,768 (2041) DHV: 3,139 (2021); 3,715 (2041) Design Speed: 75 m.p.h. Posted Speed: 70 m.p.h. Two-Way Traffic Directional Distribution: 50:50



<u>GENERAL PLAN & ELEVATION</u> <u>I-57 OVER GRINNELL ROAD</u> <u>F.A.I. RTE 57 - SEC. [(139)HB-3]ES</u> <u>KANKAKEE COUNTY</u> <u>STATION 138+90.74</u> <u>STRUCTURE NO. 046-0158 (N.B.)</u> STRUCTURE NO. 046-0159 (S.B.)

		F.A.I. RTE	SEC.	TION		COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.			
		57	[(139)H	B-3]ES		KANKAKEE					
						CONTRACT NO. 66F74					
2	SHEETS			ILLINOIS	FED. A	D PROJECT					



10/3/2019 8:19:20 AM

EXHIBIT #3

BEAM SECTION PROPERTIES



		-				-		
Beam	Area (in²)	Ix (in ⁴)	Iy (in ⁴)	Sb (in ³)	St (in ³)	Cb (in)	Ct (in)	Wt.(lbs/ft)
IL27-1830	457.9	33879	20442	3060.4	2126.7	11.07	15.93	477
IL 36 - 2438	728.0	100433	50889	6832.1	4715.1	14.70	21.30	759
IL 36 - 3838	805.4	124639	69530	7563.0	6385.1	16.48	19.52	840
IL45-2438	791.0	182623	51146	10045.2	6809.2	18.18	26.82	825
IL45-3838	868.4	223604	69787	11004.1	9060.1	20.32	24.68	905
IL54-2438	854.0	295427	51403	13551.6	9174.7	21.80	32.20	890
IL54-3838	931.4	357078	70044	14730.9	11998.5	24.24	29.76	971
IL63-2438	917.0	441689	51661	17294.0	11790.9	25.54	37.46	956
IL63-3838	994.4	527741	70302	18687.7	15182.4	28.24	34.76	1036
IL72-2438	980.0	624180	51918	21237.8	14648.6	29.39	42.61	1021
IL 72 - 3838	1057.4	738236	70559	22855.6	18595.3	32.30	39.70	1102

SECTION PROPERTIES

Beam nomenclature: ILXX-YYZZ XX=Beam depth YY=Top flange width ZZ=Bottom flange width

Figure 1

IL-BEAM SECTION PROPERTIES

EXHIBIT #4

CRANE LOAD CHART



HA

AC 700-9

	308,600 lb						360°			1.00			-	85%
13	1 50.9 ft*	50.9 ft	67.3 ft*	67.3 ft	83.7 ft*	83.7 ft	100.1 ft	116.5 ft	132.9 ft	149.3 ft	165.7 ft	182.1 ft	196.9 ft	12
ft	and a standard and a	TRAC				HEARING	1,000 lb)		State of the	Plant and	TAR AND	T BUILD	ft
	1543.51)	+	4	14	-	-	-		-	+	-	-	-	
10	1255.12)	687.8	687.8	687.8		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10
12	1067.82)	687.8	687.8	687.8		-	-	1 (m)	Ξ.	(#)		-	140	12
14	967.52)	687.8	687.8	687.8	661.4	661.4	-	-	-	-	-	-		14
16	884.32)	674.5	687.8	672.9	661.4	661.4	-						-	16
18	817.22)	635.9	670.7	633.7	630.3	630.3	494.2	423.6	-	-		-	-	18
20	755.02)	594.4	646.2	592.0	591.5	591.5	478.1	409.9	345.8	-	-	-	-	20
24	659.7	529.3	568.4	525.5	519.8	519.8	446.5	381.1	314.2	266.3		-		24
28	581.1	473.7	504.4	471.5	461.5	461.5	415.8	354.2	285.9	248.7		1		28
32	513.5	429.2	452.9	425.4	412.7	412.7	385.2	327.3	260.6	232.5	214.9	-	-	32
36	450.0	393.2	412.0	388.8	374.5	374.5	355.6	302.6	239.6	216.9	200.9	174.4	-	36
40	-	-	374.5	355.1	339.9	339.9	326.7	279.4	220.2	201.8	187.6	162.8	142.0	40
48	-	-	318.9	303.5	290.9	290.9	277.7	245.9	190.4	176.0	163.4	144.6	126.9	48
56			252.0	239.5	252.6	252.6	238.2	219.9	165.3	154.3	143.9	129.7	114.8	56
64		-			223.7	223.7	208.3	198.4	144.9	135.8	127.6	117.9	104.4	64
72	-	-	-	-	188.2	179.6	185.7	177.9	128.2	120.5	113.8	107.2	95.0	72
80	-	-	-	-	-	-	167.7	160.6	113.8	107.4	103.2	97.9	87.1	80
88	difference of a		-	-	-	-	-	145.4	102.7	96.7	93.8	89.9	80.4	88
96	142	121	(ini)	-	12	4	-	132.6	93.2	87.3	85.1	82.5	73.7	96
104		-		-	-	- 1	-	113.1	85.7	80.2	78.0	75.8	67.9	104
112	-	14.		-		14	-	-	78.0	73.5	71.3	69.2	63.2	112
120	THE REPORT			-		_	-	-	-	67.3	65.1	64.6	58.4	120
128	(•)	-	-	-		-	-			62.8	60.4	59.8	54.1	128
136	ALL		Sec.	-	14	-	-	10 A 4		58.1	55.7	55.6	50.4	136
144		-	· •	-		-	-		-	-	51.8	51.8	46.9	144
152		-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48.3	43.7	152
160	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	45.1	41.0	160
168	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		38.5	168
176	1.523	12	1000	2	0.22	<u>u</u>	121	-	-	4	iter	-	36.1	176

