IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION

То:	Ann L. Schneider, Secretary
From:	William R. Frey, Interim Director
Date:	December 7, 2011
Re:	FAU 9214, Contract Number 76F30, St. Clair County
	{January 20, 2012}
labor a	ordance with Executive Order 2010-03 (Quinn), it is recommended that a project agreement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This mendation is based on the considerations indicated below.
	1) The Project is being awarded and administered by IDOT (i.e., not by another amental agency).
_\2 funds)	2) The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal
make i	B) The overall size, scope, sequencing, logistics or other aspects of the Project t particularly challenging to manage, and use of a PLA is expected to help assure e construction work is performed properly and efficiently under the circumstances.
constr	4) The duration of construction activity on the Project is expected to exceed one action season (i.e., 110 or more working days), or the nature of the Project results eightened need for labor force continuity and stability over a substantial period of
	5) There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project thereby sing the adverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.
expirate	6) The time required to complete the Project is expected to extend beyond the tion date of one or more existing collective bargaining agreements covering trades to be involved in the Project, thereby increasing the likelihood of work stoppage(s) or labor disruption(s) during construction of the Project.
dispute	7) In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional es among unions or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on eject that could have a potentially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or of work performed on the Project.

	project presents specific sa bor force continuity and st		raveling public and a PLA, e length of the safety		
	of a PLA on the Project is ve bidding process.	not expected to have	e a material adverse effect on		
the ability of disadvantage	of a PLA on the Project is the Department to achieve d businesses, utilization of rendor alternatives over time	other Departmental gallinois domiciled bu			
12) The this Project a		derations favoring or	disfavoring use of a PLA on		
on this Project faith a PLA v specifications	ct. Upon your approval, the vith the relevant labor orga	e Department shall un inization(s), and shall rmation regarding the	at you approve use of a PLA indertake to negotiate in good include in all necessary bid a actual or form of PLA that		
Agreed:	Division Chief}	Fray	12/13/11 (Date)		
Agreed:	Bureau of Design & En	vironment}	(Date)		
Agreed:	Regional Engineer}	M	12/1 <u> </u> (Date)		
Approved:	Ann L. Schneider, Secret	<i>Lider</i> tary	/2/14///(Date)		

Execution Page

Illinois Department of Transportation
NE
William R. Frey, Interim Director of Highways
Markh
Matthew R. Hughes, Director Finance & Administration
The Diggs
Ellen Schanzle-Haskirls, Chief Counsel
linn S. Schnide 1/13/12
Ann L. Schneider, Secretary (Date)
Illinois AFL-CIO Statewide Project Labor Agreement Committee, representing the local unions listed below: Marigan 12 - 16 - 11 (Deta)
(Date)
List Union Locals:

Jim Allen Bricklayers Curtis Cade United Association Ed Christensen, Elevator Constructors Terry Fitzmaurice **Painters** Pat Gleason **Teamsters** LIUNA Tadas Kirielinski Iron Workers

Terry Lynch Heat & Frost Insulators & Allied Workers Richard Mathis Roofers **IBEW** Robert Paddock **IUOE** Gary Perinar Jr. Carpenters

Robert Schneider Sheet Metal Workers

John Skermont Boilermakers

*only if Elevator Constructors master agreement language is attached to PLA

Patrick J. LaCassa

OPCMIA