## **Tier Two**

## **Stakeholder Involvement Plan** for Agency and Public Involvement Elgin O'Hare - West Bypass Project



Illinois Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Federal Aviation Administration Illinois Tollway Version 1 December 2011

## Contents

1.	Introduction	1-1
2.	Joint Lead, Cooperating, and Participating Agencies 2.1 Joint Lead Agencies	
	2.2 Cooperating Agencies	2-1
	2.3 Participating Agencies	2-2
	2.3.1 Agencies Declining Invitation to Participate	2-2
	2.3.2 Agencies Not Responding to Invitation to Participate	2-2
3.	Project Working Groups	3-1
	3.1 Project Study Group (PSG)	
	3.2 Corridor Planning Group (CPG)	3-2
	3.3 Task Forces	3-2
	3.4 Corridor Aesthetic Advisory Team	3-5
	3.5 Governor's Advisory Council	3-5
	3.6 Local Advisory Committee	3-5
4.	Tentative Schedule of Project Development Activities/Stakeholder	
	Involvement	4-1
	4.1 Project Development Process	4-1
	4.1.1 Project Initiation	4-1
	4.1.2 Project Methodologies	4-1
	4.1.3 Purpose & Need	4-1
	4.1.4 Alternatives Development	
	4.1.5 Environmental Studies and Documentation	4-3
	4.2 Project Development Responsibilities, Tentative Schedule, and Stakeholder	
	Involvement Activities	4-3
5.	Additional Stakeholder Involvement Methods	5-1
	5.1 Public Outreach Meetings	5-1
	5.2 Other Mechanisms for Public Involvement	5-3
6.	Plan Availability and Monitoring/Updates	6-1
	6.1 Availability of the Stakeholder Involvement Plan	
	6.2 Modification of the Stakeholder Involvement Plan	
7.	Resource Agency Dispute Resolution	7-1
- •	7.1 Informal Dispute Resolution Process	
	7.2 Formal Dispute Resolution Process	

### Figures

1-1	Study Area Map	1-1
1-2	Tiered Environmental Process	
3-1	External Working Group Structure	3-1
3-2	Internal Working Group Structure	3-2
3-3	Tier Two Task Force Structure	
4-1	Tier Two Alternatives Development Process	4-4
5-1	Public Involvement Opportunities	5-1
5-2	Tier Two Project Development Process and Schedule	5-4

### Appendixes

А	Tables

- B Tier Two Timeframes Agreement
- C Formal Dispute Resolution Process
- D Acronyms and Abbreviations

### section 1 Introduction

Since 2007, the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) have been jointly undertaking the Elgin O'Hare - West Bypass project. The project has been studying transportation improvements in two counties (Cook and DuPage) that include 27 communities. The densely developed study area is bordered by Interstate 90 (I-90) on the north, the terminus of the Elgin O'Hare Expressway on the west, IL 53/I-290 on the south, and I-294 on the east, as shown in the study area map (Figure 1-1).

FIGURE 1-1 Study Area Map



The project is being advanced applying a tiered environmental process that satisfies National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements. "Tiering" refers to an environmental study that is advanced in two phases that build upon one another. The first phase (tier) of the environmental study began in 2007 and included preparation of a Tier One Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) that evaluated transportation problems in the study area based on stakeholder input and engineering analysis and then defined a preferred transportation system alternative for the study area at a conceptual level of detail. The Tier One Record of Decision was signed on June 17, 2010. The Tier Two environmental studies are currently underway and include detailed environmental analyses and preliminary engineering of the Tier One Preferred Alternative.

#### FIGURE 1-2



A Tier One Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) was prepared and updated during Tier One and served as the basis for this document. is available for review on the project website: www.elginohare-westbypass.org. Throughout this document we will make reference to information contained in the Tier One SIP. The prior document provided detailed information on the project background, legal/legislative requirements (NEPA; the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act, A Legacy for Users [SAFETEA-LU]; and IDOT's Context Sensitive Solutions [CSS] Policy), project goals and objectives, and the stakeholder involvement ground rules. Those items remain unchanged from the earlier phase of the study.

In particular, SAFETEA-LU, which was passed into law on August 10, 2005, established additional requirements for the environmental review process for FHWA projects (Pub. L. 109-59, 119 Stat. 1144, Section 6002; codified as 23 United States Code (USC) §139). The

environmental review process is defined as the project development process followed when preparing a document required under NEPA, or any other applicable federal law for environmental permit, approval, review, or study required for the transportation project. The SAFETEA-LU requirements apply to all FHWA transportation projects processed as an EIS and therefore the Elgin O'Hare – West Bypass project is subject to these requirements. Per Section 6002, appropriate agencies will be engaged in the process with cooperating or participating status; see Section 2. The 23 USC §139(g) requires the lead agencies for these projects to develop a Coordination Plan to structure public and agency participation during the environmental review process. The contents of this SIP serve as the aforementioned coordination plan.

# Joint Lead, Cooperating, and Participating Agencies

## 2.1 Joint Lead Agencies

In Tier One, FHWA (United States Department of Transportation [USDOT]) and IDOT (project sponsor) served as joint lead agencies in accordance with the requirements of SAFETEA-LU. Under the regulations in NEPA, additional agencies may serve as joint lead agencies. Since the project is advancing toward implementation as a tolled facility, the Illinois Tollway has joined the project as a Tier Two joint lead agency. In addition, given that the Preferred Alternative is located partially on O'Hare International Airport property, and is in proximity to current and planned runways, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has also been added as a joint lead agency for the preparation of the Tier Two EIS. As in Tier One, per 23 U.S.C. § 139, it is the responsibility of the joint lead agencies to:

- (a) take such actions as are necessary and proper, within the authority of the lead agency, to facilitate the expeditious resolution of the environmental review process for the project
- (b) prepare or ensure that any required environmental impact statement or other document required to be completed under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 is completed in accordance with this section and applicable Federal law

Illinois Tollway is joining FHWA and IDOT in their cooperating status, with the FHWA (Division Administrator), Tollway (Executive Director) and IDOT (Secretary of Transportation) fulfilling roles as decision-maker. Throughout Tier Two, the FAA will also have ultimate decision-making authority and will engage specifically on the issues related to federal action of converting airport property for roadway use.

## 2.2 Cooperating Agencies

According to NEPA, a Cooperating Agency is any federal agency that has jurisdiction, by law or special expertise, over any environmental impact involved in a proposed project. A state or local agency of similar qualifications or, when the effects are on lands of tribal interest, a Native American tribe may by agreement with FHWA and IDOT be a Cooperating Agency. Cooperating Agencies are permitted, by request of the lead agencies, to assume responsibility for developing information and preparing environmental analyses for topics about which they have special expertise. Furthermore, they may adopt, without re-circulating, a lead agencies' NEPA document when, after an independent review of the document, they conclude that their comments and suggestions have been satisfied. The core responsibilities of the Cooperating Agencies were summarized in the Tier One SIP and remain unchanged. Agencies invited to serve as Cooperating Agencies for Tier Two of the project are listed in Table 2-1 in Appendix A. The responsibilities shown in the table are in addition to those that are typical of Cooperating Agencies. For Cooperating Agencies, additional responsibilities may be identified following agency consultation.

## 2.3 Participating Agencies

According to SAFETEA-LU, a Participating Agency is any federal, state, tribal, regional, or local government agency that may have an interest in the project. By definition, all Cooperating Agencies will also be considered Participating Agencies. However, not all Participating Agencies will serve as Cooperating Agencies. Agencies agreeing to serve as Participating Agencies are listed in Table 2-2 in Appendix A. The responsibilities shown in the table are in addition to those for providing comments on Purpose and Need, study methodologies, range of alternatives, environmental impact analyses, and the preferred alternative.