* central jack leg + 352,700 lb counterweight
¹⁾ over rear, special attachment required, on request

2) over rear, heavy-lift attachment required



¥

EXHIBIT #5

STAGE I CRANE PLACEMENT PLAN



CONSTRUCTABIL STAGE I CRANE PLA SHEET 1 OF 2 SHEETS



LEGEND

CENTER SPAN CRANE PLACEMENT

SOUTH SPAN CRANE PLACEMENT

NORTH SPAN CRANE PLACEMENT

OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE

EXISTING ROW PROPOSED PERMANENT EASMENT

CRANE LOCATION

PROPOSED CRANE REACH

CRANE TRAVEL ARROW

SUBSTRUCTURE UNIT

STAGE TRAFFIC LANES



LITY REVIEW		F.A.I RTE	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.					
ACEMENT PLAN				[(139)VB, HB-3]BR, 139R							
.А	CEMEN		_	CONTRACT NO. 66F7							
٢S	STA.	TO STA.	ILLINOIS FED. AID PROJECT								



STAGE I CRANE PLACEMENT PLAN SHEET 2 OF 2 SHEETS STA.



EXHIBIT #6

STAGE II CRANE PLACEMENT PLAN





LEGEND

CENTER SPAN CRANE PLACEMENT

SOUTH SPAN CRANE PLACEMENT

NORTH SPAN CRANE PLACEMENT

OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE

EXISTING ROW PROPOSED PERMANENT EASEMENT





CRANE LOCATION

PROPOSED CRANE REACH

CRANE TRAVEL ARROW

TEMPORARY SHEET PILING/ SOIL RETENTION SYSTEM

SUBSTRUCTURE UNIT

STAGE TRAFFIC LANES

PROPOSED DELIVERY VEHICLE

LITY REVIEW	F.A.I RTE	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.			
	57	[(139)VB, HB-3]BR, 139R	KANKAKEE					
LACEMENT PLAN	CONTRACT NO. 66							
TS STA. TO STA.	ILLINOIS FED. AID PROJECT							



STAGE II CRANE PLA



	OFRAFRIT		57	[[(139)VB, HB	-3 JBR, 1	39R	KANKAKEE		
PLA	CEMENT	PLAN					CONTRACT	NO. 60	6F74
ETS	STA.	TO STA.			ILLINOIS	FED. AI	D PROJECT		

EXHIBIT #7

BEAM DELIVERY VEHICLE DETAIL



I-57 Beam Delivery Vehicle

First Unit Width Trailer Width First Unit Track Trailer Track

feet : 8.01 : 2.99 : 8.01 : 8.01

Lock to Lock Time Steering Angle Articulating Angle

: 40.0	•	6.0
: 40.0	•	
•	•	40.0
\cdot 70 0	:	$7 \cap \cap$
· /0.0		70.0

	USER NAME = jwilliamson	DESIGNED -	OIL	REVISED -			CO	ONST	FRUC	TABIL	LITY	REVIEW		F.A.I. RTE	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL SHEET SHEETS NO.
		DRAWN -	JLW	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS		D				ov vo			57	[(139)VB,HB-3]BR,139R	KANKAKE	-
2	PLOT SCALE = 17370.7319 / in.	CHECKED -	JCZ	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		D	BEAM	ים וי	CLIVER	nt V	EHICLE				CONTRA	CT NO. 66F74
Ē	PLOT DATE = 12/3/2019	DATE -	12/03/2019	REVISED -		SCALE:	SHEET		OF	SHEE	TS ST	TA.	TO STA.		ILLINOIS FED.	AID PROJECT	

EXHIBIT #8

BEAM DELIVERY ROUTES


USER NAME = jwilliamson	DESIGNED -	JLW	REVISED -			CONS	TRUCT		Y REVIEW		F.A.I. BTE	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL SHEET
	DRAWN -	DRR	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS							57	[(139)VB,HB-3]BR,139R	KANKAKEE	SHEETS HOL
PLOT SCALE = 17370.7319 / in.	CHECKED -	JCZ	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	BEAM DELIVERY ROUTES				CONTRACT	T NO. 66F74				
PLOT DATE = 12/30/2019	DATE -	12/30/2019	REVISED -		SCALE:	SHEET	OF	SHEETS	STA.	TO STA.		ILLINOIS FED. AI	D PROJECT	

*NOT TO SCALE

CONSTRUCTABILITY REPORT PPC IL BEAM DELIVERY AND ERECTION

EXHIBIT #9

TURNING MOVEMENTS AT INTERSECTIONS

January 2, 2020



Farnsworth	USER NAME = jwilliamson	DESIGNED - DRAWN -	JLW JLW	REVISED - REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS		CONSTRUCTABILIT
2709 McGRAW DRIVE BLOOMINGTON, ILLINOIS 61704	PLOT SCALE = 49.9999 ' / in.	CHECKED -	JCZ	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		BEAM DELIVERY IN
(309) 663-8435 / info@f-w.com	PLOT DATE = 12/3/2019	DATE -	12/03/2019	REVISED -		SCALE:	SHEET 1 OF 4 SHEETS



Farnsworth	USER NAME = jwilliamson	DESIGNED -	JLW	REVISED -			CONSTRUCTABILIT
		DRAWN -	JLW	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS	D7	
2709 McGRAW DRIVE BLOOMINGTON, ILLINOIS 61704	PLOT SCALE = 49.9999 ' / in.	CHECKED -	JCZ	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	BF	EAM DELIVERY INT
(309) 663-8435 / info@f-w.com	PLOT DATE = 12/3/2019	DATE -	12/03/2019	REVISED -		SCALE:	SHEET 2 OF 4 SHEETS



12/11 13	Farnsworth	USER NAME = jwilliamson	DESIGNED -	JLW	REVISED -			CONSTRUCTABILITY REVIEW	F.A.I RTE	SECTION	COUNTY TOTAL SHE SHEETS NO
NAME	S GROUP		DRAWN -	JLW	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS		BEAM DELIVERY INTERSECTIONS	57	[(139)VB, HB-3]BR, 139R	KANKAKEE
FILE	2709 McGRAW DRIVE BLOOMINGTON, ILLINOIS 61704 (309) 663-8435 / info@fw com	PLOT SCALE = 49.9999 ' / in.	CHECKED -	JCZ	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	SCALE	SHEET 3 OF A SHEETS STA TO STA			CONTRACT NO. 66F74
	(309) 003-64357 III0@Fw.com	1201 BATE = 12/5/2015	DATE - 1	2/05/2015	NEWISED -		JCALL.			ILLINUIS FED. A	ID PROJECT



SCALE IN FEET



F.A.I RTE	SEC	TION		COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.
57	[(139)VB, HE	3-3]BR, 1	139R	KANKAKEE		
_				CONTRACT	NO. 66	5F74
		ILLINOIS	FED. A	D PROJECT		
	RTE.	RTE. SEC	RTE SECTION 57 [(139)VB, HB-3]BR, 1	RTE. SECTION 57 [(139)VB, HB-3]BR, 139R	RTE. SECTION COUNTY 57 [(139)VB, HB-3]BR, 139R KANKAKEE CONTRACT	RTE SECTION COUNTY SHEETS 57 [(139)VB, HB-3]BR, 139R KANKAKEE CONTRACT NO. 66

CONSTRUCTABILITY REPORT PPC IL BEAM DELIVERY AND ERECTION

EXHIBIT #10

DELIVERY ROUTE STRUCTURES: LOCATIONS AND REPORTS

January 2, 2020



USER NAME = jwilliamson	DESIGNED -	JLW	REVISED -			CONS	STRUCT	ABILIT	Y RE	VIEW	F.A.I. RTE	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL SHEET SHEETS NO.
	DRAWN -	DRR	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS	DELIVI	ERY ROL	ITE ST	RIICTII	REI	OCATION MAP	57	[(139)VB,HB-3]BR,139R	KANKAKEE	
PLOT SCALE = 17370.7319 / in.	CHECKED -	JCZ	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	DELIVI								CONTRACT	T NO. 66F74
PLOT DATE = 12/3/2019	DATE - 1	2/03/2019	REVISED -		SCALE:	SHEET	OF	SHEETS	STA.	TO STA.		ILLINOIS FED. A	ID PROJECT	



*NOT TO SCALE

Illinois Department of Transportation Structures Information Management System Structure Summary Report