The lists of cooperating and Participating Agencies will be updated, pending responses to invitations, and incorporated into future SIP updates. During Tier Two of the Elgin O'Hare – West Bypass project, there will continue to be several opportunities for cooperating and Participating Agencies to participate in the study process. These include Corridor Planning Group/Task force meetings, the Local Advisory Committee, the NEPA/Section 404 Merger process (for federal/resource agencies), or other venues such as one-on-one meetings, small group gatherings, or workshops. Information regarding Cooperating and Participating Agency involvement activities and schedule are described in Section 4.

### 2.3.1 Agencies Declining Invitation to Participate

Pursuant to SAFETEA-LU Section 6002, a federal agency that chooses to decline to be a Participating Agency must specifically state in its response that it:

- Has no jurisdiction or authority with respect to the project
- Has no expertise or information relevant to the project
- Does not intend to submit comments on the project

Non-federal agencies must formally accept the invitation in order to be considered as a Participating Agency. Non-federal agencies that do not respond to the invitation will not be considered a Participating Agency.

If an agency declines to be a Participating Agency, their response should state the reason for declining the invitation. If they choose not to be a Participating Agency, their comments regarding the process may be recorded through available public/stakeholder involvement venues (corridor planning group, task forces, public meetings, etc.).

Table 2-3 in Appendix A will list the agencies that were invited to participate in the project and declined.

### 2.3.2 Agencies Not Responding to Invitation to Participate

Agencies not responding to the invitation to participate will be defined as declining Participating Agency status, as directed by SAFETEA-LU.

### SECTION 3 Project Working Groups

As in Tier One, the project will be guided by a variety of internal and external working groups. IDOT will ensure that the working group members from Tier One are invited to remain involved in the Tier Two process. IDOT will also invite additional stakeholders to participate in the project working groups as appropriate throughout Tier Two. Communities outside the study area with an interest in the project will be added to the stakeholder list. The project team will also be available to meet with any community on a one-on-one basis throughout the project. Working groups for this project consist of the overall internal Project Study Group (PSG)/Project Management Team (PMT), the Corridor Planning Group (CPG), Task Forces (TF), and a Corridor Aesthetic Advisory Team (CAAT). The Task Force Structure from Tier One was also amended to form four geographicallybased Task Forces. Finally, CAAT has been added as a new working group to address overall corridor aesthetics. Each of the project working groups has a distinct, yet interrelated, advisory role in the project development process, as described in detail in the remainder of this section. Project Working Group members represent a cross section of the diverse stakeholders for this project. In addition, the Governor of Illinois has created an Elgin-O'Hare - West Bypass Advisory Council, which is discussed in more detail in Section 3.5. Upon completion of the Tier One Draft EIS review period, a final meeting will be held with the Corridor Planning Group and Task Forces. At that time, per Illinois Tollway directive, a Local Advisory Committee will be established to provide input on the project through implementation. The Local Advisory Council is discussed in more detail in Section 3.6.

### FIGURE 3-1



Per IDOT's CSS procedures, a PSG and PMT were both formed at the outset of Tier One. As the project has advanced to Tier Two, the two groups have effectively merged. Given the size and scale of the project, the group has also been subdivided into the aforementioned internal working groups. Three of the working groups: a Geometrics Working Group, a Drainage/ Structures Working Group, and an Environmental Working Group will be made up of appropriate IDOT, Illinois Tollway, and consultant staff. The Transit Working Group will include appropriate project team members, the Regional Transit Authority (RTA), and other transit service providers.





## 3.1 Project Management Team (PMT)/Project Study Group (PSG)

The working groups, collectively serving as a PMT/PSG, have primary responsibility for the project development process. The groups will meet throughout the study to provide technical oversight and expertise in key areas, including study process, agency procedures and standards, and technical approaches. This group also has primary responsibility for ensuring compliance with the SIP.

Other responsibilities include the following:

- Expediting the project development process
- Identifying and resolving project development issues
- Promoting partnership with stakeholders to address identified project needs
- Working to develop consensus among stakeholders
- Providing project recommendations to the joint lead agencies

The persons listed in Table 3-1 in Appendix A form the PSG/PMT for the Elgin O'Hare – West Bypass project.

## 3.2 Corridor Planning Group (CPG)

The membership of the Tier One CPG (one community leader from each of the 27 communities and the two counties in the study area) remains unchanged in Tier Two. The CPG will continue to represent the views of all of the stakeholder communities and will provide both input to the study process and consensus at key project milestones. The membership of the CPG is presented in Table 3-2 in Appendix A.

The CPG will meet both independently and jointly with project TFs during the course of the project. The meeting program will be designed to encourage timely and meaningful opportunities for CPG input. Details regarding the meeting program are contained in Section 4 of the SIP.

### 3.3 Task Forces

The project Task Forces provide a means for obtaining structured advisory input from a diverse set of stakeholders. Members of the three Task Forces (Environmental, Land Use, and Transportation) from Tier One have continued to participate in the project, however,

since a substantial portion of the Tier Two studies will focus on technical details related to specific locations, the Task Forces were restructured based on geography.

### FIGURE 3-3

Tier Two Task Force Structure



### West Group: Existing Elgin-O'Hare Expressway Area

Study Area Communities: Schaumburg, Roselle, Elk Grove Village, Bloomingdale Township, and Hanover Park.

Periphery Communities: Bloomingdale, Rolling Meadows, and Addison.

County: DuPage.

Other: Northwest Municipal Conference, DuPage Mayors and Managers Conference, Forest Preserve District Du Page County, Salt Creek Watershed Network, and Greater O'Hare Association of Industry and Commerce.

### Central Group: Elgin-O'Hare Extension Area

Study Area Communities: Elk Grove Village, Itasca, Wood Dale, Bensenville, and Chicago.

Periphery Communities: Addison, and Elmhurst.

County: DuPage.

Other: Wood Dale Chamber of Commerce, Bensenville Chamber of Commerce, Northwest Municipal Conference, DuPage Mayors and Managers Conference, Forest Preserve District DuPage County, Salt Creek Watershed Network, FAA, Transportation Security Administration (TSA), State Rep. Reboletti, and Greater O'Hare Association of Industry and Commerce.

### North Group: North Leg – Bypass

Study Area Communities: Elk Grove Village, Bensenville, Des Plaines, Mount Prospect, and Chicago.

Periphery Communities: Rolling Meadows, Arlington Heights, Rosemont, and Park Ridge.

Counties: DuPage, Cook.

Other: Bensenville Chamber of Commerce, Northwest Municipal Conference (NWMC), DuPage Mayors and Managers Conference, Forest Preserve District DuPage County, FAA, TSA, Greater O'Hare Association of Industry and Commerce, and O'Hare Noise Compatibility Commission.

### South Group: South Leg – Bypass

Study Area Communities: Bensenville, Franklin Park, Northlake, Elmhurst, and Chicago.

Periphery Communities: Schiller Park, Norridge, Rosemont, Melrose Park, Berkeley, Hillside, and Elk Grove Village.

Counties: DuPage, and Cook.

Other: Bensenville Chamber of Commerce, West Central Municipal Conference, DuPage Mayors and Managers Conference (DMMC), Forest Preserve District DuPage County, Salt Creek Watershed Network, Greater O'Hare Association of Industry and Commerce, and O'Hare Noise Compatibility Commission.