Structure Number	: 046-0125	District: 3	-	
		Inventor	y Data	
Facility Carried:	IL 50 FAP 840	Bridge Name:	Sufficiency Rating:	97.4 Structure Length: 90.
Feature Crossed:	SOLDIER CREEK	Location: N LMTS KKK	HBP Eligible:	No AASHTO Bridge Length: 89.0
Bridge Remarks:			Replaced By:	- Length of Long Span: 33.8
Bridge Status:	1 OPEN - NO RESTRICT	Status Date: 10/1/2003 12:00:00 AM	Replaces:	046-0044 Bridge Roadway Width: 65.6
Status Remarks:	OPEN TO TRAFFIC NO RESTRICTION		Last Update Date:	07/05/2012 Appr Roadway Width: 65.6
Maint County:	046 KANKAKEE	Maint Township: 02 BOURBON	INAIS Parallel Structure:	None Deck Width: 79.4
Maint Responsibility:	01 I.D.O.T.	-	Multi-Level Structure Nbr:	Sidewalk Width Right: 4.4
Service On/Under:	1 HIGHWAY	5 / WATERWAY	Skew Direction: R	Right Sidewalk Width Left: 4.9
Reporting Agency:	1 I.D.O.T BUREAU OF MAIN	TENANCE	Skew Angle: 20 D	Navigation Control: 0 No
Main Span Matl/Type:	2 CONCRETE CONTINUOUS	/ 01 SLAB	Structure Flared:	No Navigation Horiz Clear:
Nbr Of Main Spans:	3 Nbr Of Approac		Historical Significance:	No Navigation Vert Clear:
Approaches	the second se	•	Border Bridge State:	Culvert Fill Depth: 0.0
Near #1 Matl/Type:		/	Bdr State SN:	Number Culvert Cells:
Near #2 Matl/Type:			Bdr State % Responsibility:	0 Culvert Opening Area: 0.0
Far #1 Matl/Type:			Structural Steel Wt	0 Culvert Cell Height: 0.00
Far #2 Matl/Type:		1	Substructure Material:	Culvert Cell Width: 0.00
Median Width/Type:	0 Ft. / 0 None		Rated By: 2 IDOT	Rate Method: 6 LOAD FACTOR (LF) REPORTED BY RATING FACTOR (RF)
Toll Facility Indicator: Latitude: Deck Structure Type: Sidewalks Under Struc	0 No Toll 41.13881501 S Longitud A CIP CON NRMLLY FORM cture: 0 None		Design Load: 05 H15 cture Thickness: 15 SD: N FO: N	Crossing 1 Nbr: Crossing 1 Nbr: RR Lateral Underclear: 0.0 RR Vertical Underclear: 0 Ft 0 In
	Key Route On Da	ta	Key Rout	te Under Data
Key Route Nbr: FEDER	RAL-AID PRIMARY 0840	Station: 11.2100	,	Station:
Appurtenances Main F		Segment: Linked: Y		Segment:
Inventory County: 0 Township/Road Dist				Linked:
•				Natl. Hwy System:
Municipality 2915 Urban Area: 2915		ventory Direction: urr AADT Yr/Count: 2019 / 18800		Inventory Direction:
				Curr AADT Yr/Count: /
Functional Class: 3	• · · · = · · · · • • · · · = · · · · = · · · ·	st Truck Percentage: 7 umber Of Lanes: 4	South/East North/Mast	Est Truck Percentage:
			South/East North/West	Number Of Lanes:
		ne Or Two Way: 2 Two-Way		One Or Two Way:
Horizontal: 6		ypass Length: 2 2022 / 20421		Bypass Length:
		uture AADT Yr/Cnt: 2032 / 20431		Future AADT Yr/Cnt: /
1 - 1 1		esignated Truck Rte: NONE		Designated Truck Rte:
Lateral:		pecial Systems: No		Special Systems:
	*** Marked Route On I			ute Under Data ***
Devite #4. 4 MAIN	Designation	Kind Number	Designation	Kind Number
Route #1: 1 Mainli		Highway 050		
Route #2: 1 Mainli	ne			

Route #3: 1 Mainline

Date: 11/18/2019 Page: 1

Illinois Department of Transportation Structures Information Management System Structure Summary Report

Structure Number:	046-0125		District:	3									
				Data	Related to Ir	spection In	formation						
*** Inspect	tion Intervals **	**			*** Maxim	um Allowable	Posting Limits	s ***			Bri	idge Post	ing Level:
Routine NBIS:	48 MOS L	Jnderwater:	MOS	One Truc	k At A Time:	0	Combina	tion T	ype 3S-1:	Tons	5	No Pos	ting Required
	5	Special:	1	Single U	nit Vehicles:	Tons	Combina	tion T	ype 3S-2	Tons			
				Ir	nspection/App	oraisal Infor	mation						
Inspection Date:	03/04/2	2019 Inspection Tem	perature:		10Deg. F						** A	ctual Pos	ted Limits **
Deck:	7	GOOD CONDITIO	ON - SOME	MINOR PR	OBLEMS					Single	Unit Vehicle	es:	Tons
Superstructure:	7	GOOD CONDITIO	ON - SOME	MINOR PR	OBLEMS					Combi	nation Type	3S-1:	Tons
Substructure:	7	GOOD CONDITI	ON - SOME	MINOR PR	OBLEMS					Combi	nation Type	3S-2:	Tons
Culvert:	Ν	NOT APPLICABL	E							One Tr	uck At A Tir	ne:	0
Channel and Protection:	8	VERY GOOD CC	NDITION - N	O PROBL	EMS NOTED	Deck We	aring Surf:	Α	BARE DECK NO	O OVRLAY	Last	Paint Ty	pe:
Structural Evaluation:	7	BETTER THAN F	PRESENT M	NIMUM CF	RITERIA	Deck Me	mbrane:	F	NONE				
Deck Geometry:	7	BETTER THAN F	RESENT M	NIMUM CF	RITERIA	Deck Pro	tection:	Α	EPOXY COATE	D REINF			
Underclearance-Vert/Lat	.: N	NOT APPLICABL	E			Total Dec	k Thick:	15.	0				
Waterway Adequacy:	8	EQUAL TO PRES	SENT DESIF	ABLE CRI	TERIA	Last Pair	t Date:						
Approach Roadway Alig	n: 8	EQUAL TO PRES	SENT DESIF	ABLE CRI	TERIA								
Bridge Railing Appraisal	: 3	Meets Standards											
Approach Guardrail:	111	Does Not Exist	Does Not	Exist I	Does Not Exist								
Pier Navig Protection:	Ν	N/A											
				Underw	ater Inspection	on/Appraisa	I Informatio	on					
Inspection Date:													
Temperature:		Inspection Metho	d:										
					Appra	isal Rating:							

		Scour Critic	al Information	Miscellaneous						
Rating:	8	CALCULATED SCOUR ABOVE FOOTING	Evaluation Method:	В	Rational Analysis					
Analysis Da	ate:	11/05/2003				Microfilm Data Recorded:	No			
		Construction Information	on							
Year:	2003	Original	Reconstructed							
Route:	FAP 8	Sta: 1+584.96	Sta:							
Section Nbr	r:	(140)W&RS-1BR								
Contract Nb	or:	66072								
Fed Aid Pr#	ŧ:	0000000								
Built By:	1	I.D.O.T.								

Date: 11/18/2019 3

Page:

Structure Number: 046-0144 District: 3

			Inventory Dat	а			
Facility Carried:	I-57 SB	Bridge Name:		Sufficiency Rating:	94.8	Structure Length:	229.8
Feature Crossed:	IL 50	Location:	I-57 & IL 50 INTRCHG	HBP Eligible:	No	AASHTO Bridge Length	99.9
Bridge Remarks:	C#66409 NEW 046-0144 R	EPLACED OLD 046-0014 i	in 2011 & 2012. STAGE 1	9/1/1 Replaced By:		Length of Long Span:	67.8
Bridge Status:	1 OPEN - NO RESTRICT	StatusDate:	2013-04-12	Replaces:	046-0014	Bridge Roadway Width	58.7
Status Remarks:				Last Update Date:	02/24/2015	Appr Roadway Width:	58.7
Maint County:	046 KANKAKEE Mai	int Township: 02 BOU	RBONNAIS	Parallel Structure:	Left	Deck Width:	62.3
Maint Responsibility:	01 I.D.O.T.			Multi-Level Structur	re Nbr:	Sidewalk Width Right:	0.0
Service On/Under:	5 SECOND LEVEL INTERC	HANGE / 1 HIG	HWAY	Skew Direction:	Right	Sidewalk Width Left:	0.0
Reporting Agency:	1 I.D.O.T BUREAU OF M	AINTENANCE		Skew Angle: 19	D	Navigation Control:	0 No
Main Span Matl/Type:	4 STEEL CONTINUOUS	/ 02 STRING	ER/MULTI-BEAM/GIRDE	R Structure Flared:	No	Navigation Horiz Clea	r: 0
Nbr Of Main Spans:	4 Nbr Of Approach Span	s: 0		Historical Significa	nce: No	Navigation Vert Clear	0
Approaches				Border Bridge S	State:	Culvert Fill Depth:	0.0
Near #1 Matl/Type:		/		Bdr State SN:		Number Culvert Ce	ls: 0
Near #2 Matl/Type:		/		Bdr State % Res	sponsibility:	0 Culvert Opening Ar	ea: 0.0
Far #1 Matl/Type:		/		Structural Steel	Wt: 591,	630 Culvert Cell Height:	0.00
Far #2 Matl/Type:		/		Substructure Ma	aterial:	Culvert Cell Width:	0.00
Median Width/Type:	0 Ft. / 0 None			Rated By: 2 IDOT	Rate Me	ethod: 6 LOAD FACT	OR (LF) REP
Guardrail Type L/R:	0 None / 0	None Ir	ventory Rating: 1.	240 (44) Load Rating Date: (04/12/2013	***Railroad Crossing Ir	fo***
Toll Facility Indicator:	0 No Toll	0	perating Rating: 2.	071 (74)	Cros	sing 1 Nbr:	
Latitude: 41.16871	336 Longitude: 87.8510	7509 D	esign Load: 02 HS20		Cros	sing 1 Nbr:	
Deck Structure Type:	A CIP CON NRMLLY F	ORM Deck Struc	ture Thickness:	8.0 SD: N FO: N	RR L	ateral Underclear:	0.0
Sidewalks Under Struc	ture: 0 None				RR V	/ertical Underclear:	Ft 0 In
	Key Route	On Data		Ke	y Route Unde	er Data	
Key Route Nbr: FEDE	RAL-AID INTERSTATE	0057 Station: 13.510	0	FEDERAL-AID PRIMARY	0840 Statio		
Appurtenances Main F	Route 00000	Segment:		Main Route 00000	Segme	ent:	
Inventory County:	046 KANKAKEE	Linked:	Y	046 KANKAKEE	Linked	t: Y	
Township/Road Dist	2 BOURBONNAIS	Natl. Hwy System:	On NHS	02 BOURBONNAIS	Natl. H	lwy System: On N	HS
Municipality 0600		Inventory Direction:		0600 BRADLEY	Invent	ory Direction:	
Urban Area: 2915	j	Curr AADT Yr/Count		2915		ADT Yr/Count: 2017	/ 27100
Functional Class: 1	INTERSTATE	Est Truck Percentad	ge: 19 %	3 OTHER PRINCIPAL ARTERIA	AL Est Tr	uck Percentage:	4 %
** CLEARANCES ** So	outh/East North/West	Number Of Lanes:	3	South/East North/West	Numb	er Of Lanes:	4
Max Rdwy Width:	58.8	One Or Two Way:	1 One-Way	51.0	One O	r Two Way: 2 T	wo-Way
Horizontal:	59.6	Bypass Length:	2	53.0 53.0	Bypas	s Length:	2
Min Vertical: 99	Ft 11 In Ft In	Future AADT Yr/Cnt	: 2032 / 17189	14 Ft 09 In 14 Ft 03 In	Future	AADT Yr/Cnt: 2032	/ 32430
10 Ft Vertical: 99	Ft 11 In 99 Ft 11 In	Designated Truck R	te: CLASS I	14 Ft 11 In 14 Ft 03 In	Desig	nated Truck Rte: NON	Ξ
Lateral:		Special Systems:	Yes	5.4 Ft 15.8 Ft	•	al Systems: No	
	*** Marked Rou	• •		*** Mark	ed Route Und		
	Designation	Kind	Number	Designation		Kind	Number
Route #1: 1 Mainli	ine	1 Interstate Highway	057	1 Mainline	3 State	Highway	050
Route #1:1MainliRoute #2:1Mainli	-	1 Interstate Highway	057	1 Mainline 1 Mainline	3 State	Highway	050