### Non-geographic Task Force Members

Several of the Task Force members from Tier One are not geography-specific: Canadian Pacific Railroad, Chicagoland Bicycle Federation, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP), Illinois State Police, Illinois Department of Natural Resources (IDNR), Illinois Tollway, Metra, Metropolitan Water Reclamation District (MWRD), Chicago Transit Authority (CTA), PACE Suburban Bus System, RTA, Union Pacific Railroad, United States Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS), United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). These organizations will be invited to all meetings and may decide whether to attend based on the subject matter.

In addition, the appropriate State Representatives, State Senators, U.S. Congressmen, and U.S. Senators will be invited to all TF meetings.

Task Forces will meet throughout the project development process. In Tier Two, given the technical subject matters, it is likely that a different representative of an organization might attend each meeting. As such, for this phase of the study, each group has simply provided a point of contact. That individual will determine the best representation by meeting topic. Task Force input will be considered by the project study team, and the meeting program

will be designed to provide timely and meaningful input into the project development process. Details regarding the meeting program are provided in Section 4.

## 3.4 Corridor Aesthetic Advisory Team (CAAT)

A final external working group has been added for the Tier Two study. A CAAT will be made up of the communities immediately adjacent to the improvements. The group will meet approximately four times during the Tier Two study:

- Fall 2010 Corridor Character/Defining Theme
- Winter 2011 Overall Aesthetic Approaches
- Fall 2011 Specific Design Elements
- Spring 2012 Endorsement of Final Design Guidelines

The membership of the CAAT is presented in Table 3-3 in Appendix A.

### 3.5 Governor's Advisory Council

On October 5, 2010, Governor Pat Quinn signed Executive Order 2010-13 creating an Elgin O'Hare – West Bypass Advisory Council (Council). The Council's mission is to make recommendations in the development of an implementation, financing, and operating structure for the infrastructure improvements surrounding and supporting O'Hare International Airport; including the extension of the existing Elgin-O'Hare Expressway and a new West Bypass, and explore viable funding options for a new complimentary public transit network. As part of this work, the Council shall strive to maximize economic opportunities for the region, support diversity, and incorporate sustainable practices and planning. The IDOT project team will be providing study information, including findings of financial planning and construction phasing analyses to the Advisory Council to assist in its mission. The Governor's Advisory Council Final Report is available on the project website at <u>www.elginohare-westbypass.org</u>.

## 3.6 Local Advisory Committee

Per Chapter 121 of the Illinois Highway Code, the Illinois Tollway will form a Local Advisory Commit for the project. The membership will include members from each county in which the tollway is to be constructed. The committee members shall be selected by the appropriate governing bodies in proportion to the percentage of corridor located within their boundaries. Less than 50 percent of the membership can be municipal/township representatives with the remainder made up of organized citizen groups directly affected by the proposed corridor. The committee will continue to meet until one year following the opening of the facility.

## **Tentative Schedule of Project Development Activities/Stakeholder Involvement**

This section describes the general project development process and the tentative schedule, project activities, and associated stakeholder involvement activities.

## 4.1 Project Development Process

The Tier Two EIS phase of this project will be advanced in conformance with NEPA and associated federal and state requirements. Major steps in the process include project initiation, development and evaluation of design alternatives for the Preferred Alternative as defined in Tier One, consideration of the effects of the Alternative in a Tier Two EIS, and preparation of a Financial Plan. The overall project development process is illustrated in Figure 4-1; the corresponding stakeholder involvement events can be found in Table 4-1.

The following sections provide a brief overview of the project development activities.

### 4.1.1 Project Initiation

This stage of the project development process includes various agency notifications, project organizational activities, and EIS scoping activities. These activities include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Develop the project Notice of Intent (NOI), which notifies all interested parties of FHWA IDOT, FAA and Illinois Tollway intent to prepare a Tier Two EIS via the FHWA Public Register
- Assemble and organize the refined project working groups
- Identify project Cooperating and Participating Agencies for Tier Two
- Develop and publicly circulate the Tier Two SIP
- Conduct regulatory/resource agency Tier Two EIS scoping activities

### 4.1.2 Project Methodologies

Coordination with relevant agencies that began in Tier One will continue in Tier Two. Tier Two will include studies at a more traditional level of detail than in Tier One, however, agencies will be consulted as appropriate on study methodologies to be utilized on resources over which they have jurisdiction.

### 4.1.3 Purpose & Need

The basic Purpose and Need statements established for Tier One will carry over into Tier Two. However, the analysis will be refined and updated. Activities in this stage include:

• Analysis of future transportation system performance based on updated 2040 traffic data

- A technical memo summarizing changes in predicted future transportation problems within the study area based on the updated traffic analysis (2040) and tolling scenarios
- Supporting Purpose and Need statements with an update of the traffic analysis; the updates will be subject to stakeholder review and agency concurrence through the NEPA/404 Merger Process

### 4.1.4 Alternatives Development

During Tier One, a broad range of multimodal transportation system alternatives that could address the project Purpose and Need were developed at a conceptual level of detail. The Tier Two alternatives development and evaluation process will begin with the Tier One Selected Alternative and will focus on identifying and evaluating a range of design and financing alternatives. Alternatives analysis findings will serve as the basis for the Tier Two documentation.

As illustrated in Figure 4-1 the alternatives development and evaluation process is broken down into three main modules:

- Identify Range of Design and Financing Alternatives
- Alternatives Evaluation
- Build Alternative(s) Refinement and Evaluation

### Identify Range of Design Options

The first stage of the Tier Two alternatives development process focuses on identifying design option. A broad range of design options will be developed at this point — at interchanges, at under/overpasses, and on the local roadway network. In addition more minor design variations will be considered along the mainline.

### Identify Facility Type Options (Free v. Toll Scenarios)

At the same time as design options are being explored a range of financing strategies will be considered. Various facility type options (freeway, tollway, and free/toll combination plans) will be identified for the Elgin O'Hare and West Bypass corridors. The overall design layout will be the same regardless of facility type (free or toll).

### **Alternatives Evaluation**

In the second stage of the process, design options at interchanges and under/overpass locations will be evaluated based on their design characteristics, traffic operations, impacts, cost, and stakeholder input to identify the best overall design layout. Facility type options will be evaluated for financial/tolling viability, travel performance, and stakeholder input to determine the viable strategies. This stage will also include an assessment of construction phasing to identify logical improvement sections, stand-alone projects, and the overall sequence and timeline for project implementation.

### Build Alternative(s) Refinement and Evaluation

This stage in the Tier Two alternatives process will include refinement of the Build Alternative(s) design to reflect the design layout and financing strategy identified for detailed consideration. The alternative will also be subject to a detailed performance analysis and refined to maximize the overall operational/travel performance.

### 4.1.5 Environmental Studies and Documentation

The Tier One EIS included a detailed examination of overall transportation system improvement needs, a study of multi-modal system alternatives to satisfy them, and broad consideration of potential environmental and social impacts of the alternatives. Throughout the Tier Two alternatives process, the team will seek concurrence from the resource agencies through the NEPA/404 Merger Process. The alternatives that are carried forward will be explained in detail and their impacts duly considered in the Tier Two Draft EIS, which will be circulated and presented at a Public Hearing. Following circulation and public review of the Tier Two Draft EIS and the associated Public Hearing, the process will continue with the identification of the Tier Two Preferred Alternative and completion of the Tier Two Final EIS. Following publication of the Final Tier Two EIS, a decision document called a "Record of Decision" will be issued. Related engineering documents and the project Financial Plan will be prepared concurrently with the EIS development.

## 4.2 Project Development Responsibilities, Tentative Schedule, and Stakeholder Involvement Activities

The tentative schedule for project development activities and associated stakeholder involvement activities is presented in Table 4-1 in Appendix A.