Page 2

Structure Number:	046-0	144	District:	3								
				Data R	elated to Ins	pection Information	on					
***Inspect	tion Inte	rvals ***		***	Maximum Allo	wable Posting Limits *	**		В	ridge Pos	ting Level:	
Routine NBIS: 24 M	NOS U	nderwater:	MOS	One Truck At A Time	e: 0	Combination Type 3	3S-1:	Tons	5	No Post	ing Required	
Fracture Critical:	NOS SI	pecial:	Ν	Single Unit Vehicles	S: Tons	Combination Type 3	3S-2:	Tons				
				Insp	pection/Appr	aisal Information						
Inspection Date:		01/11/2019	lnspe	ction Temperature:	30 Deg. F	Insp by (Name):	Henr	ichsMW		** Ac	tual Posted L	imits **
Deck:	7	GOOD (CONDITION -	SOME MINOR PROBL	EMS	Insp by (Name):	Blase	eyJ		Single Ur	nit Vehicles:	Tons
Superstructure:	7	GOOD (CONDITION -	SOME MINOR PROBL	EMS	Utilities Attached:	9	ELECTRIC		Combina	tion Type 3S-	1: Tons
Substructure:	8	VERY G	GOOD CONDI	TION - NO PROBLEMS	S NOTED		Ν	N/A		Combina	tion Type 3S-2	2: Tons
Culvert:	Ν	NOT AP	PLICABLE				Ν	N/A		One Truc	k At A Time:	0
Channel and Protection:	Ν	NOT AP	PLICABLE			Deck Wearing Surf:	Α	BARE DECK NO OV	RLAY		Last P	aint Type:
Structural Evaluation:	7	BETTER	R THAN PRES	SENT MINIMUM CRITE	RIA	Deck Membrane:	F	NONE			Z FIELD O	ZE&P
Deck Geometry:	7	BETTER	R THAN PRE	SENT MINIMUM CRITE	RIA	Deck Protection:	Α	EPOXY COATED R	EINF			
Underclearance-Vert/Lat.	.: 5	BETTER	R THAN ADE	QUATE TO BE LEFT IN	N PLACE	Total Deck Thick:	8.0	1		ĺ		
Waterway Adequacy:	Ν	NOT AP	PPLICABLE			Last Paint Date:	09/	2013				
Approach Roadway Aligr	n: 8	EQUAL	TO PRESEN	T DESIRABLE CRITER	RIA	Inspection Remarks						
Bridge Railing Appraisal			Standards			2019 Deck has transv	erse a	and longitudinal cracks	. Approa	aches have	e mapcracking.	. Approach joint
Approach Guardrail:	33		ible A	cceptable Acce	eptable			orner is undermined at ninor rust on top flange		tment. Sof	fit has transve	erse cracks
Pier Navig Protection:	Ν	N/A				wiedening. There is s			. 01			
				Underwate	er Inspectio	n/Appraisal Inform	atior	า				
Inspection Date:												
Temperature:		Inspec	tion Method									
Inspected By:		Inspec	ted By:	Ар	praisal Rating:							
Inspection Remarks:												
			Sco	ur Critical Informa	ation					Misc	ellaneous	
Rating:				Evaluatio	n Method:				Fractu	re Critical	Members:	No
Analysis Date:				Analysis I	By:				Microfi	ilm Data R	Recorded:	No
		Constru	uction Info	rmation				_				
Year: 2012	Original			Reconstruc	ted							
Route: FAI 57		Sta: 3	311+37.70		Sta:							
Section Nbr: (46-2)I, H	HBR, VB	R										
Contract Nbr: 66409												
Fed Aid Pr #: ACIM-05	57-6(190											
Built By: 1 I.D.O.	.T.											
					Proposed I	mprovement				_		
Cos	t Estima	ate Year:		Length:					*** (Costs in D	ollars ***	
Туре	e of Wo	rk:						Brid	ge Cost	:		
Don	e By:	ſ						Road	lway Co	ost:		
Rem	narks:								-	t Cost:		
		-										

Structure Number: 046-0145 District: 3

			Inventory Da	ta						
Facility Carried:	I-57 NB	Bridge Name:			Sufficiency Rating:		91.7	Structure Length:	:	229.9
Feature Crossed:	IL 50	Location: I-57 8	& IL 50 INTRCHG		HBP Eligible:		No	AASHTO Bridge L	.ength:	99.9
Bridge Remarks:	REPLACES OLD 046-0015, SEE	C#66409. 2011 & 2012. STA	GE 1- 9/1/2011Stage	2= 01/	Replaced By:			Length of Long S	pan:	67.8
Bridge Status:	1 OPEN - NO RESTRICT	StatusDate: 2014	-03-19		Replaces:	04	46-0015	Bridge Roadway	Width:	59.3
Status Remarks:					Last Update Date:	06/2	20/2019	Appr Roadway Wi	idth:	59.3
Maint County:	046 KANKAKEE Maint	Township: 02 BOURBO	NNAIS		Parallel Structure:		Right	Deck Width:		63.1
Maint Responsibility:	01 I.D.O.T.				Multi-Level Structur	e Nbr:		Sidewalk Width R	light:	0.0
Service On/Under:	5 SECOND LEVEL INTERCHA	ANGE / 1 HIGHWA	Υ		Skew Direction:		Right	Sidewalk Width L	eft:	0.0
Reporting Agency:	1 I.D.O.T BUREAU OF MAIN	ITENANCE			Skew Angle: 19	D		Navigation Cont	trol:	0 No
Main Span Matl/Type:	4 STEEL CONTINUOUS	/ 02 STRINGER/N	/ULTI-BEAM/GIRDE	R	Structure Flared:		No	Navigation Horiz	z Clear:	0
Nbr Of Main Spans:	4 Nbr Of Approach Spans:	0			Historical Significar	nce:	No	Navigation Vert	Clear:	0
Approaches					Border Bridge S	tate:		Culvert Fill De	pth:	0.0
Near #1 Matl/Type:					Bdr State SN:			Number Culve	ert Cells:	: 0
Near #2 Matl/Type:					Bdr State % Res	ponsibil	ity:	0 Culvert Openi	ng Area:	: 0.0
Far #1 Matl/Type:		/			Structural Steel	Wt:	591,6	30 Culvert Cell H	eight:	0.00
Far #2 Matl/Type:					Substructure Ma	aterial:		55 Culvert Cell W	/idth:	0.00
Median Width/Type:	0 Ft. / 0 None			Rated By:	2 IDOT		Rate Met	hod: 6 LOAD	FACTOF	R (LF) REP
Guardrail Type L/R:	0 None / 0	None Invent	tory Rating: 1	.470 (52)	Load Rating Date: 0)3/17/201	4	***Railroad Cross	ing Info	***
Toll Facility Indicator:	0 No Toll	Opera	ting Rating: 2	.750 (99)			Cross	ing 1 Nbr:		
Latitude: 41.16876	985 Longitude: 87.851314	79 Desig	n Load: 02 HS20				Cross	ing 1 Nbr:		
Deck Structure Type:	A CIP CON NRMLLY FOR	RM Deck Structure	Thickness:	8.0 SD: N	FO: Y		RR La	teral Underclear:	0.	.0
Sidewalks Under Struc	ture: 0 None						RR Ve	ertical Underclear:	0 F	t 0 In
	Key Route O	n Data			Key	y Route	e Under	[.] Data		
Key Route Nbr: FEDER	RAL-AID INTERSTATE 0	057 Station: 13.5200		FEDERAL-A	ID PRIMARY	0840	Station	9.1600		
Appurtenances Main R	Route 00000	Segment:		Main Route	00000		Segme	nt:		
Inventory County: 0	46 KANKAKEE	Linked: Y		046 KANKA	KEE		Linked:	Y		
Township/Road Dist	2 BOURBONNAIS	Natl. Hwy System:	On NHS	02 BOURE	BONNAIS		Natl. Hv	wy System:	On NHS	3
Municipality 0600	BRADLEY	Inventory Direction:		0600 BRA	DLEY		Invento	ry Direction:		
Urban Area: 2915		Curr AADT Yr/Count:	2017 / 15900	2915			Curr A	ADT Yr/Count:	2017 /	27100
Functional Class: 1	INTERSTATE	Est Truck Percentage:	21 %	3 OTHER	PRINCIPAL ARTERIA	۱L	Est Tru	ck Percentage:		4 %
** CLEARANCES ** So	uth/East North/West	Number Of Lanes:	3	South/East	North/West		Numbe	r Of Lanes:		3
Max Rdwy Width:	59.4	One Or Two Way:	1 One-Way	51.0			One Or	Two Way:	1 One	e-Way
Horizontal:	60.2	Bypass Length:	2	53.0	53.0		Bypass	Length:		1
Min Vertical: 99	Ft 11 In Ft In	Future AADT Yr/Cnt:	2033 / 15090	17 Ft 05	In 16 Ft 11 In		Future	AADT Yr/Cnt:	2032 /	28219
10 Ft Vertical: 99	Ft 11 In Ft In	Designated Truck Rte:	CLASS I	17 Ft 05	In 16 Ft 11 In		Design	ated Truck Rte:	NONE	
Lateral:		Special Systems:	Yes		Ft Ft		-	Systems:	No	
	*** Marked Route	On Data ***			*** Mark	ed Rou	ite Und	er Data ***		
	Designation	Kind	Number		Designation			Kind	N	lumber
Route #1: 1 Mainli	ne 1	Interstate Highway	057	1 Mainline	1	3	State H	lighway		050
Route #2: 1 Mainlin	ne			1 Mainline						
Route #3: 1 Mainli	ne			1 Mainline						