#### FIGURE 4-1 Tier Two Alternatives Development Process



## SECTION 5 Additional Stakeholder Involvement Methods

This section summarizes the methods and venues for stakeholders to be involved in the Elgin O'Hare – West Bypass project development process. These outreach methods will be used by the project team to keep the public informed of project development and to invite valuable input from stakeholders. Some of the key public involvement opportunities are summarized below in Figure 5-1.

FIGURE 5-1 Public Involvement Opportunities



## 5.1 Public Outreach Meetings

As in Tier One, stakeholder involvement will be ongoing from project initiation through completion. In addition to the CPG and Task Force meetings described in Section 4, other meetings will be held to reach all stakeholders, as illustrated in Figure 5-2 and described below:

### Speakers' Bureau

A speakers' bureau will be assembled to present project-related information to interested local civic or service organizations, such as Rotary Clubs, Kiwanis, and others. Relevant project information will be assembled in presentation format and updated on a quarterly basis with available and current project information. These meetings will occur as requested.

### **Small Group Meetings**

Small group meetings are useful in providing project information to the surrounding community and aiding the general public in better understanding project goals and objectives. These meetings also provide each group with the opportunity to obtain the undivided attention of the project staff so they know that their concerns have been heard. Small group meetings will be ongoing throughout the project. Attendees may include the project team, local agencies and organizations, members of the business community, and various property owners. The meetings will address specific project issues and allow for more specialized discussions and input. Project handouts or other appropriate meeting materials will be prepared for distribution at these meetings.

### **Elected Officials Meetings**

Briefings will be conducted with local and regional elected officials, including legislators, regarding project updates and progress. These meetings may be held at major milestones in the project or as requested. Appropriate project summary materials will be prepared for distribution at these meetings.

### **Public Meetings**

Public involvement for the Elgin O'Hare - West Bypass project also will include opportunities for broader public meetings in the form of public information meetings, stakeholder workshops, and a Public Hearing. These large-scale meetings will encourage public attendance and foster public awareness of project developments and alternatives that are being evaluated. These meetings also will provide a forum for general public input, including concerns and comments regarding project alternatives. Public Meetings will be held to coincide with major project milestones during the Tier Two EIS process. The meetings will utilize various public informational techniques such as project boards, handouts, and PowerPoint or multimedia presentations summarizing the project work and findings to date. The meetings will be advertised in letters to officials, and via a newsletter distribution as well as public notices placed in area newspapers. Opportunities for the public to provide written (comment forms) and verbal comments (through a court reporter) will be available at the meetings. Spanish translation will also be provided, as appropriate.

### **Public Hearing**

The Public Hearing for this project will be held in coordination with circulation of the Tier Two Draft EIS as required by NEPA. It will be public noticed in the newspaper, and all affected property owners will be contacted by certified mails.

### Stakeholder Workshops

Stakeholder workshops will be conducted as a means to obtain stakeholder input regarding various project issues and design elements of the Preferred Alternative.

## 5.2 Other Mechanisms for Public Involvement

In addition to the meeting opportunities described in the preceding section, there will be several other methods for the public to obtain information and provide feedback on the project.

### Media Briefings

A proactive approach to media coordination will be used to ensure that media has current, relevant, and accurate information to share with the public. This approach includes participation in media briefings, preparation of media kits, preparation of press releases, and availability of project staff to support the IDOT media spokesperson in ongoing coordination with members of the media.

### Mailing List

The Tier One mailing list will continually be expanded as new stakeholders are identified.

### Public Web site: www.elginohare-westbypass.org

The project team developed a project Web site in Tier One. Initially, the Web site provided general project information, including the project schedule and organization. The site consists of a home page and various topic-specific pages, one of which includes a form-based comment page. The site is being updated to reflect the most up-to-date project information. Project documentation and materials will be posted to the Web site, as information is available, for public review. The website will be reorganized to separate the Tier One archived materials and the current Tier Two studies.

### Newsletters and Brochures

Project newsletters will be prepared approximately quarterly to coincide with key project milestones. These newsletters will provide current project information and will include announcements for upcoming Public Meetings and the Public Hearing.

### FIGURE 5-2 Tier Two Project Development Process and Schedule

							2	01	0									20	11									2	201	2				
	Task	J	F	N	1 A	M	l¦ J	J	A	S	0	N	) J	F	М	A	M	J	J	A	s¦	0   1	N	) J	F	M	A	М	J	J	A S	0	Ν	D
	Initial Alternative Studies	ł	1	ł	1					<u> </u>						Ì	ł	ł	ł	ł	ł	ł		ł		ł					÷	i		
ţ	Project Financing Options*																									ł								
Project Development	Construction Sequencing Scenarios	1	1	÷	1		1	1																	1	1					ł			
d l	Preliminary Geometry		1	1		1	1														1	1		1		1								
eve	Refined Geometry	i -	1	ł.	1	1	1	1	1																	1						1		
Ŏ	Final Geometry		ŀ																	1				ł										
ec	Engineering Reports	1	÷	÷			1		-																									
[]	Draft EIS	1	1	1		1																										ł		
۳.	Final EIS	i -	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i.	i	i -						Ì	Ì			Ì	1	Ì	Ì	i.	i.	i								
	Financial Plan	į.	į.				į.																									i		
nt**	Project Study Group NEPA/404 Merger Public Meetings/Hearing Corridor Planning Group (CPG)/Task Forces											2	3		•				5	1	.2						•		3				•	•
a	Corridor Aesthetics Advisory Team (CAAT)	1	1	1			1	1	1			1		2		1	1		1	1	1	1	-	-		3			4					
Stakeholder & Agency Involvement**	<ul> <li>NEPA/404 Merger</li> <li>Purpose &amp; Need (P&amp;N) update</li> <li>Concurrence on P&amp;N Alternatives Carried Forward</li> <li>Concurrence on Preferred Alternative</li> </ul>				Tier 2 Intro Final Dote Build PIM Drain Drain Drait	to f ncin ntial d alt sum nage al im	tro: inal ig 8 I mi ern nma e & npal	enç ncir k se itiga ativ ary; cor cts; H pr	ng & que ation es; f fina mpe miti revie	imp ncin site inan ncin nsat igati	g; P s cing g op ory s	dies ental &N ; //seq vtions	tion; aestl uenc s; int	desi netic cing; erim	gn o cor eco	nside onon	erati nic a ictio	ons anal on p	; ysis lan;	s; Pl trai			iew		•	c 2 C 3 S e a	vesth orrid Overa Opeci leme esth	lor o II ae fic a ents etics	chara esthe aesth and s	acter etic a netic Cor	appro des ridor	ign -wid		

\*Governor's Advisory Council Financing Working Group continuing this task

\*\* Stakeholder/public meeting schedule reflects coordination through Tier 2 Draft EIS. Subsequent meetings will be scheduled as appropriate.

Project Development

## SECTION 6 Plan Availability and Monitoring/Updates

The SIP is a dynamic document that will be available to stakeholders and updated as appropriate through the duration of the project. This section describes SIP stakeholder review opportunities and plan-update procedures.

## 6.1 Availability of the Stakeholder Involvement Plan

The SIP will be available to stakeholders for review at Public Meetings and on the project Web site (www.elginohare-westbypass.org). The stakeholder review period for the SIP will be 30 days from date of release. As the project proceeds, IDOT will update the SIP on a regular basis to reflect appropriate changes or additions. IDOT will advise stakeholders of future SIP updates and post updates on the project Web site.

## 6.2 Modification of the Stakeholder Involvement Plan

The plan will be reviewed on a quarterly basis for continued effectiveness and updated as appropriate. Plan administration includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- Maintaining a current list of project stakeholders
- Maintaining a detailed public involvement record (log) that includes records of all stakeholder contacts, meetings, and comments
- Ensuring two-way communication and timely responses to stakeholders through formal and informal channels

Revisions to this SIP may be necessary through the duration of the project. The PSG will provide updated versions of the SIP to all agencies involved, as necessary. Cooperating and Participating Agencies should notify FHWA and IDOT of staffing and contact information changes in a timely manner. Plan updates will be tracked and identified in Table 7-1, listed in Appendix A.

The Project Development Activities Schedule included in this SIP (see Table 4-1) is in conformance with the Tier Two EIS Timeframes Agreement adopted by FHWA and IDOT on June 8, 2010 (see Appendix B for a copy of the agreement). Formal agency concurrence on the schedule is not required. The PSG is responsible for the content and timelines in the SIP, and only they can make adjustments as appropriate.

## Resource Agency Dispute Resolution

FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway are committed to working with all agencies in the environmental review process to identify issues early and to seek consensus on disagreements.

This section describes the overall project dispute resolution process that will be used by FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway as part of the project stakeholder involvement program. Additionally, FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway will follow the separate dispute resolution process prescribed as part of the NEPA/404 Merger–SIA for resolving issues with signatory agencies.

FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway are committed to building stakeholder consensus for project decisions. However, if an impasse has been reached after making good-faith efforts to address unresolved concerns, FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway may proceed to the next stage of project development without achieving consensus. FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway will notify agencies of their decision and a proposed course of action. FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway may propose using an informal or a formal dispute resolution process as described below.

## 7.1 Informal Dispute Resolution Process

In the case of an unresolved dispute between the agencies, FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway will notify agencies of their decision and proposed course of action. The decision to move an action forward without consensus does not eliminate an agency's statutory or regulatory authorities or their right to elevate the dispute through established agency dispute resolution procedures. FHWA, IDOT, FAA and the Tollway recognize and accept the risk of proceeding on an action without receiving a signatory agency's concurrence and will work with an agency to attempt to resolve a dispute.

## 7.2 Formal Dispute Resolution Process

The 23 USC §139(h) established a formal dispute resolution procedure for the environmental review process. This process is only intended for use on a dispute that may delay a project or result in the denial of a required approval or permit for a project. Only the project sponsors or the Illinois State Governor may initiate this formal process and they are encouraged to exhaust all other measures to achieve resolution prior to initiating this process.

Appendix C contains a copy of a diagram illustrating the formal dispute resolution process included in the FHWA/Federal Transit Administration (FTA) *SAFETEA-LU Environmental Review Process Final Guidance* (November 2006).

## Appendix A **Tables**

#### TABLE 2-1

Cooperating	Agencies and	Agency	Responsibilities

Agency Name	Requested Role	Participating Agency Response	Other Project Roles	Responsibilities
Federal Transit Administration	Cooperating Agency	Accept		Provide comment and input on transit issues
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Cooperating Agency	Accept		Provide input on environmental issues

 TABLE 2-2
 Participating Agencies and Agency Responsibilities

Agency Name	Requested Role	Participating Agency Response	Other Project Roles	Responsibilities
Federal Emergency Management Agency	Participating Agency	Accept		<ul> <li>Review project for floodplain/floodway impacts</li> </ul>
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	Participating Agency	Accept		<ul> <li>Provide input on special waste issues</li> </ul>
СТА	Participating Agency	Accept	TF	<ul> <li>Provide input on transit solutions</li> </ul>
Pace Suburban Bus Service	Participating Agency	Accept	TF	<ul> <li>Provide input on transit solutions</li> </ul>
Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning	Participating Agency	Accept	TF	<ul> <li>Review transportation planning and travel forecasting, and consistency with regional plans</li> </ul>
Forest Preserve District of DuPage County	Participating Agency	Accept		<ul> <li>Preservation and protection of forested lands and public use lands</li> </ul>
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago	Participating Agency	Accept	TF	<ul> <li>Provide input on water impact and drainage issues</li> </ul>
North Cook County Soil & Water Conservation District	Participating Agency	Accept		<ul> <li>Provide input on potential impacts to soil/water resouces</li> </ul>

Village of Addison	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF	<ul> <li>Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development</li> </ul>
Village of Bensenville	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF, CAAT	<ul> <li>Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development</li> </ul>
Elk Grove Village	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF, CAAT	<ul> <li>Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development</li> </ul>
City of Elmhurst	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF	Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development
Village of Franklin Park	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF, CAAT	Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development
Village of Hanover Park	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF	<ul> <li>Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development</li> </ul>
Village of Itasca	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF, CAAT	<ul> <li>Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development</li> </ul>
Village of Mount Prospect	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF, CAAT	<ul> <li>Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development</li> </ul>
Village of Schaumburg	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF, CAAT	<ul> <li>Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development</li> </ul>
City of Wood Dale	Participating Agency	Accept	CPG, TF, CAAT	<ul> <li>Provide comments specific to local road system, utilities, land use, and economic development</li> </ul>

#### TABLE 2-3

Agencies Declining Participation or Not Responding to Request

Agency Name	Reason for Response	
Transportation Security Administration	No response	
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Chicago District	No response	
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service	No response	
Federal Railroad Administration	No response	
Illinois Department of Natural Resources	No response	
City of Chicago	No response	
Illinois Historic Preservation Agency	No response	
Illinois Natural Resources Conservation Service	No response	
Regional Transportation Authority	No response	
Metra	No response	
Cook County Highway Department	No response	
DuPage County	No response	
Kane-DuPage Soil & Water Conservation District	No response	
Village of Arlington Heights	No response	
City of Des Plaines	No response	
Village of Roselle	No response	

Agency Name	Contact Person/Title	E-mail and Mailing Address
Illinois Department of Transportation	Pete Harmet Bureau Chief of Programming	pete.harmet@illinois.gov IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196
Illinois Department of Transportation	John Baczek Project and Environmental Studies Section Chief	john.baczek@illinois.gov IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Ct. Schaumburg, IL 60196
Illinois Department of Transportation	Walt Zyznieuski Bureau of Design & Environment	<u>walter.zyznieuski@illinois.gov</u> IDOT 2300 South Dirksen Parkway Springfield, IL 62764
Illinois Department of Transportation	Mike Cullian Bureau of Land Acquisition	<u>mike.cullian@illinois.gov</u> IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196
Illinois Department of Transportation	Paul Niedenhofer Bureau of Design & Environment	<u>paul.niedenhofer@iillinois.gov</u> IDOT 2300 South Dirksen Parkway Springfield, IL 62764
Illinois Department of Transportation	Lance Kidd Regional Fi eld Engineer	<u>lance.kidd@illinois.gov</u> IDOT 2300 South Dirksen Parkway Springfield, IL 62764
Illinois Department of Transportation	Danielle Stewart Division of Public and Intermodal Transportation	<u>danielle.stewart@illinois.gov</u> IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196
Illinois Department of Transportation	Catherine Kibble Consultant Services Section Chief	<u>catherine.kibble@illinois.gov</u> IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196
Illinois Department of Transportation	Marilin Solomon Local Roads, MFT Engineer	<u>marilin.solomon@illinois.gov</u> IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196
Illinois Department of Transportation	Jim Stumpner Bureau Chief of Maintenance	james.strumpner@illinois.gov IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196