Page 2

Structure Number: 046	-0145 District:	3							
		Data Related to Ins	pection Information	on					
***Inspection I	vable Posting Limits ***		Bric	Bridge Posting Level:					
Routine NBIS: 24 MOS	Underwater: MOS	One Truck At A Time: 0	Combination Type 3	3 S-1 : T	Fons 5	No Posting Required			
Fracture Critical: MOS	Special: N	Single Unit Vehicles: Tons	Combination Type 3	3 S-2: T	Fons				
Inspection/Appraisal Information									
Inspection Date:	01/11/2019 Inspe	tion Temperature: 30 Deg. F	Insp by (Name):	HenrichsMW		** Actual Posted Lim	its **		
Deck:	7 GOOD CONDITION -	SOME MINOR PROBLEMS	Insp by (Name):	BlaseyJ	S	Single Unit Vehicles:	Tons		
Superstructure:	7 GOOD CONDITION -	SOME MINOR PROBLEMS	Utilities Attached:	N N/A	C	Combination Type 3S-1:	Tons		
Substructure:	8 VERY GOOD CONDI	TION - NO PROBLEMS NOTED		N N/A	C	Combination Type 3S-2:	Tons		
Culvert:	N NOT APPLICABLE			N N/A	C	One Truck At A Time:	0		
Channel and Protection:	N NOT APPLICABLE		Deck Wearing Surf:		DECK NO OVRLAY	Last Pair	nt Type:		
Structural Evaluation:		ENT MINIMUM CRITERIA	Deck Membrane:	F NONE		Z FIELD O Z	E&P		
Deck Geometry:		ENT MINIMUM CRITERIA	Deck Protection:		COATED REINF				
Underclearance-Vert/Lat.:		H PRIORITY FOR CORRECTION	Total Deck Thick:	8.0	_				
Waterway Adequacy:	N NOT APPLICABLE		Last Paint Date:	09/2013					
Approach Roadway Align:					Inspection Remarks:				
Bridge Railing Appraisal:	2019 Deck has transverse, diagonal and longitudinal cracks. Soffit has transver se cracks w/leaching and wet.								
Approach Guardrail:		cceptable Acceptable	wheathing and wet.						
Pier Navig Protection:	N N/A								
Underwater Inspection/Appraisal Information									
Inspection Date:			1] []			
Temperature:	Inspection Method:								
Inspected By:	Inspected By:	Appraisal Rating:							
Inspection Remarks:									
Secure Critical Information									
Scour Critical Information Miscellaneous Rating: Evaluation Method: Fracture Critical Members:									
Rating: Evaluation Method:							No		
Analysis Date: Analysis By: Microfilm Data Recorded: No									
Construction Information Year: 2012 Original Reconstructed									
		Reconstructed							
	Sta: 311+37.70	Sta:							
Section Nbr: (46-2)I, HBR, Contract Nbr: 66409	/BR								
Fed Aid Pr #: see below									
Built By: 1 I.D.O.T.									
		Dropood In							
Proposed Improvement Cost Estimate Year: Length:									
Cost Estimate Year: Length: Length: Type of Work:									
Done By:				Bridge Cost: Roadway Cos					
Remarks:					Total Project				
nenidi KS	•					0031.			