### TABLE 3-1

Project Study Group Members

### TABLE 3-1

Project Study (	Group Members
-----------------	---------------

Agency Name	Contact Person/Title	E-mail and Mailing Address
Illinois Department of Transportation	Steve Travia Bureau Chief of Traffic	steve.travia@illinois.gov IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196
Illinois Department of Transportation	George Velkme Bureau of Construction	<u>george.velkme@illinois.gov</u> IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196
Illinois Department of Transportation	Melanie Whiston Bureau of Materials	<u>melanie.whiston@illinois.gov</u> IDOT District 1 201 W. Center Court Schaumburg, IL 60196
Federal Highway Administration	Matt Fuller Environmental Programs Engineer	matt.fuller@fhwa.dot.gov Federal Highway Administration 3250 Executive Park Drive Springfield, IL 62703
Federal Highway Administration	Mike Hine Engineering Team Leader	mike.hine@fhwa.dot.gov Federal Highway Administration 3250 Executive Park Drive Springfield, IL 62703
Federal Aviation Administration	Amy Hanson Environmental Protection Specialist	<u>Amy.Hanson@faa.gov</u> Great Lakes Regional Headquarters 2300 East Devon Des Plaines, IL 60018
Federal Aviation Administration	Rich Kula Chicago Metropolitan Program Manager	<u>rich.kula@faa.gov</u> Great Lakes Regional Headquarters 2300 East Devon Des Plaines, IL 60018
Federal Aviation Administration	Jose DeLeon O'Hare Modernization Program Manager	<u>Jose.Deleon@faa.gov</u> Great Lakes Regional Headquarters 2300 East Devon Des Plaines, IL 60018
СМАР	Randy Blankenhorn Executive Director	rblankenhorn@cmap.illinois.gov CMAP 233 S. Wacker Dr. Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60606
СМАР	Don Kopec Programming and Operations	<u>dkopec@cmap.illinois.gov</u> CMAP 233 S. Wacker Dr. Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60606

Agency Name	Contact Person/Title	E-mail and Mailing Address
Illinois State Toll Highway Authority	Rocco Zucchero Planning and Programming	rzucchero@getipass.com Illinois State Toll Highway Authority 2700 Ogden Ave. Downers Grove, IL 60515
HR Green	Ron Krall IDOT Project Manager	<u>ronald.krall@illinois.gov</u> HR Green 420 N. Front Street Suite 100 McHenry, IL 60050
CH2M HILL	Larry Martin Deputy Project Manager	<u>Imartin@ch2m.com</u> CH2M HILL 8501 W. Higgins Rd., Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60631
CH2M HILL	Lidia Pilecky Project Manager	<u>lpilecky@ch2m.com</u> CH2M HILL 8501 W. Higgins Rd. , Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60631
Christopher Burke Engineering	Mike Matkovic Project Engineer	mmatkovic@cbbel.com Christopher Burke Engineering 9575 W. Higgins Rd. Rosemont, IL 60018

### TABLE 3-1

Project Study Group Members

### TABLE 3-2 Corridor Planning Group

Agency Name	Contact Person/Title	Other Project Role(s) (Pending Participating Agency Invitations)
Bloomingdale Township	Edward Levato/Supervisor	TF
City of Chicago	Adam Rod/OMP	TF, CAAT
City of Des Plaines	Martin Moylan/Mayor	TF, CAAT
City of Elmhurst	Peter DiCianni/Mayor	TF, PA
City of Northlake	Jeffrey Sherwin/Mayor	TF, PA
City of Park Ridge	David Schmidt/Mayor	TF
City of Rolling Meadows	Kenneth Nelson/Mayor	TF
City of Wood Dale	Nunzio Pulice/Mayor	TF, CAAT
Cook County	John Beissel/Assistant Superintendent of Highways	TF
DuPage County	Mark Avery/Planning Division Manager	TF, CAAT
Elk Grove Village	Craig Johnson/Mayor	TF, CAAT, PA
Village of Addison	Joseph McDermott/Village Trustee	TF, PA
Village of Arlington Heights	Arlene Mulder/Mayor	TF
Village of Bensenville	Frank Soto/ Village President	TF, PA, CAAT
Village of Berkeley	Michael Esposito/Village President	TF
Village of Bloomingdale	Robert Iden/Village President	TF
Village of Franklin Park	Barrett Pedersen/Village President	TF, PA, CAAT
Village of Hanover Park	Rodney Craig/Mayor	TF, PA, CAAT
Village of Hillside	Joseph Tamburino/Mayor	TF
Village of Hoffman Estates	Nathan Roseberry/Traffic Engineer	TF
Village of Itasca	Jeffrey Pruyn/Mayor	TF, PA, CAAT
Village of Melrose Park	Ronald Serpico, Sr./Mayor	TF
Village of Mount Prospect	Irvana Wilks/Mayor	TF, PA,CAAT
Village of Norridge	Ronald A. Oppedisano/Village President	TF
Village of Roselle	Gayle Smolinski/Mayor	TF, CAAT
Village of Rosemont	Bradley Stephens/Mayor	TF
Village of Schaumburg	June E. Johnson/Director of Transportation	TF, PA, CAAT
Village of Schiller Park	Anna Montana/Mayor	TF
Village of Villa Park	Thomas Cullerton/Village President	TF

Legend: PA – Participating Agency; TF – Task Force; CAAT – Corridor Aesthetics Advisory Team

Agency Name	CAAT Representative
Itasca	Nicole Aranas
	Ellen Leahy
Wood Dale	Ross Klicker
	John Kadala
Bensenville	Donna Paluch
	Scott Viger
Elk Grove Village	Matt Roan
	Brian Lovering
Franklin Park	Jeff Eder
	Jeff Harris
Hanover Park	Patrick Grill
	Rodney Craig
Schaumburg	Martha Dooley
Roselle	Bob Zimmerer
Chicago	Adam Rod
Des Plaines	Scott Mangum
Mount Prospect	Bill Cooney
Cook	John Beissel
DuPage	Jon Kos (placeholder)
RTA	Peter Fahrenwald
СТА	Robert Vance
PACE	Michael Bolton
Forest Preserve District – DuPage	Andrea Hoyt
DMMC	Tam Kutzmark
NWMC	Mike Walczak
Greater O'Hare Association	Shirlanne Lemm
Bensenville Chamber of Commerce	Michelle Milewski
Wood Dale Chamber of Commerce	TBD
Franklin Park/Schiller Park Chamber of Commerce	Ken Kohler
Chicago Gateway Green	Claire Wooley
Bloomingdale Township	Bob Cerna
Elmhurst	Mike Hughes

## TABLE 3-3 Corridor Aesthetics Advisory Team

### TABLE 4-1

Activity	Requirement	Description	Status	
	Aug-10			
Establish Initial Project Timeframe	FHWA/IDOT Timeframe Agreement	Develop a timeframe agreement between IDOT and FHWA for the Tier Two EIS project development schedule	Complete	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete	
Tier Two Kick off meeting of Corridor Planning Group (CPG) Task Forces	SIP	Tier Two Introduction, Engineering Studies Overview and Input (Interchange Type Studies, Financing Options, Drainage, Transit, Bike/Pedestrian), CPG/TF Structure Updates, Introduction to Corridor Aesthetics, PIM Preview	Complete - 8/26/2010	
		Sep-10		
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete	
Resource Agency Scoping	NEPA/Section 6002	Conduct Agency EIS Scoping Meeting with environmental resource agencies	Complete - 9/9/2010	
Public Meeting #1	IDOT CSS Policy	Tier Two process, resource studies/data collection, interchange type alternatives, financing strategies introduction, preliminary transit concepts, aesthetics study introduction	Complete - 9/21/2010	
		Oct-10		
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete	
		Nov-10		
Corridor Aesthetics Advisory Team Meeting	SIP	Define aesthetic foundation, overall aesthetic approach, theme	Complete - 11/4/2010	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete	
CPG/Task Force Meeting	SIP	Public Meeting #1 Summary, input to design options evaluation, financing strategies update, drainage and stormwater considerations	Complete - 11/16/2010	
		Dec-10		
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete	
Jan-11				
CPG/Task Force Meeting	SIP	Tier Two Purpose and Need, financing and sequencing, compensatory storage/environmental mitigation, aesthetic design update	Complete - 1/25/2011	

Activity	Requirement	Description	Status
		Feb-11	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete
NEPA/404 Concurrence Point Meeting #1 – Purpose & Need & update on Alternatives Development process	NEPA/404 Merger Process	FHWA holds NEPA/404 Merger meeting to receive concurrence on the project Purpose and Need Statement. Introduction and overview of alternatives development.	Complete - 2/15/2011
Corridor Aesthetics Advisory Team Meeting	SIP	Overall aesthetic design approaches,	Complete - 2/17/2011
		Mar-11	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete
CPG/Task Force Meeting	SIP	Financing & sequencing refinements, functional plans, economic analysis, PIM #2 preview	Complete - 3/15/2011
		Apr-11	
Public Meeting #2	SIP	Initial financing & sequencing, functional plans, corridor aesthetics	Complete - 4/5/2011
		May-11	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete
		Jun-11	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete
Notice of Intent (NOI)	NEPA	The Tier One NOI (to prepare an EIS) was published in the Federal Register on November 2, 2007	Complete 6/8/2011 *
NEPA/404Update Meeting	NEPA/404 Merger Process	FHWA holds NEPA/404 Merger meeting discuss updated traffic (2040) in the Purpose & Need and Initial Alternatives	Complete - 6/28/2011
		Jul-11	
nternal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete
CPG/Task Force Meeting	SIP	PIM #2 Summary, Financing Option, Interim Construction Plan, Traffic	Complete - 7/19/2011
		Aug-11- Dec 11	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Complete

### TABLE 4-1

Project Development Activities Schedule

TABLE 4-1
Project Development Activities Schedule

Activity	Requirement	Description	Status
NEPA/404 Concurrence Point Meeting #1 & 2 – Purpose & Need, and Alternatives Carried Forward	NEPA/404 Merger Process	FHWA holds NEPA/404 Merger meeting to receive concurrence on the Purpose & Need Update and Alternatives Carried Forward	Complete – 9/8/2011
CPG/Task Force Meeting	SIP	Project Update	Complete - 10/25/2011
Finalize Initial Tier Two Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP)	IDOT CSS Policy and SAFETEA-LU 6002	Develop the SIP in accordance with IDOT CSS policy and the requirements of SAFETEA-LU 6002.	Complete - 12/19/2011
		Jan-12	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Scheduled – Jan 2012
CPG/Task Force Meeting	SIP	Drainage, Mitigation, Costs, ICP Project A	Scheduled – 1/18/2012
		Feb-12 – Mar 12	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Scheduled – Feb & Ma 2012
DEIS Signed & Notice of DEIS Availability	NEPA	IDOT and FHWA sign the Tier Two Draft EIS and the Notice of Availability is published. Beginning of Draft EIS comment period.	Scheduled – 3/23/2012
CPG/Task Force Meeting	SIP	Draft EIS Overview & Public Hearing Preview	Scheduled – Mar 2012
		Apr-12	
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Scheduled – Apr 2012
Public Hearing on Draft EIS	SIP	Present DEIS Build Alternatives and DEIS findings for public comment	Scheduled – 4/18/2012
		May-12 – Jun 12	
End DEIS Comment Period	NEPA	End of agency and public comment period on Final EIS	Scheduled – 5/8/2012
CPG/Task Force Meeting	SIP	Tier Two Wrap UP	Scheduled – May 2012

Note: CPG & Task Force meetings have been scheduled through publication of the Draft EIS. Following the Draft EIS the Illinois Tollway will convene a Local Advisory Committee that will meet through the end of construction.
Jun-12				
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Scheduled – Jun 2012	
NEPA/404 Concurrence Point Meeting #3 – Preferred Alternative	NEPA/404 Merger Process	FHWA holds NEPA/404 Merger meeting to receive concurrence on the Preferred Alternative	Scheduled – 6/15/2012	
		Jul-12 through Sep-12		
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Scheduled	
		Oct-12		
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Scheduled – Oct 2012	
FEIS Signed & Notice of FEIS Availability	NEPA	IDOT and FHWA sign the Tier Two Final EIS and the Notice of Availability is published. Beginning of Final EIS comment period.	Scheduled – 10/12/2012	
		Nov-12		
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Scheduled – Nov 2012	
End FEIS Comment Period	NEPA	End of waiting period on Final EIS.	Scheduled - 11/12/2012	
		Dec-12		
Internal Coordination: PMT, PSG, Internal Working Groups	SIP	Ongoing coordination on technical project issues	Scheduled – Dec 2012	
Issue Record of Decision (ROD)	NEPA	IDOT and FHWA sign ROD. FHWA publishes Notice of Availability of ROD in Federal Register; Publish Notice on Statute of Limitations in Federal Register	Scheduled – 12/14/2012	

TABLE 7-1SIP Revision History

Version	Date	Document Name	<b>Revision Description</b>
1	12/2011	Stakeholder Involvement Plan	Original SIP

Appendix B Tier Two EIS Timeframes Agreement

	Activity Description	Goal		Actual	
Activity #		No. of Days to Complete Activity	Completion Date	Completion Date	
1	BDE sends Tier Two FHWA Project Initiation letter		8/31/2010	10/05/10	
2	FHWA and IDOT develop and agree to Timeframe		6/8/2010	06/08/10	
3	IDOT updates Stakeholder Involvement Plan (SIP) and sends it to FHWA and BDE for review and comment (repeat as necessary)		6/8/2010	06/08/10	
4	FHWA and BDE reviews and sends comments on draft SIP to District (repeat as necessary)	38	7/16/2010	07/08/10	
5	Resource Agency Scoping Meeting District provides opportunity for participating and cooperating agencies to give input on methodologies and level of detail, identification of potential environmental resource issues		9/9/2010	09/09/10	
6	Public Meeting #1		9/21/2010	09/21/10	
7	District prepares and submits preliminary Purpose and Need to FAA for review and concurrence (repeat as needed)		1/19/2011	1/19/2011	
8	District conducts stakeholder involvement to receive consensus on Purpose and Need		1/25/2011	1/25/2011	
9	FHWA holds NEPA /404 merger meeting to receive information on status of project Purpose and Need, Alternatives, and the Tiered Process		2/15/2011	2/15/2011	
10	Public Meeting #2		4/5/2011	4/5/2011	
11	FHWA/FAA joint lead MOU		5/6/2011	05/06/11	
12	District prepares and submits preliminary Purpose and Need to FHWA, BDE, and FAA for final review (repeat as needed)		5/23/2011	5/23/2011	
13	District submits preliminary review Purpose and Need and Alternatives packet to FHWA, FAA and BDE for NEPA/404 Merger Meeting	8	6/1/2011	6/1/2011	
14	FHWA publishes Notice of Intent in Federal Register		6/8/2011	06/08/11	
15	FHWA, FAA and BDE review and issue comments on preliminary Purpose and Need (repeat as needed)	17	6/10/2011	6/28/2011	

		Goal		Actual	
Activity #	Activity Description	No. of Days to Complete Activity	Completion Date	Completion Date	
16	FHWA holds NEPA/404 Merger meeting to review Purpose and Need and Alternatives to be Carried Forward	27	6/28/2011	6/28/2011	
17	District prepares and sends Draft EIS to FHWA, FAA and BDE for review (repeat as necessary)		7/8/2011	7/8/2011	
18	FHWA, FAA and IDOT prepare and send participating and cooperating agencies invitation letters		7/8/2011	7/8/2011	
19	District submits 7460 review package to FAA		7/8/2011	7/8/2011	
20	District conducts Alternatives Workshop with Regulatory Agencies		7/15/2011	7/15/2011	
21	District conducts agency stakeholder workshop on Alternatives to be Carried Forward		7/19/2011	7/19/2011	
22	District submits Purpose and Need package to FHWA for distribution and concurrence from merger group		7/21/2011	7/21/2011	
23	District submits Alternatives to be Carried Forward packet to FHWA, FAA and BDE for NEPA/404 Merger Meeting in Sept.		8/4/2011	8/4/2011	
24	FAA, BDE and FHWA reviews and issues comments on Draft EIS to District (repeat as necessary)	41	8/19/2011	8/19/2011	
25	FHWA holds NEPA/404 Merger meeting to receive concurrence for Purpose and Need	34	9/8/2011	9/8/2011	
26	Submit interchange alternatives and BMP information to USACE, USFWS, FAA, FHWA, and USEPA		9/16/2011	9/16/2011	
27	District prepares and sends interim draft Executive Summary, Purpose and Need, Alternatives, Air, and Noise sections to BDE, Tollway, FAA, FHWA and FHWA-HQ (repeat step as necessary)		10/7/2011	10/7/2011	
28	FHWA requests concurrence from resource agencies on alternatives to be carried forward.		10/7/2011	10/13/2011	
29	District prepares and sends Draft EIS FHWA (repeat step as necessary)	62	10/21/2011	10/21/2011	
30	District prepares and sends Draft EIS FHWA (repeat step as necessary)		11/23/2011	11/23/2011	

		Goal		Actual	
Activity #	Activity Description	No. of Days to Complete Activity	Completion Date	Completion Date	
31	FHWA reviews and issues comments on Draft EIS to District		11/29/2011	11/29/2011	
32	District prepares and sends Draft EIS Tollway, FAA, FHWA (including FHWA-HQ concurrence review) and BDE (repeat step as necessary)		12/7/2011		
33	IDOT notifies agencies the Draft SIP is available for review and comment (revisit as needed)		12/19/2011		
34	Draft Independent Utilities Memo submitted		12/19/2011		
35	Draft AJR submitted		1/16/2012		
36	FHWA, FAA, Tollway and IDOT address agency comments by revising SIP and responding to comments, as necessary, and revise SIP	30	1/13/2012		
37	7460 Review Complete	189	1/17/2012		
38	FAA, FHWA (including FHWA-HQ concurrence review), BDE and Tollway reviews and issues comments on Draft EIS to District	50	1/27/2012		
39	Final Independent Utilities Memo	38	1/27/2012		
40	Draft Risk Based Cost Analysis memo submitted		1/30/2012		
41	District prepares and posts revised SIP to project website for participating and cooperating agencies	30	2/13/2012		
42	District sends FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE signature- ready Draft EIS	20	2/17/2012		
43	FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE sign Draft EIS	21	3/8/2012		
44	IDOT distributes Draft EIS	13	3/21/2012		
45	FHWA publishes Notice of Availability in Federal Register and begins Public Comment period		3/23/2012		
46	Concurrence by FHWA - Risk Based Cost Analysis		4/6/2012		
47	IDOT holds Public Hearing on Draft EIS	25	4/18/2012		
48	District prepares and submits preliminary Preferred Alternatives packet to FAA, FHWA (including FHWA- HQ prior concurrence), Tollway and BDE for review (repeat as needed)		4/25/2012		

		Goal		Actual	
Activity #	Activity Description	No. of Days to Complete Activity	Completion Date	Completion Date	
49	District conducts stakeholder involvement to receive consensus on Preferred Alternative		5/2/2012		
50	Public comment Period ends	45	5/8/2012		
51	FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE review and issue comments on preliminary Preferred Alternative to District (repeat as needed)	14	5/9/2012		
52	District prepares and submits distribution-ready Preferred Alternative Packet to FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE	6	5/15/2012		
53	District prepares and sends first Draft Final EIS to FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE for review (repeat as necessary)		5/18/2012		
54	Public comments compiled, reviewed and addressed.	23	5/31/2012		
55	FHWA holds NEPA/404 Merger meeting to receive concurrence on Preferred Alternative	30	6/15/2012		
56	FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE <u>reviews and issues</u> <u>comments</u> on the first Draft Final EIS to District (repeat as necessary)	32	6/20/2012		
57	Tollway submits business terms and conditions to City of Chicago for acquisition of airport property		7/6/2012		
58	District prepares and sends second Draft Final EIS to FAA, BDE, Tollway and FHWA (WDC) for review	30	7/20/2012		
59	Final Project Financial Plan		7/30/2012		
60	Final Project Management Plan		7/30/2012		
61	City of Chicago Land Release request to FAA	30	8/6/2012		
62	City of Chicago ALP Amendment submittal to FAA	30	8/6/2012		
63	FAA, FHWA, BDE, Tollway and FHWA-WDC (concurrence review and legal sufficiency review) reviews and issues comments on second Draft Final EIS to District (repeat as necessary)	41	8/31/2012		
64	Final AJR approved		9/12/2012		

			Goal	Actual
Activity #	Activity Description	No. of Days to Complete Activity	Completion Date	Completion Date
65	IDOT prepares the preliminary draft ROD and Statute of Limitations notice and submits to FAA, BDE, Tollway and FHWA		9/14/2012	
66	District prepares and sends FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE signature-ready Final EIS	21	9/21/2012	
67	FAA, BDE, Tollway and FHWA signs signature-ready Final EIS and requests USEPA publish Notice of Availability in the Federal Register	14	10/5/2012	
68	Notice of FEIS	7	10/12/2012	
69	FAA, FHWA, Tollway and IDOT begin Final EIS waiting period		10/12/2012	
70	FAA, BDE, Tollway and FHWA review and provide comments on preliminary ROD to the District	28	10/12/2012	
71	District finalizes the ROD and Statute of Limitations notice and sends to FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE	14	10/26/2012	
72	Waiting Period ends	30	11/12/2012	
73	FAA, FHWA, Tollway and BDE <u>reviews and issues</u> <u>comments</u> on the ROD (repeat as necessary)	20	11/16/2012	
74	Comments received during Waiting Period compiled and reviewed.	14	11/26/2012	
75	FHWA signs ROD	18	12/14/2012	
76	FHWA publishes Statute of Limitations notice in the Federal Register	7	12/21/2012	

Appendix C Formal Dispute Resolution Process

## APPENDIX C Formal Dispute Resolution Process

## Formal Dispute Resolution Process, FHWA/FTA SAFETEA-LU Environmental Review Process Final Guidance, November 2006, page 40



Figure 1. The SAFETEA-LU issue resolution process. Note that where two steps are not separated by a "yes" or "no" decision diamond, both steps must be taken.

Appendix D Acronyms and Abbreviations

## APPENDIX D Acronyms and Abbreviations

CA	Cooperating Agency
CAAT	Cooridor Aesthetic Advisory Team
CMAP	Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning
Council	Elgin O'Hare - West Bypass Advisory Council
CPG	Corridor Planning Group
CSS	Context Sensitive Solutions
СТА	Chicago Transit Authority
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
IDNR	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
IDOT	Illinois Department of Transportation
ISTHA	Illinois State Toll Highway Authority
MWRD	Metropolitan Water Reclamation District
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NOI	Notice of Intent
РА	Participating Agency
PMT	Project Management Team
PSG	Project Study Group
RTA	Regional Transit Authority
SAFETEA-LU	The Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
SIP	Stakeholder Involvement Plan
TF	Task Forces
TSA	Transportation Security Administration
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers

- USDOT United States Department of Transportation
- USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency